

E-LOTOS user language

Source: France*, Romania†

Output document of ISO/IEC JTC1/SC21/WG7/1.21.20.2.3
'Enhancements to LOTOS'

Kansas City meeting, May 1996

DRAFT of 1996/09/30

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Overview	4
2.1	Syntax	4
2.2	Static semantics	5
2.3	Translation to the core language	7
3	Declarations	7
3.1	Overview	7
3.2	Type synonym	9
3.3	Type declaration	9
3.4	Channel declaration	10
3.5	Exception declaration	10
3.6	Process declaration	10
3.7	Process declaration with in/out parameters	11
3.8	Function declaration	11
3.9	Procedure declaration	12
4	Record type	12
4.1	Overview	12
4.2	Empty record	13
4.3	In record type	13
4.4	In/out record type	14
5	Record of typed gates/exceptions	14
5.1	Empty record	15
5.2	Union	15
6	Patterns	15
6.1	Wildcard	16
6.2	Variable pattern	16

*Represented by Hubert Garavel (Inria Rhône-Alpes, Verimag).

†Represented by Mihaela Sighireanu (RSI).

6.3	Expression pattern	17
6.4	Constructor application	17
6.5	Explicit typing	17
7	Record pattern	18
7.1	Empty record	18
7.2	Attributed pattern list	18
7.3	Tuple pattern	19
8	Match expressions	19
8.1	Simple match	20
8.2	Guarded match	20
8.3	And match	20
8.4	Or match	21
9	Value expressions	21
9.1	Overview	21
9.2	Primitive constants	23
9.3	Variable	24
9.4	Nondeterministic termination	24
9.5	Constructor application	24
9.6	Raising exception	25
9.7	Assignment	25
9.8	Sequential composition	25
9.9	Trap	26
9.10	General case	26
9.11	Usual case	28
9.12	Match expression	28
9.13	If-then-else	29
9.14	Conjunction	29
9.15	Disjunction	29
9.16	Equality	30
9.17	Inequality	30
9.18	Select field	30
9.19	Field updating	31
9.20	Variable declaration	31
9.21	Rename	32
9.22	Function call	33
9.23	Procedure call	33
9.24	Iteration	33
9.25	Breakable iteration	34
9.26	Break loop	35
9.27	Explicit typing	35
10	Record expression	35
10.1	Overview	35
10.2	Empty record	36
10.3	Union	36
10.4	Tuple	37
11	In/out parameters	37
11.1	Overview	37
11.2	Actual parameters	38

11.3	Empty	38
11.4	Record	38
11.5	Tuple	39
12	Actual gate/exception parameters	39
12.1	Overview	39
12.2	Empty record	40
12.3	Record	40
12.4	Tuple	41
13	Behaviour expression	41
13.1	Overview	41
13.2	Action	44
13.3	Internal action	45
13.4	Termination	45
13.5	Exception raising	46
13.6	Inaction	46
13.7	Time block	46
13.8	Delay	47
13.9	Assignment	47
13.10	Sequential composition	47
13.11	Disabling	48
13.12	Synchronization	48
13.13	Concurrency	48
13.14	Choice	49
13.15	Choice over values	49
13.16	Trap	49
13.17	General case	50
13.18	Variable declaration	51
13.19	Gate hiding	51
13.20	Renaming	52
13.21	Process instantiation	52
13.22	Iteration	53
13.23	Interleaving	53
13.24	Parallel over values	54
13.25	Parallel composition	54
13.26	Usual case	55
13.27	If-then-else	55
13.28	Breakable iteration	55
13.29	Breaking iteration	56
A	Upward compatibility with LOTOS	57
A.1	General structure	57
A.2	Data part	57
A.3	Behaviour part	58
A.3.1	Declarations	58
A.3.2	Behaviour expressions	58

1 Introduction

2 Overview

2.1 Syntax

Remark: For the user language we proposed two approaches:

1. A syntax in which exceptions and gates are unified. However, to avoid some confusions, and to preserve the user sense about exception, we give them to different notation for their identifiers in syntax: X for exceptions and G for gates. We mention that this identifiers belong over the same domain. The same remark is true for exceptions and gate type identifiers.
2. A syntax in which exceptions and gates are differentiated. This syntax is boxed.

A discussion about each of these approaches should be provided.

The terminals of the abstract syntax are:

<i>identifier domain</i>	<i>meaning</i>	<i>abbreviations</i>
SCon	special constants	K
Var	variable identifiers	V
Typ	type identifiers	S
Con	constructor identifiers	C
Proc	process identifiers	Π
Fun	function identifiers	F
Gat	gate and/or exception identifiers	G, X
Exc	exception identifiers	X
ChName	channels (gate type) identifiers	Γ, Ξ
ExcName	exception type identifiers	Ξ

The non-terminals are:

<i>symbol domain</i>	<i>meaning</i>	<i>abbreviations</i>
Decl	declaration	D
RTyp	record type	RT
RGat	record typed-gate	RG
RExc	record typed-exceptions	RX
Pat	pattern	P
RPat	record pattern	RP
EMatch	match expression	EM
Exp	expression	E
RExp	record expression	RE
IOPar	in/out parameters	IOP
GPar	gate parameters	GP
XPar	exception parameters	XP
Behav	behavior expression	B

In the grammars, non-primitive constructs (which are defined by translation into terms of primitive constructs) are marked with a “ \star ”.

2.2 Static semantics

The static semantics is given by a series of judgments, such as “ $\mathcal{C} \vdash D \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ ” meaning “in the context \mathcal{C} , the declaration d gives the context \mathcal{C}' ”. The context gives the bindings for any free identifiers, and is given by the grammar:

$\mathcal{C} ::= S \mapsto S'$	<i>type</i>
$V \mapsto (S, d)$	<i>variable</i>
$C \mapsto \{profile_1, \dots, profile_n\}$	<i>constructor</i>
$F \mapsto \{profile_1, \dots, profile_n\}$	<i>function</i>
$\Pi \mapsto profile$	<i>process</i>
$\Gamma \mapsto t$	<i>channel</i>
$\boxed{\Xi \mapsto t}$	
$G \mapsto \mathbf{gate} \ t$	<i>gate</i>
$\boxed{X \mapsto \mathbf{exn} \ t}$	
$\{\}$	<i>empty context</i>
$\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}$	<i>disjoint union</i>
<i>profile</i> ::= $rg, rt, \boxed{rx} \mapsto \mathbf{exit} \ t \mapsto uid$	<i>profiles</i>
$d ::= def \mid undef$	<i>variable initialization</i>
$t ::= S$	<i>type expression</i>
\mathbf{none}	
(rt)	
$rt ::= ()$	<i>empty list of typed variable</i>
$V \mapsto (S, d), rt$	<i>list construction</i>
$rg ::= ()$	<i>empty list of typed gates</i>
$G \mapsto \mathbf{gate} \ t, rg$	<i>list construction</i>
$rx ::= ()$	<i>empty list of typed exceptions</i>
$X \mapsto \mathbf{exn} \ t, rx$	<i>list construction</i>

Contexts for types, variables, channels, gates, and process are finite maps. Contexts for constructors and functions are set finite maps.

Note that the grammar of record types overlaps with that of contexts. Whenever “ rt ” belongs over contexts, ‘,’ (composition of records) is ‘+’ (composition of contexts); $\mathbf{in}(rt) \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=} \{V \mapsto (S, d) \in rt \mid d = def\}$, and $\mathbf{out}(rt) \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=} \{V \mapsto (S, d) \in rt \mid d = undef\}$.

Similar for the grammar of list of gate and exception parameters. Whenever “ rg ” (resp. “ rx ”) belongs over contexts, ‘,’ (composition of records) is ‘+’ (composition of contexts).

We give below the definitions of the operations we will use over contexts. When A and B are sets, $\mathbf{Fin}(A)$ denotes the set of finite subsets of A , and $A \xrightarrow{\mathbf{fin}} B$ denotes the set of *finite maps* (partial functions with finite domain) from A to B . The domain and range of a finite map, f , are denoted $\mathbf{Dom}(f)$ and $\mathbf{Ran}(f)$. A finite map will often be written explicitly in the form $\{a_1 \mapsto b_1, \dots, a_k \mapsto b_k\}$, $k \geq 0$; in particular, the empty map is $\{\}$.

When f and g are finite maps we define:

- $f + g$ with domain $\text{Dom}(f) \cup \text{Dom}(g)$ and values:

$$(f + g)(a) = \begin{cases} f(a) & \text{if } a \notin \text{Dom}(g) \\ g(a) & \text{if } a \notin \text{Dom}(f) \\ \text{error} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This operator is called *disjunct* composition of f and g .

- $f \oplus g$ with domain $\text{Dom}(f) \cup \text{Dom}(g)$ and values:

$$(f \oplus g)(a) = \begin{cases} f(a) & \text{if } a \notin \text{Dom}(g) \\ g(a) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This operator is called *f modified by* (or *overridden*) g .

- $f \odot g$ with domain $\text{Dom}(f) \cup \text{Dom}(g)$ and values:

$$(f \odot g)(a) = \begin{cases} f(a) & \text{if } a \notin \text{Dom}(g) \\ g(a) & \text{if } a \notin \text{Dom}(f) \\ f(a) & \text{if } g(a) = f(a) \\ \text{error} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This operator is therefore called *match* composition of f and g .

- $f \ominus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ where $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subset \text{Dom}(f)$, is a map with domain $\text{Dom}(f) \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ and values:

$$(f \ominus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\})(a) = f(a) \text{ if } a \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$$

This operator is usually called restriction of domain of f .

When the range of a partial map is a finite set of subsets, $A \xrightarrow{\text{sfin}} \text{Fin}(B)$ denotes *finite set-maps* from A to B . If f and g are set finite maps, the set finite map $f + g$, called *disjoint* composition, has domain $\text{Dom}(f) \cup \text{Dom}(g)$ and values:

$$(f \oplus g)(a) = \begin{cases} f(a) & \text{if } a \notin \text{Dom}(g) \\ g(a) & \text{if } a \notin \text{Dom}(f) \\ f(a) \cup g(a) & \text{if } g(a) \cap f(a) = \emptyset \\ \text{error} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Notes:

- All semantics objects in the static semantics are built from identifiers. With each special constant K we associate a type name $\text{type}(K)$ which is either **integer**, **bool**, **real**, **time**, iff we suppose that this will be the built-in types.
- To solve overloading of constructors and functions, we attach at each profile definition an integer number, $\text{uid} \in \text{UIDs}$ (unique identifier). We use the function newid to generate a new (unused) identifier at each call.
- In the abstract grammar used for semantics we use some simplifications. For instance, we have not given the “**end**” keyword for each construct. Also, phrases within single brackets $\langle \rangle$ are called *first options*. To reduce the number of rules, we have adopted the following convention:

In each instance of a rule, the options must be either all present or all absent.

- The relation between static semantics of user language and static semantics of core language is summarized below:

<i>user language</i>	<i>core language</i>
$\mathcal{C} \vdash D \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$	$\mathcal{C} \vdash D \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$
$\mathcal{C} \vdash S \Rightarrow S'$	$\mathcal{C} \vdash T \Rightarrow \mathbf{type} + \textit{subtyping}$
$\mathcal{C} \vdash RT \Rightarrow rt$	$\mathcal{C} \vdash RT \Rightarrow \mathbf{record} + \textit{subtyping}$
$\mathcal{C} \vdash RG \Rightarrow rg$	
$\mathcal{C} \vdash RX \Rightarrow rx$	
$\mathcal{C} \vdash (P \Rightarrow t) \Rightarrow rt$	$\mathcal{C} \vdash (P \Rightarrow T) \Rightarrow (RT)$
$\mathcal{C} \vdash (RP \Rightarrow rt) \Rightarrow rt'$	$\mathcal{C} \vdash (RP \Rightarrow RT) \Rightarrow (RT')$
$\mathcal{C} \vdash EM \Rightarrow rt$	
	$\mathcal{C} \vdash (RV \Rightarrow RT) \Rightarrow (RT')$
$\mathcal{C} \vdash E \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} t$	$\mathcal{C} \vdash E \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit}(RT)$
$\mathcal{C} \vdash RE \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} (rt)$	$\mathcal{C} \vdash RE \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit}(RT)$
$\mathcal{C} \vdash (IOP \Rightarrow rt) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$	$\mathcal{C} \vdash (RE, RP \Rightarrow RT) \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit}(RT'), RT''$
$\mathcal{C} \vdash GP \Rightarrow rg$	
$\mathcal{C} \vdash XP \Rightarrow rx$	
$\mathcal{C} \vdash B \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} t$	$\mathcal{C} \vdash B \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit}(RT)$

2.3 Translation to the core language

The translation of the user language in the core language follows the following steps:

Step 1 : makes a *syntactical* translation of all operators marked with \star . These operators are syntactic sugar of a set of reduced operators.

Step 2 : does static semantics checks and solves *overloading*.

Step 3 : does a *contextual* translation. “...” notation and user convenient notations are expanded into the core language.

The translation is given in terms of morphisms for each non-terminal of the abstract grammar. We synthesize below the profiles of this functions, where index u denotes a user language non-terminal domain, and index c denotes a core language non-terminal domain.

$$\begin{aligned}
[[\cdot, \cdot]] &: \text{Context} \times \text{Decl}_u \rightarrow \text{Decl}_c \\
[[\cdot, \cdot]] &: \text{Context} \times \text{RTypExp}_u \rightarrow \text{RTypExp}_c \times \text{RTypExp}_c \\
[[\cdot, \cdot]] &: \text{Context} \times \text{Pat}_u \rightarrow \text{Pat}_c \\
[[\cdot, \cdot]] &: \text{Context} \times \text{RPat}_u \times \text{RTypExp}_u \rightarrow \text{RPat}_c \\
[[\cdot, \cdot]] &: \text{Context} \times \text{Exp}_u \rightarrow \text{Behav}_c \\
[[\cdot, \cdot]] &: \text{Context} \times \text{RExp}_u \times \text{RTypExp}_u \rightarrow \text{Behav}_c \\
[[\cdot, \cdot]] &: \text{Context} \times \text{IOPar}_u \times \text{RTypExp}_u \rightarrow \text{RExp}_c \times \text{RPat}_c \\
[[\cdot, \cdot]] &: \text{Context} \times \text{Behav}_u \rightarrow \text{Behav}_c
\end{aligned}$$

3 Declarations

3.1 Overview

Syntax

$D ::= \mathbf{type} S \text{ is } S' \mathbf{ endtype}$ *type synonym* (D_u1)

| $\mathbf{type} S \text{ is}$ *type* (D_u2)
 $C_1 [RT_1] \mid \dots \mid C_p [RT_p]$
 $\mathbf{endtype} [S]$

* channel Γ is S endch	<i>channel</i> (D _u 3)
* channel Γ is RT endch	<i>channel</i> (D _u 4)
* exception Ξ is S endch	<i>type of exception</i> (D _u 5)
* exception Ξ is RT endexc	<i>type of exception</i> (D _u 6)
process Π [RG] [(in $V_1 : S_1, \dots, \mathbf{in}$ $V_n : S_n$)] : noexit [raises RX] is B endproc [Π]	<i>(no-exiting) process</i> (D _u 7)
process Π [RG] (in out $V_1 : S_1, \dots, \mathbf{in}$ out $V_n : S_n$) [raises RX] is B endproc [Π]	<i>(exiting) process</i> (D _u 8)
* function F [(in $V_1 : S_1, \dots, \mathbf{in}$ $V_n : S_n$)] : S [raises RX] is E endfunc [F]	<i>function declaration</i> (D _u 9)
* function F (in out $V_1 : S_1, \dots, \mathbf{in}$ out $V_n : S_n$) [raises RX] is E endfunc [F]	<i>procedure declaration</i> (D _u 10)

Remarks:

1. In (D_u2), $p \geq 0$; if $p = 0$ then S could be considered as an external type.
2. In (D_u2), (D_u9) and (D_u10), as in LOTOS, constructors and functions can be declared to be infix.
3. In (D_u7) and (D_u9), the attribute of the formal parameter is by default “**in**”.

Static semantics

The static semantics is given by assertions of form:

$$\mathcal{C} \vdash D \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$$

meaning “In the context \mathcal{C} , the declaration D is well formed and gives context \mathcal{C}' .”

Translation to the core language

The translation function is defined by:

$$[[\cdot, \cdot]] : \text{Context} \times \text{Decl}_u \rightarrow \text{Decl}_c$$

Each declaration of the user language is translated in a declaration of the core language (see [JL96]).

3.2 Type synonym

Syntax

type S **is** S' **endtype**

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash S' \Rightarrow S''}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (\text{type } S \text{ is } S') \Rightarrow (S \mapsto S')}$$

Translation to the core language

Identity.

3.3 Type declaration

Syntax

type S **is** $C_1 [RT_1] \mid \dots \mid C_p [RT_p]$ **endtype** [S]

The default parameter for a constructor is “()”, i.e. the empty record.

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} + (S \mapsto S) \vdash RT_1 \Rightarrow rt_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} + (S \mapsto S) \vdash RT_p \Rightarrow rt_p \\ (rt_1 \odot \dots \odot rt_p) \neq \text{error} \\ \mathcal{C}_c = (+_{i=1}^{i=p} C_i \mapsto (), rt_i, () \rightarrow \text{exit } S \rightarrow \text{newuid}_i) \\ \mathcal{C}(C_1)(((), rt_1, ())(S) = \dots = \mathcal{C}(C_p)(((), rt_p, ())(S) = \emptyset \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (\text{type } S \text{ is } C_1 RT_1 \dots C_p RT_p) \Rightarrow (S \mapsto S) + \mathcal{C}_c}$$

Translation to the core language

The constructor declaration $C RT$ is translated into $C_uid RT_i$, i.e.,

$[[\mathcal{C}, \text{type } S \text{ is } C [RT] \mid \dots \text{endtype}]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{type } S \text{ is } C_uid [RT] \mid \dots \text{endtype}$

where $uid = \mathcal{C}(C)(((), rt, ())(S)$.

3.4 Channel declaration

Syntax

channel Γ **is** S **endch**

channel Γ **is** RT **endchan**

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash S \Rightarrow S'}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (\text{channel } \Gamma \text{ is } S) \Rightarrow \Gamma \mapsto S'}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash RT \Rightarrow rt}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (\text{channel } \Gamma \text{ is } RT) \Rightarrow (\Gamma \mapsto rt)}$$

Translation to the core language

$[[\mathcal{C}, \text{channel } \Gamma \text{ is } S \text{ endchan}]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{type } \Gamma \text{ is } S \text{ endtype}$

$[[\mathcal{C}, \text{channel } \Gamma \text{ is } RT \text{ endchan}]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{type } \Gamma \text{ is } RT \text{ endtype}$

3.5 Exception declaration

Similar to channel declaration.

3.6 Process declaration

Syntax

process Π [RG] [$([\mathbf{in}] V_1 : S_1, \dots, [\mathbf{in}] V_n : S_n)$] :**noexit** $\boxed{\text{raises } RX}$ **is** B **endproc** [Π]

The default list of gates is $[\]$, the default list of parameters is $()$, the default attribute for parameters is “**in**”, and the default list of exceptions is $[\]$.

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C} \vdash RG \Rightarrow rg \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash RT \Rightarrow rt \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash RX \Rightarrow rx \\ (\mathcal{C} \oplus rg \oplus rt \oplus rx)^+ \\ (\Pi \mapsto rg, rt, rx \rightarrow \text{exit none} \rightarrow \text{newid}) \vdash B \Rightarrow \text{exit none} \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (\text{process } \Pi \text{ } RG \text{ } RT \text{ :noexit } \boxed{\text{raises } RX} \text{ is } B) \Rightarrow (P \mapsto rg, rt, rx \rightarrow \text{exit none} \rightarrow \text{newid})}$$

Translation to the core language

The “**noexit**” keyword is translated into “**exit (none)**”.

$$\left[\left[\begin{array}{c} \text{process } \Pi \text{ } RG \text{ } RT \text{ :noexit} \\ \mathcal{C}, \quad \boxed{\text{raises } RX} \text{ is } B \\ \text{endproc } [\Pi] \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{process } \Pi \text{ } RG \text{ } RT \text{ :exit none} \\ \boxed{\text{raises } RX} \text{ is } \\ [[\mathcal{C}, B]] \\ \text{endproc} \end{array} \right)$$

3.7 Process declaration with in/out parameters

Syntax

process Π [RG] [(**in** | **out** $V_1:S_1, \dots, \mathbf{in}$ | **out** $V_n:S_n$)] [**raises** RX] **is** B **endproc** [Π]

The default list of gates is [], the default list of parameters is (), and the default list of exceptions is [].

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash RG \Rightarrow rg \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash RT \Rightarrow rt \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash RX \Rightarrow rx \quad rt' = \text{def}(\text{out}(rt)) \quad (\mathcal{C} \oplus rg \oplus rt \oplus rx) + (\Pi \mapsto rg, rt, rx \rightarrow \mathbf{exit}(rt') \rightarrow \text{newid}) \vdash B \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit}(rt')}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (\mathbf{process} \Pi RG RT \mathbf{raises} RX \mathbf{is} B) \Rightarrow (\Pi \mapsto rg, rt, rx \rightarrow \mathbf{exit}(rt)' \rightarrow \text{newid})}$$

Translation to the core language

$$\left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{process} \Pi RG RT \\ \mathbf{raises} XL \mathbf{is} \\ \mathcal{C}, B \\ \mathbf{endproc} [\Pi] \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{process} \Pi RG \mathbf{in}(RT) \mathbf{:exit} \mathbf{out}(RT) \\ \mathbf{raises} XL \mathbf{is} \\ \mathbf{local} \mathbf{var} \mathbf{out}(RT) \\ \mathbf{init} [[\mathcal{C}, B]] \\ \mathbf{in} \mathbf{exit} \mathbf{vars}(\mathbf{out}(RT)) \\ \mathbf{endloc} \\ \mathbf{endproc} \end{array} \right)$$

where $\text{vars}(V : S : d \dots) = (V \Rightarrow V, \dots)$.

3.8 Function declaration

Syntax

function F [(**in** $V_1:S_1, \dots, \mathbf{in}$ $V_n:S_n$)] : T [**raises** RX] **is** E **endfunc** [F]

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash RX \Rightarrow rx \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash RT \Rightarrow rt \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash S \Rightarrow S' \quad \mathcal{C}(F)((\), rt, rx)(S') = \emptyset \quad \mathcal{C}_F = F \mapsto (\), rt, rx \rightarrow \mathbf{exit} S' \rightarrow \text{newid} \quad (\mathcal{C} \oplus rt \oplus rx) + \mathcal{C}_F \vdash E \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} S'}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (\mathbf{function} F RT : S \mathbf{raises} RX \mathbf{is} E) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_F}$$

Translation to the core language

The function declaration with “**in**” parameters is syntactic sugar of process declaration (below, RT has only “**in**” attributes):

$$\left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{function } F [RT] : S \\ \boxed{\text{raises}} RX \text{ is} \\ E \\ \text{endfunc } [F] \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{process } F_uid [RT] : \text{exit}(S) \\ \quad \boxed{\text{raises}} RX \text{ is} \\ \quad \llbracket \mathcal{C}, E \rrbracket \\ \text{endproc} \end{array} \right)$$

where *uid* is the unique identifier which allows overloading solving.

3.9 Procedure declaration

Syntax

function *F* (**in** | **out** $V_1 : S_1, \dots, \mathbf{in}$ | **out** $V_n : S_n$) $\boxed{\text{raises}}$ *RX* **is** *E* **endfunc** [*F*]

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash RX \Rightarrow rx \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash RT \Rightarrow rt \\ \mathcal{C}_F = F \mapsto (), rt, rx \rightarrow \text{exit } rt' \rightarrow \text{newuid} \\ rt' = \text{def}(\text{out}(rt)) \\ \mathcal{C}(F)((\cdot), rt, rx)(rt') = \emptyset \\ (\mathcal{C} \oplus rx \oplus rt) + \mathcal{C}_F \vdash E \Rightarrow \text{exit } (rt') \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (\text{function } F RT \boxed{\text{raises}} RX \text{ is } E) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_F}$$

Translation to the core language

Procedure declaration is syntactic sugar for process declaration.

$$\left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{function } F RT \\ \boxed{\text{raises}} RX \text{ is} \\ E \\ \text{endfunc } [F] \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{process } F_uid \mathbf{in}(RT) : \text{exit out}(RT) \\ \quad \text{raises } RX \text{ is} \\ \quad \text{local var out}(RT) \\ \quad \text{init } \llbracket \mathcal{C}, E \rrbracket \\ \quad \mathbf{in} \text{ exit } \text{vars}(\text{out}(RT)) \\ \quad \text{endloc} \\ \text{endproc} \end{array} \right)$$

where *uid* is the unique identifier which allows overloading solving, and $\text{vars}(V : S : d \dots) = (V \Rightarrow V, \dots)$.

4 Record type

4.1 Overview

Syntax

$$\begin{array}{ll} RT ::= () & \text{empty record } (RT_{u1}) \\ \quad | ([\mathbf{in}]V_1 : S_1, \dots, [\mathbf{in}]V_n : S_n) & \text{in record typ}(RT_{u2}) \\ \quad | (\mathbf{in} \mid \text{out } V_1 : S_1, \dots, \mathbf{in} \mid \text{out } V_n : S_n) & \text{in/out record typ}(RT_{u3}) \end{array}$$

These lists appear in the declaration of types, constructors, channels, gates, functions and processes, and in local variable declarations.

Static semantics

The static semantics is given by assertions of form:

$$\mathcal{C} \vdash RT \Rightarrow rt$$

meaning “In the context \mathcal{C} , the variable list RT is well formed and has the type rt ”.

Translation to the core language

This syntactic domain corresponds to the product of two syntactic domain of the core language, i.e.,

$$[[\cdot, \cdot]] : \text{Context} \times \text{RTyExp}_u \rightarrow \text{RTyExp}_c \times \text{RTyExp}_c$$

where the first result domain correspond to the “**in**” parameters, and the second to the “**out**” parameters.

4.2 Empty record

Syntax

$$()$$

Static semantics

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{C} \vdash () \Rightarrow ()}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, ()]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (), ()$$

4.3 In record type

Syntax

$$([\mathbf{in}]V_1 : S_1, \dots, [\mathbf{in}]V_n : S_n)$$

The default attribute is “**in**”.

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash S_1 \Rightarrow S'_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash S_n \Rightarrow S'_n \quad (\mathcal{C}' = (+_{i=1}^n V_i \mapsto (S'_i, def)) \neq error)}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (\mathbf{in} V_1 : S_1, \dots, \mathbf{in} V_n : S_n) \Rightarrow (V_1 : T'_1 : def, \dots, V_n : T'_n : def)}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, ([\mathbf{in}]V_1 : S_1, \dots, [\mathbf{in}]V_n : S_n)]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (V_1 : S_1, \dots, V_n : S_n), ()$$

4.4 In/out record type

Syntax

$(\mathbf{in} \mid \mathbf{out} V_1 : S_1, \dots, \mathbf{in} \mid \mathbf{out} V_n : S_n)$

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash S_1 \Rightarrow S'_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash S_n \Rightarrow S'_n \quad \mathcal{C}' = (+_{i=1}^n V_i \mapsto (S'_i, d_i)) \neq \text{error}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (\mathbf{in} \mid \mathbf{out} V_1 : S_1, \dots, \mathbf{in} \mid \mathbf{out} V_n : S_n) \Rightarrow (V_1 : S'_1 : d_1, \dots, V_n : S'_n : d_n)}$$

where

$$d_i = \begin{cases} \text{undef} & \mathbf{if} \quad \mathbf{out} V_i : S_i \\ \text{def} & \mathbf{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, (\mathbf{in} \mid \mathbf{out} V_1 : S_1, \dots, \mathbf{in} \mid \mathbf{out} V_n : S_n)]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (V_i \Rightarrow S_i \mid \mathbf{in} V_i : S_i), (V_i \Rightarrow S_i \mid \mathbf{out} V_i : S_i)$$

5 Record of typed gates/exceptions

Syntax

$$\begin{aligned} RG & ::= [] && \text{empty record} \\ & \mid [G_1 : \Gamma_1, \dots, G_n : \Gamma_n] && \text{union} \end{aligned}$$

These lists appear in the declaration of (functions and) processes, and in ‘**hide**’ operator.

Similar for the record of typed exception, RX .

$$\begin{aligned} RX & ::= [] && \text{empty record} \\ & \mid [X_1 : \Xi_1, \dots, X_n : \Xi_n] && \text{union} \end{aligned}$$

Static semantics

The static semantics is given by assertions of form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} \vdash RG & \Rightarrow rg \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash RX & \Rightarrow rx \end{aligned}$$

Translation to the core language

There is no direct correspondent in the core language.

Each record of typed gates is translated into a list of type $\text{Gat} \times \text{RTyExp}$.

Each record of typed exceptions is translated into a list of type $\text{Exc} \times \text{RTyExp}$.

5.1 Empty record

Syntax

$[]$

Static semantics

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{C} \vdash [] \Rightarrow ()}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, []]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} []$$

5.2 Union

Syntax

$[G_1:\Gamma_1, \dots, G_n:\Gamma_n]$

$[X_1:\Xi_1, \dots, X_n:\Xi_n]$

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash \Gamma_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash \Gamma_n \Rightarrow t_n \quad \mathcal{C}' = (+_{i=1}^{i=n} G_i \mapsto \mathbf{gate} \ t_i) \neq \mathit{error}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash [G_1:\Gamma_1, \dots, G_n:\Gamma_n] \Rightarrow (G_1 : \mathbf{gate} \ t_1, \dots, G_n : \mathbf{gate} \ t_n)}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash \Xi_1 \Rightarrow t_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash \Xi_n \Rightarrow t_n \quad \mathcal{C}' = (+_{i=1}^{i=n} X_i \mapsto \mathbf{exn} \ t_i) \neq \mathit{error}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash [X_1:\Xi_1, \dots, X_n:\Xi_n] \Rightarrow (X_1 : \mathbf{exn} \ t_1, \dots, X_n : \mathbf{exn} \ t_n)}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, G:\Gamma]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} G : (\$1 \Rightarrow S) & \text{iff } \mathcal{C}(\Gamma) = S \\ G : (rt) & \text{iff } \mathcal{C}(\Gamma) = (rt) \end{cases}$$

6 Patterns

Syntax

$P ::= \text{any } S$ *wildcard* (P_u1)

| $? V [\text{as } P]$ *variable* (P_u2)

| $! E$ *expression* (P_u3)

$C [RP]$	<i>constructor application</i> (P _u 4)
$P \text{ of } T$	<i>typed pattern</i> (P _u 5)

Static semantics

The static semantics is given by assertions of form:

$$\mathcal{C} \vdash (P \Rightarrow t) \Rightarrow rt$$

meaning “In the context \mathcal{C} , matching pattern P to type t gives the context rt .”

Translation to the core language

The translation function has the following profile $[[\cdot, \cdot]] : \text{Context} \times \text{Pat}_u \rightarrow \text{Pat}_c$

6.1 Wildcard

Syntax

any S

Static semantics

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (\text{any } S \Rightarrow t) \Rightarrow \{ \}} [t \equiv S]$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, \text{any } S]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{any} : S$$

6.2 Variable pattern

Syntax

? V [as P]

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash V \Rightarrow (S, d) \\ \langle \mathcal{C} \vdash (P \Rightarrow S) \Rightarrow rt' \rangle \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (V \langle \text{as } P \rangle \Rightarrow S) \Rightarrow (V \mapsto (S, \text{def})) \langle +rt' \rangle}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, ? V]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ? V$$

6.3 Expression pattern

Syntax

$! E$

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash E \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} \ t}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (! E \Rightarrow t) \Rightarrow \{\}}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, ! E]] \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=} ! [[\mathcal{C}, E]]$$

6.4 Constructor application

Syntax

$C [RP]$

The default record pattern is $T()$.

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}(C)(((), rt, ())(\mathbf{exit} \ t) = \{uid\}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (RP \Rightarrow rt) \Rightarrow rt'}$$

$$\mathcal{C} \vdash (C \ RP \Rightarrow t) \Rightarrow rt'$$

Note: The first clause imposes that only one constructor profile match.

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, C \ RP]] \stackrel{\mathbf{def}}{=} C_uid \ [[rt, RP]]$$

where $\mathcal{C}(C)(((), rt, ())(\mathbf{exit} \ t) = \{uid\}$.

6.5 Explicit typing

Syntax

$P \ \mathbf{of} \ S$

Static semantics

$$\mathcal{C} \vdash S \Rightarrow S'$$

$$\mathcal{C} \vdash (P \Rightarrow S) \Rightarrow rt'$$

$$\mathcal{C} \vdash (P \ \mathbf{of} \ T \Rightarrow S') \Rightarrow rt'$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, P \text{ of } S]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [[\mathcal{C}, P]] : S$$

7 Record pattern

Syntax

$$\begin{aligned} RP ::= & () && \text{empty record (RP}_u1) \\ & | (V_1 \Rightarrow P_1, \dots, V_n \Rightarrow P_n[, \dots]) && \text{unio (RP}_u2) \\ & | (P_1, \dots, P_n) && \text{tupl (RP}_u3) \end{aligned}$$

Static semantics

$$\mathcal{C} \vdash (RP \Rightarrow rt) \Rightarrow rt'$$

Translation to the core language

The profile of the function is

$$[[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]] : \text{Context} \times \text{RPat}_u \times \text{RTyExp} \rightarrow \text{Pat}_c$$

7.1 Empty record

Syntax

$$()$$

Static semantics

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (() \Rightarrow ()) \Rightarrow \{}}$$

Translation to the core language

Identity.

7.2 Attributed pattern list

Syntax

$$(V_1 \Rightarrow P_1, \dots, V_n \Rightarrow P_n[, \dots])$$

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} (\exists \rho \in P(n)) (\forall i \in 1..n) \quad V_i = V'_{\rho(i)} \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash (P_1 \Rightarrow S_{\rho(1)}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash (P_n \Rightarrow S_{\rho(n)}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n \\ \mathcal{C}' = (+_{i=1}^n \mathcal{C}_i) \neq error \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash ((V_1 \Rightarrow P_1, \dots, V_n \Rightarrow P_n) \Rightarrow (V'_1 : S_1 : d_1, \dots, V'_n : S_n : d_n)) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}'}$$

where $P(n)$ is the set of permutations of $1..n$.

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} (\exists \rho \in P(m)) (\forall i \in 1..n) \quad V_i = V'_{\rho(i)} \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash (P_1 \Rightarrow S_{\rho(1)}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash (P_n \Rightarrow S_{\rho(n)}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash V'_{\rho(n+1)} \Rightarrow (S_{\rho(n+1)}, d_i) \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash V'_{\rho(m)} \Rightarrow (S_{\rho(m)}, d_m) \\ \mathcal{C}' = (+_{i=1}^n \mathcal{C}_i) + (+_{i=n+1}^m V'_{\rho(i)} \mapsto (S_{\rho(i)}, d_{\rho(i)})) \neq error \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash ((V_1 \Rightarrow P_1, \dots, V_n \Rightarrow P_n, \dots) \Rightarrow (V'_1 : S_1 : d_1, \dots, V'_m : S_m : d_m)) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}'}$$

Translation to the core language

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\mathcal{C}, (V_1 \Rightarrow P_1, \dots, V_n \Rightarrow P_n, \dots) \Rightarrow (V'_1 : S_1 : d_1, \dots, V'_m : S_m : d_m)]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \\ & (V'_1 \Rightarrow [[\mathcal{C}, P_{\rho(1)}]], \dots, V'_n \Rightarrow [[\mathcal{C}, P_{\rho(n)}]], V'_{n+1} \Rightarrow ?V'_{n+1}, \dots, V'_m \Rightarrow ?V'_m) \end{aligned}$$

7.3 Tuple pattern

Syntax

$$(P_1, \dots, P_n)$$

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash (P_1 \Rightarrow S_1) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash (P_n \Rightarrow S_n) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n \\ \mathcal{C}' = (+_{i=1}^n \mathcal{C}_i) \neq error \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash ((P_1, \dots, P_n) \Rightarrow (V_1 : S_1 : d_1, \dots, V_n : S_n : d_n)) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}'}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, (P_1, \dots, P_n) \Rightarrow (V_1 : S_1 : d_1, \dots, V_n : S_n : d_n)]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (V_1 \Rightarrow [[\mathcal{C}, P_1]], \dots, V_n \Rightarrow [[\mathcal{C}, P_n]])$$

8 Match expressions

Syntax

$$\begin{array}{ll} EM ::= E :: P & \text{simple mat}(\text{EM}_u1) \\ | EM_1 \text{ when } E & \text{guarded mat}(\text{EM}_u2) \\ | EM_1 \text{ and } EM_2 & \text{conjuncti}(\text{EM}_u3) \\ | EM_1 \text{ or } EM_2 & \text{disjuncti}(\text{EM}_u4) \end{array}$$

Static semantics

The static semantics is given by assertions of form:

$$\mathcal{C} \vdash EM \Rightarrow rt'$$

meaning “In the context \mathcal{C} , the match expression EM gives the context rt' .”

Translation to the core language

There is no direct translation to the core language. The translation will be given at the “**case**” behaviour/value expressions.

8.1 Simple match

Syntax

$$E :: P$$

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash E \Rightarrow S \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash (P \Rightarrow S) \Rightarrow rt' \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (E :: P) \Rightarrow rt'}$$

8.2 Guarded match

Syntax

$$EM_1 \text{ when } E$$

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash EM_1 \Rightarrow rt' \\ \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}' \vdash E \Rightarrow \text{exit bool} \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (EM_1 \text{ when } E) \Rightarrow rt'}$$

8.3 And match

Syntax

$$EM_1 \text{ and } EM_2$$

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash EM_1 \Rightarrow rt_1 \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash EM_2 \Rightarrow rt_2 \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (EM_1 \text{ and } EM_2) \Rightarrow rt_1 + rt_2}$$

8.4 Or match

Syntax

EM_1 or EM_2

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash EM_1 \Rightarrow rt' \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash EM_2 \Rightarrow rt' \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (EM_1 \text{ or } EM_2) \Rightarrow rt'}$$

9 Value expressions

9.1 Overview

Syntax

$E ::= K$	<i>constant denotation</i> (Eu1)
V	<i>value variable</i> (Eu2)
any S	<i>nondeterministic termination</i> (Eu3)
$C [(V_1 \Rightarrow) E_1, \dots, (V_n \Rightarrow) E_n [, \dots]]$	<i>constructor application</i> (Eu4)
raise $X E$	<i>raising exception</i> (Eu5)
raise $X ((V_1 \Rightarrow) E_1, \dots, (V_n \Rightarrow) E_n [, \dots])$	<i>raising exception</i> (Eu6)
$P := E$	<i>variable assignment</i> (Eu7)
$E_1 ; E_2$	<i>expression continuation</i> (Eu8)
trap $X_1 [(V_1^1 : S_1^1, \dots, V_{p_1}^1 : S_{p_1}^1)] \rightarrow E_1$ \dots $X_p [(V_1^p : S_1^p, \dots, V_{n_p}^p : S_{n_p}^p)] \rightarrow E_p$ $[\text{exit} [(V_1^p : S_1^p, \dots, V_{n_p}^p : S_{n_p}^p)] \rightarrow E_{p+1}]$ in E_0 endtrap	<i>trap exceptions</i> (Eu9)
case $EM_0 \rightarrow E_0$ \dots $EM_p \rightarrow E_p$	<i>general case expression</i> (Eu10)

- ★ **[otherwise E_{p+1}]**
endcase
- ★ | **case E' is** *usual case expression* (E_u11)
 $P_1^0, \dots, P_{n_0}^0$ **[when E_0] → E'_0**
 \dots
 $P_1^p, \dots, P_{n_p}^p$ **[when E_p] → E'_p**
[otherwise E'_{p+1}]
endcase
- ★ | E **match P** *match expression* (E_u12)
- ★ | **if E_0 then E'_0** *conditional expression* (E_u13)
elsif E_1 then E'_1
 \dots
elsif E_n then E'_n
[else E'_{n+1}]
endif
- ★ | E_0 **andthen E_1** *logical expression* (E_u14)
- ★ | E_0 **orelse E_1** *logical expression* (E_u15)
- | $E_0 = E_1$ *equality expression* (E_u16)
- ★ | $E_0 <> E_1$ *non-equality expression* (E_u17)
- ★ | $E.V$ *select expression* (E_u18)
- ★ | $E.\{V_1 \Rightarrow E_1, \dots, V_n \Rightarrow E_n\}$ *update expression* (E_u19)
- | **local var $V_1 : S_1, \dots, V_n : S_n$** *variable declaration* (E_u20)
[init E_0]
in E
endloc
- | **rename** *renaming* (E_u21)
 X_0 **[$\langle V_1^0 : S_1^0, \dots, V_{n_0}^0 : S_{n_0}^0 \rangle$] is X'_0 [[$\langle V_1'^0 \Rightarrow E_1'^0, \dots, V_{m_0}'^0 \Rightarrow E_{m_0}'^0 \rangle$]**
 \dots
 X_p **[$\langle V_1^p : S_1^p, \dots, V_{n_p}^p : S_{n_p}^p \rangle$] is X'_p [[$\langle V_1'^p \Rightarrow E_1'^p, \dots, V_{m_p}'^p \Rightarrow E_{m_p}'^p \rangle$]**
in E
endren
- ★ | F **[$\langle V_1 \Rightarrow E_1, \dots, V_n \Rightarrow E_n$ [, \dots]]]** *function call* (E_u22)

- $$[[[X_1 \Rightarrow] X'_1, \dots, [X_p \Rightarrow] X'_p, \dots]]$$
- * | $F [(V_1 \Rightarrow) E_1 \mid P_1, \dots, (V_n \Rightarrow) E_n \mid P_n [, \dots]]$ *procedure call* (E_u23)
 $[[[X_1 \Rightarrow] X'_1, \dots, [X_p \Rightarrow] X'_p, \dots]]$
- * | **loop forever** *iteration* (E_u24)
var $V_1 : S_1, \dots, V_n : S_n$ **in**
init E_0
in E
endloop
- * | **loop** $X [(V_1 : S_1, \dots, V_n : S_n)]$ *breakable iteration* (E_u25)
init E_0
in E
endloop
- * | **break** $[X] [(V_1 \Rightarrow) E_1, \dots, (V_n \Rightarrow) E_n]$ *break loop* (E_u26)
- | E **of** S *explicit typing* (E_u27)

Static semantics

The static semantics is given by assertions of form:

$$\mathcal{C} \vdash E \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} \ t$$

meaning “In the context \mathcal{C} , the expression E has the type t .”

Translation to the core language

$$[[\cdot, \cdot]] : \text{Context}, \text{Exp}_u \rightarrow \text{Behav}_c$$

9.2 Primitive constants

Syntax

K

Static semantics

$$\frac{}{\mathcal{C} \vdash K \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} \ S} [K : S]$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, K]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{exit} \ (K)$$

9.3 Variable

Syntax

V

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash V \Rightarrow (S, \text{def})}{\mathcal{C} \vdash V \Rightarrow \text{exit } S}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, V]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{exit } (V)$$

9.4 Nondeterministic termination

Syntax

any S

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash S \Rightarrow S'}{\mathcal{C} \vdash \text{any } S \Rightarrow \text{exit } S'}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, \text{any } S]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{exit } (\text{any } S)$$

9.5 Constructor application

Syntax

$C [RE]$

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}(C)((), rt, ())(\text{exit } S) = \{uid\} \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash RE \Rightarrow \text{exit } (rt)}{\mathcal{C} \vdash C RE \Rightarrow S}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, C RE]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{case } [[\mathcal{C}, RE \Rightarrow rt]] \text{ is} \\ \quad ?x \rightarrow \text{exit } C_uid \ x \\ \text{endcase} \end{array} \right)$$

where $((), rt, ()) \rightarrow \text{exit } S \rightarrow uid \in \mathcal{C}(C)$.

9.6 Raising exception

Syntax

`raise X E`

`raise X RE`

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash X \Rightarrow \mathbf{exn} S \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash E \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} S \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash \mathbf{raise} X E \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} ()}$$
$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash X \Rightarrow \mathbf{exn} (rt) \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash RE \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} (rt) \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash \mathbf{raise} X RE \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} ()}$$

Translation to the core language

$[[\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{raise} X E]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{signal} X [[\mathcal{C}, E]] ; \mathbf{block}$

$[[\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{raise} X RE]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{signal} X [[\mathcal{C}, RE \Rightarrow rt]] ; \mathbf{block}$

In the last case, $\mathcal{C} \vdash X \Rightarrow \mathbf{exn} (rt)$.

9.7 Assignment

Syntax

`P := E`

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash E \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} S \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash (P \Rightarrow S) \Rightarrow rt \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (P := E) \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} (rt)}$$

Translation to the core language

$[[\mathcal{C}, P := E]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [[\mathcal{C}, P]] := [[\mathcal{C}, E]]$

9.8 Sequential composition

Syntax

`E1 ; E2`

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} (rt_1) \quad \mathcal{C} \oplus rt_1 \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} (rt_2)}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (E_1 ; E_2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} (rt_1 \oplus rt_2)}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, E_1 ; E_2]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [[\mathcal{C}, E_1]]; [[\mathcal{C}, E_2]]$$

9.9 Trap

Syntax

trap
 $X_1 RT_1 \rightarrow E_1$
 \dots
 $X_p RT_p \rightarrow E_p$
 $[\mathbf{exit} RT_{p+1} \rightarrow E_{p+1}]$
in E_0 **endtrap**

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash RT_1 \Rightarrow rt_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash RT_p \Rightarrow rt_p \\ \mathcal{C} \oplus rt_1 \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} t \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \oplus rt_p \vdash E_p \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} t \\ \mathcal{C} \oplus (+_{i=1}^{i=p} X_i \mapsto \mathbf{exn} (rt_i)) \vdash E_0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} t \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{trap} \\ X_1 RT_1 \rightarrow E_1 \\ \dots \\ X_p RT_p \rightarrow E_p \\ \mathbf{in} E_0 \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} t}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash RT_1 \Rightarrow rt_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash RT_p \Rightarrow rt_p \\ \mathcal{C} \oplus rt_1 \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} t \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \oplus rt_p \vdash E_p \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} t \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash RT_{p+1} \Rightarrow rt_{p+1} \\ \mathcal{C} \oplus rt_{p+1} \vdash E_{p+1} \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} t \\ \mathcal{C} \oplus (+_{i=1}^{i=p} X_i \mapsto \mathbf{exn} (rt_i)) \vdash E_0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} (rt_{p+1}) \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{trap} \\ X_1 RT_1 \rightarrow E_1 \\ \dots \\ X_n RT_p \rightarrow E_p \\ \mathbf{exit} RT_{p+1} \rightarrow E_{p+1} \\ \mathbf{in} E_0 \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} t}$$

9.10 General case

Syntax

case $EM_0 \rightarrow E_0 \dots EM_p \rightarrow E_p$ **endcase**

Static semantics

$$\frac{\mathcal{C} \vdash EM_0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_0 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash EM_p \Rightarrow rt_p}{\mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C}_0 \vdash E_0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} \ t \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C}_p \vdash E_p \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} \ t} \mathcal{C} \vdash (\mathbf{case} \ EM_0 \rightarrow E_0 \ \dots \ EM_p \rightarrow E_p) \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} \ t$$

Translation to the core language

The general case is a syntactic sugar for the simple case of the core language. Below we should show that the translation process terminates. The complexity of the translation process shows that the general case is more convenient for specification purposes.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \\ EM_0 \rightarrow E_0 \\ \dots \\ EM_p \rightarrow E_p \\ [\mathbf{otherwise} \ E_{p+1}] \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\underline{\underline{\text{def}}}}{=} \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \\ EM_0 \rightarrow E_0 \\ \mathbf{otherwise} \ (\mathbf{case} \\ EM_1 \rightarrow E_1 \\ \mathbf{otherwise} \ \dots \\ \mathbf{endcase}) \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right] \right] \\ & \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \\ \mathcal{C}, \quad E::P \rightarrow E_0 \\ [\mathbf{otherwise} \ E_1] \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\underline{\underline{\text{def}}}}{=} \left(\mathbf{case} \ [[\mathcal{C}, E]] \ \mathbf{in} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} [[\mathcal{C}, P]] \rightarrow [[\mathcal{C}, E_0]] \\ [\mathbf{otherwise} \ \rightarrow [[\mathcal{C}, E_1]]] \end{array} \right) \\ & \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \\ \mathcal{C}, \quad EM_0 \ \mathbf{when} \ E \rightarrow E_0 \\ [\mathbf{otherwise} \ E_1] \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\underline{\underline{\text{def}}}}{=} \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \\ EM \rightarrow \mathbf{case} \\ E::\mathbf{true} \rightarrow E_0 \\ [\mathbf{otherwise} \ E_1] \\ \mathbf{endcase} \\ [\mathbf{otherwise} \ E_1] \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right] \right] \\ & \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \\ \mathcal{C}, \quad EM_1 \ \mathbf{or} \ EM_2 \rightarrow E_0 \\ [\mathbf{otherwise} \ E_1] \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\underline{\underline{\text{def}}}}{=} \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \\ EM_1 \rightarrow E_0 \\ EM_2 \rightarrow E_0 \\ [\mathbf{otherwise} \ E_1] \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right] \right] \\ & \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \\ \mathcal{C}, \quad EM_1 \ \mathbf{and} \ EM_2 \rightarrow E_0 \\ [\mathbf{otherwise} \ E_1] \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\underline{\underline{\text{def}}}}{=} \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \\ EM_1 \rightarrow (\mathbf{case} \\ EM_2 \rightarrow E_0 \\ \mathbf{otherwise} \ E_1 \\ \mathbf{endcase}) \\ [\mathbf{otherwise} \ E_1] \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right] \right] \end{aligned}$$

9.11 Usual case

Syntax

```

case  $E$  in
   $P_1^0, \dots, P_{n_0}^0$  [when  $E_0$ ]  $\rightarrow E'_0$ 
  ...
   $P_1^p, \dots, P_{n_p}^p$  [when  $E_p$ ]  $\rightarrow E'_p$ 
  [otherwise  $E'_{p+1}$ ]
endcase

```

Translation to the core language

The usual case is syntactic sugar of the general case.

$$\left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \ E \ \mathbf{in} \\ \quad P_1^0, \dots, P_{n_0}^0 [\mathbf{when} \ E_0] \ \rightarrow \ E'_0 \\ \quad \dots \\ \quad P_1^p, \dots, P_{n_p}^p [\mathbf{when} \ E_p] \ \rightarrow \ E'_p \\ \quad [\mathbf{otherwise} \ E'_{p+1}] \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \\ \quad E :: P_1^0 \ \mathbf{and} \ \dots \ \mathbf{and} \ E :: P_{n_0}^0 \\ \quad \quad [\mathbf{when} \ E_0] \ \rightarrow \ E'_0 \\ \quad \dots \\ \quad E :: P_{n_p}^p \ \mathbf{and} \ \dots \ \mathbf{and} \ E :: P_{n_p}^p \\ \quad \quad [\mathbf{when} \ E_p] \ \rightarrow \ E'_p \\ \quad \mathbf{otherwise} \ \rightarrow \ E'_{p+1} \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right] \right]$$

9.12 Match expression

Syntax

```

 $E$  match  $P$ 

```

Translation to the core language

The match expression is syntactic sugar of general case.

$$\left[\left[\mathcal{C}, \ E \ \mathbf{match} \ P \right] \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \\ \quad E :: P \ \rightarrow \ \mathbf{true} \\ \quad \mathbf{otherwise} \ \rightarrow \ \mathbf{false} \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right] \right]$$

We suppose that the declaration of type “**bool**” is:

```

type bool is true | false endtype

```

9.13 If-then-else

Syntax

```

if  $E_0$  then  $E'_0$ 
elsif  $E_1$  then  $E'_1$ 
...
elsif  $E_n$  then  $E'_n$ 
else  $E'_{n+1}$ 
endif

```

Translation to the core language

$$\left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{if} \ E_0 \ \mathbf{then} \ E'_0 \\ \mathbf{elsif} \ E_1 \ \mathbf{then} \ E'_1 \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{elsif} \ E_n \ \mathbf{then} \ E'_n \\ \mathbf{else} \ E'_{n+1} \\ \mathbf{endif} \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \ [[\mathcal{C}, E_0]] \ \mathbf{in} \\ \quad \mathbf{true} \ \rightarrow \ [[\mathcal{C}, E'_0]] \\ \quad \mathbf{false} \ \rightarrow \\ \quad \quad \mathbf{case} \ [[\mathcal{C}, E_1]] \ \mathbf{in} \\ \quad \quad \quad \mathbf{true} \ \rightarrow \ [[\mathcal{C}, E'_1]] \\ \quad \quad \quad \dots \\ \quad \quad \mathbf{endcase} \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right)$$

9.14 Conjunction

Syntax

```

 $E_0$  andthen  $E_1$ 

```

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, E_0 \ \mathbf{andthen} \ E_1]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \ [[E_0]] \ \mathbf{in} \\ \quad \mathbf{true} \ \rightarrow \ [[\mathcal{C}, E_1]] \\ \quad \mathbf{false} \ \rightarrow \ \mathbf{false} \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right)$$

9.15 Disjunction

Syntax

```

 $E_0$  orelse  $E_1$ 

```

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, E_0 \ \mathbf{orelse} \ E_1]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{case} \ [[\mathcal{C}, E_0]] \ \mathbf{in} \\ \quad \mathbf{true} \ \rightarrow \ \mathbf{true} \\ \quad \mathbf{false} \ \rightarrow \ [[\mathcal{C}, E_1]] \\ \mathbf{endcase} \end{array} \right)$$

9.16 Equality

Syntax

$$E_1 = E_2$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, E_1 = E_2]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{case } (E_1, E_2) \text{ is} \\ \quad (?V_1, ?V_2) \rightarrow \\ \quad \quad \text{case } V_1 \text{ is} \\ \quad \quad \quad !V_2 \rightarrow \text{true} \\ \quad \quad \quad \text{otherwise} \rightarrow \text{false} \\ \quad \text{endcase} \\ \text{endcase} \end{array} \right)$$

9.17 Inequality

Syntax

$$E_1 \langle \rangle E_2$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, E_1 \langle \rangle E_2]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{case } E_1 = E_2 \text{ is} \\ \quad \text{true} \rightarrow \text{false} \\ \quad \text{false} \rightarrow \text{true} \\ \text{endcase} \end{array} \right] \right]$$

9.18 Select field

Syntax

$$E.V$$

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash E \Rightarrow \text{exit } S \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash C \Rightarrow (), rt, () \rightarrow \text{exit } S \rightarrow uid \\ rt \vdash V \Rightarrow (S : def) \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash (E.V) \Rightarrow \text{exit } S'}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, E_0.V_0]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{local var } V:S_0 \\ \text{init } V:=E_0 \\ \text{in} \\ \text{case } V:S_0 \text{ in} \\ \quad C_i (V_0:=?V_0, \dots) \rightarrow V_0 \\ \dots \\ \text{endcase} \\ \text{endlet} \end{array} \right] \right]$$

where $\mathcal{C} \vdash E_0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit } S_0$, and C_i are constructors of type S_0 such that they have as field V_0 (they exist from the static semantics checking).

9.19 Field updating

Syntax

$$E.\{V_1 \Rightarrow E_1, \dots, V_n \Rightarrow E_n\}$$

Static semantics

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash E \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit } S \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash C \Rightarrow (), rt, () \rightarrow \mathbf{exit } S \rightarrow uid \\ rt \vdash V_1 \Rightarrow (S_1 : def) \quad \dots \quad rt \vdash V_n \Rightarrow (S_n : def) \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit } S_1 \quad \cdot \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash E_n \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit } S_n \\ \hline \mathcal{C} \vdash (E.\{V_1 \Rightarrow E_1, \dots, V_n \Rightarrow E_n\}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit } S \end{array}$$

Translation to the core language

$$[[\mathcal{C}, E_0.\{V_1 \Rightarrow E_1, \dots, V_n \Rightarrow E_n\}]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{local var } V_0 : S_0 \\ \text{init } ?V_0 := E_0 \\ \text{in case } V_0 \text{ in} \\ \quad C_{i_uid_i} (\dots) \rightarrow \\ \quad \quad C_i (V_1 \Rightarrow E_1, \dots, V_n \Rightarrow E_n, \dots) \\ \dots \\ \text{endcase} \\ \text{endloc} \end{array} \right] \right]$$

where C_i are the constructors of the sort S_0 such that V_1, \dots, V_n are fields of their record types (they exist by the static semantic).

9.20 Variable declaration

Syntax

$$\text{local var } V_1:S_1, \dots, V_n:S_n \text{ [init } E_0] \text{ in } E \text{ endloc}$$

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C} \vdash S_1 \Rightarrow S'_1 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash S_n \Rightarrow S'_n \\ \langle \mathcal{C} \oplus (+_{i=1}^{i=n} V_i \mapsto (S'_i, \text{undef})) \vdash E_0 \Rightarrow \text{exit } (rt_0) \rangle \\ \mathcal{C} \oplus (+_{i=1}^{i=n} V_i \mapsto (S'_i, \text{undef})) (\oplus rt_0) \vdash E \Rightarrow \text{exit } rt \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{local } V_1 : S_1, \dots, V_n : S_n \\ \text{init } E_0 \\ \text{in } E \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \text{exit } (rt \ominus \{V_1, \dots, V_n\})}$$

Translation to the core language

$$\left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{local var } V_1 : S_1, \dots, V_n : S_n \\ \mathcal{C}, \text{init } E_0 \\ \text{in } E \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{local var } V_1 \Rightarrow V_1 : V_1 \Rightarrow S_1, \dots \\ \text{init } [[\mathcal{C}, E_0]] \\ \text{in } [[\mathcal{C}, E]] \end{array} \right)$$

9.21 Rename

Syntax

```

rename
  X0 [RT0] is X'0[RE0]
  ...
  Xp [RTp] is X'p[REp]
in E
endren

```

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C} \vdash RT_0 \Rightarrow rt_0 \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \vdash RT_p \Rightarrow rt_p \\ \mathcal{C} \oplus rt_0 \vdash \text{raise } X'_0 RE_0 \Rightarrow \text{exit } () \quad \dots \quad \mathcal{C} \oplus rt_p \vdash \text{raise } X'_p RE_p \Rightarrow \text{exit } () \\ \mathcal{C} \oplus (+_{i=0}^{i=p} X_i \mapsto \text{exn } (rt_i)) \vdash E \Rightarrow \text{exit } t \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{rename} \\ X_0 [RT_0] \text{ is } X'_0 [RE_0] \\ \dots \\ X_p [RT_p] \text{ is } X'_p [RE_p] \\ \text{in } E \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \text{exit } t}$$

Translation to the core language

$$\left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \text{rename} \\ X_0 [RT_0] \text{ is } X'_0 [RE_0] \\ \dots \\ X_p [RT_p] \text{ is } X'_p [RE_p] \\ \text{in } E \\ \text{endren} \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{rename} \\ X_0 [[\mathcal{C}, RT_0]] \text{ is } X'_0 [[\mathcal{C}, RE_0 \Rightarrow rt_0]] \\ \dots \\ X_p [[\mathcal{C}, RT_p]] \text{ is } X'_p [[\mathcal{C}, RE_p \Rightarrow rt_p]] \\ \text{in } [[\mathcal{C}, E]] \\ \text{endren} \end{array} \right)$$

where for all $i \in 1..p$ $\mathcal{C}(X'_i) = \text{exn } (rt_i)$.

9.22 Function call

Syntax

$F \text{ RE } XP$

Static semantics

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C}(F)((\), rt, rx) = \{\mathbf{exit } t \rightarrow uid\} \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash RE \Rightarrow (rt) \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash XP \Rightarrow rx \\ \hline \mathcal{C} \vdash F \text{ RE } XP \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit } t \end{array}$$

Translation to the core language

$[[\mathcal{C}, F \text{ RE } XP]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_uid \square [[\mathcal{C}, RE \Rightarrow rt]] [[\mathcal{C}, XP \Rightarrow rx]]$
 where $\mathcal{C}(F)((\), rt, rx) = \{\mathbf{exit } t \rightarrow uid\}$ (a single element set!).

9.23 Procedure call

Syntax

$F \text{ IOP } XP$

Static semantics

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C}(F)((\), rt, rx) = \{\mathbf{exit } t \rightarrow uid\} \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash IOP \Rightarrow rt \\ \mathcal{C} \vdash XP \Rightarrow rx \\ \hline \mathcal{C} \vdash F \text{ IOP } XP \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit } t \end{array}$$

Translation to the core language

$[[\mathcal{C}, F \text{ IOP } XP]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{trap} \\ \mathbf{exit}(?x) \rightarrow (\mathbf{out}(IOP, rt)) := x \\ \mathbf{in } F_uid \square [[\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{in}(IOP, rt)]] [[\mathcal{C}, XP \Rightarrow rx]] \end{array} \right)$
 where $\mathcal{C}(F)((\), rt, rx) = \{\mathbf{exit } t \rightarrow uid\}$ (a single element set!).

9.24 Iteration

Syntax

loop forever
 $[\mathbf{var out } V_1 : S_1, \dots, \mathbf{out } V_n : S_n]$
 $[\mathbf{init } E_0]$
in E
endloop

The default values are “**var** ()” and “**init exit**”.

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash RT \Rightarrow rt \\ \mathcal{C} \oplus rt \vdash E_0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} (rt_0) \quad \langle \text{Dom}(rt_0) \subset \text{Dom}(rt) \rangle \\ \mathcal{C} \oplus rt \oplus rt_0 \vdash E \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} (rt') \quad \text{Dom}(rt') = \text{Dom}(rt) \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{loop forever} \\ \mathbf{var} RT \\ \mathbf{init} E_0 \\ \mathbf{in} E \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit none}}$$

Translation to the core language

$$\left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{loop forever} \\ \mathbf{var} V_1:S_1, \dots, V_n:S_n \\ \mathbf{init} E_0 \\ \mathbf{in} E \end{array} \right] \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{loop forever} \\ \mathbf{var} V_1 \Rightarrow V_1:S_1, \dots \\ \mathbf{init} [[\mathcal{C}, E_0]] \\ \mathbf{in} [[\mathcal{C}, E]] \end{array} \right)$$

9.25 Breakable iteration

Syntax

```

loop X[RT]
  [init E0]
in E
endloop

```

The default type for exception is () (the empty record); the default initialization is “**init exit**”.

Static semantics

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{C} \vdash \mathbf{out} RT \Rightarrow rt \\ \mathcal{C} \oplus rt \vdash E_0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} (rt_0) \quad \text{Dom}(rt_0) \subset \text{Dom}(rt) \\ \mathcal{C} \oplus rt \oplus rt_0 \oplus \\ (X \mapsto \mathbf{exn} (rt)) \vdash E \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit} (rt') \quad \text{Dom}(rt') = \text{Dom}(rt) \end{array}}{\mathcal{C} \vdash \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{loop} X RT \\ \mathbf{init} E_0 \\ \mathbf{in} E \end{array} \right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{exit none}}$$

Translation to the core language

$$\left[\left[\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{loop} X [() \\ \mathbf{init} E_0 \\ \mathbf{in} E \end{array} \right] \right]$$