

# LNT User Manual\*

(formerly: LOTOS NT User Manual)

**Mihaela Sighireanu**

*with updates by Alban Catry, David Champelovier, Hubert Garavel,  
Frédéric Lang, Guillaume Schaeffer, Wendelin Serwe, and Jan Stöcker*

*Release 3.17 — August 29, 2025*

(\*) There are currently two implementations of the LNT language: the TRAIAN compiler, on the one hand, and, on the other hand, the LNT2LOTOS and LNT.OPEN tools of the CADP toolbox. The present manual describes the former implementation, which is mostly used for compiler construction. For additional information about LNT, see <http://cadp.inria.fr/tutorial>.





# Foreword

The present User Manual of LNT comes with the release 3.17 of the compiler TRAIAN. It completely describes the syntax and the informal semantics of the subset of LNT currently supported by TRAIAN.

**Availability** The complete distribution for TRAIAN is available on the web at the address <http://vasy.inria.fr/traian>. Please report feedback and bugs to [cadp@inria.fr](mailto:cadp@inria.fr).

**Acknowledgments** The author thanks people who helped in writing this manual, either through their ideas or their comments about the different versions of this manual. I owe thanks to Frédéric Lang, and also to Fabrice Baray, Claude Chaudet, Hubert Garavel, Marc Herbert, Radu Mateescu, and Bruno Vivien.



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>9</b>
1.1	Background . . . . .	9
1.2	Goals . . . . .	11
1.3	Main Concepts . . . . .	11
1.4	LNT versus E-LOTOS . . . . .	12
1.5	Manual Structure . . . . .	13
<b>2</b>	<b>Basic mathematical concepts and notation</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	General . . . . .	15
2.2	Backus-Naur Form . . . . .	16
2.3	Description of the Syntax . . . . .	17
2.4	Data values . . . . .	17
<b>3</b>	<b>Lexical Structure</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1	Character Set . . . . .	19
3.2	Input Elements and Tokens . . . . .	20
3.3	Comments . . . . .	20
3.4	Includes . . . . .	20
3.5	Identifiers . . . . .	21
3.6	Special Identifiers . . . . .	21
3.7	Keywords . . . . .	22
3.8	Literals . . . . .	22
3.8.1	Integer Literals . . . . .	23
3.8.2	Floating-Point Literals . . . . .	24
3.8.3	Characters . . . . .	24
3.8.4	String Literals . . . . .	25
3.9	Operators . . . . .	26
<b>4</b>	<b>Modules</b>	<b>27</b>
4.1	Module Definition . . . . .	27
4.2	Module Pragmas . . . . .	27
<b>5</b>	<b>Types</b>	<b>29</b>
5.1	Type Definition . . . . .	29
5.2	Predefined Operations . . . . .	30
5.3	Predefined Types . . . . .	31
5.3.1	The boolean type . . . . .	32
5.3.2	The natural type . . . . .	32

5.3.3	The integral type . . . . .	33
5.3.4	The floating point type . . . . .	35
5.3.5	The character type . . . . .	35
5.3.6	The string type . . . . .	35
5.4	Derived Types . . . . .	36
5.4.1	Singleton types . . . . .	36
5.4.2	Enumerated types . . . . .	36
5.4.3	Cascade types . . . . .	38
5.4.4	Numeral types . . . . .	38
5.4.5	Scalar and simple types . . . . .	39
5.4.6	Record types . . . . .	39
5.4.7	Lists . . . . .	39
5.4.8	Sorted lists . . . . .	40
5.4.9	Sets . . . . .	41
5.4.10	Arrays . . . . .	42
5.4.11	Ranges . . . . .	42
5.4.12	Predicate types . . . . .	43
5.5	External Types and Pragmas . . . . .	45
<b>6</b>	<b>Expressions, Statements, and Functions</b>	<b>47</b>
6.1	Constants . . . . .	47
6.2	Value expressions . . . . .	48
6.2.1	Variables . . . . .	50
6.2.2	Constructor application . . . . .	50
6.2.3	Function application . . . . .	51
6.2.4	Brace list of expressions . . . . .	51
6.2.5	Field selection . . . . .	52
6.2.6	Field update . . . . .	52
6.2.7	Explicit Typing . . . . .	53
6.2.8	Parenthesized Expression . . . . .	53
6.3	Patterns . . . . .	54
6.4	Statements . . . . .	56
6.4.1	Value return . . . . .	58
6.4.2	Null Statement . . . . .	58
6.4.3	Assignment . . . . .	58
6.4.4	Increment and decrement . . . . .	59
6.4.5	Sequential Composition . . . . .	59
6.4.6	Variable declaration . . . . .	59
6.4.7	Case statement . . . . .	59
6.4.8	If statement . . . . .	61
6.4.9	Iteration Statements . . . . .	61
6.4.10	Events and their handling . . . . .	65
6.4.11	Variable use . . . . .	66
6.4.12	Event access . . . . .	67
6.5	Functions . . . . .	67
6.5.1	Function definition . . . . .	67
6.5.2	Function call . . . . .	69
<b>7</b>	<b>Channels, Behaviours, and Processes</b>	<b>73</b>
7.1	Channels . . . . .	73

<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>7</b>
7.2 Behaviours . . . . .	74
7.2.1 Stop Behaviour . . . . .	76
7.2.2 Rendezvous . . . . .	76
7.2.3 Sequential Composition . . . . .	76
7.2.4 Process Call . . . . .	76
7.2.5 Function call . . . . .	76
7.3 Process Definition . . . . .	77
7.4 Main Process . . . . .	78
<b>A Syntax Summary</b>	<b>79</b>
A.1 Syntax of the module part . . . . .	79
A.2 Syntax of the data part . . . . .	81
A.3 Syntax of the behaviour part . . . . .	86
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>Index</b>	<b>91</b>



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

*“Formal description techniques (FDT) are methods of defining the behaviour of an (information processing) system in a language with formal syntax and semantics, instead of a natural language as English.” [ISO-8807]*

In the following sections, the origin and the evolution of FDTs are discussed, especially LOTOS. The objectives that the new generation of FDTs must satisfy are considered. The main concepts of LNT and E-LOTOS are presented. Finally the structure of the document is explained.

Note: The present chapter was written in 2000, and slightly updated afterwards. For a more recent introduction and comparison of LOTOS, LNT, and E-LOTOS, see also [GLS17].

### 1.1 Background

In the 80s, three formal description techniques (ESTELLE, LOTOS, and SDL) have been standardized at the international level to precisely describe (better than using natural language, which is always ambiguous) the services and protocols used in telecommunication and networked computer systems.

LOTOS was defined within ISO during the years 1981–1989. The objectives of its design follow strictly the main general objectives defined for FDTs:

- *expressive*: LOTOS was found capable of describing both the protocols and services of the seven layers of OSI=<sup>1</sup> reference model.
- *well-defined*: LOTOS has a formal mathematical model suitable for the analysis of descriptions supported by the testing of an implementation for conformance.
- *well-structured*: LOTOS offers many means for structuring of specification.
- *abstract*: LOTOS is independent from the methods of implementations and offers means for abstraction of irrelevant details.

As a design choice, LOTOS consists of two “orthogonal” sub-languages:

---

<sup>1</sup>Open System Interconnection

**The data part** of LOTOS is dedicated to the description of data structures. It is based on the well-known theory of algebraic abstract data types [Gut77], more specifically on the ACTONE specification language [dMRV92].

**The control part** of LOTOS is based on the process algebra approach for concurrency, and appears to combine the best features of CCS [Mil89] and CSP [Hoa85].

LOTOS has been applied to describe complex systems formally, for example: the service and protocols for the OSI transport and session layers [ISO89b, ISO89a, ISO92b, ISO92c], the CCR<sup>2</sup> service and protocol [ISO95b, ISO95a], OSI TP<sup>3</sup> [ISO92a, Annex H], MAA<sup>4</sup> [Mun91], FTAM<sup>5</sup> basic file protocol [LL95], etc. It has been mostly used to describe software systems, although there are recent attempts to use it for asynchronous hardware descriptions [CGM<sup>+</sup>96].

A number of tools have been developed for LOTOS, covering user needs in the areas of simulation, compilation, test generation, and formal verification.

Nevertheless, the three FDTs, including LOTOS, actually show their limitation for several reasons:

- Some design choices must be revised in order to respond to criticism of users. For example, the abstract data types used in LOTOS and SDL do not satisfy users.
- The new communication protocols like those of high flow network (e.g., ATM) or multimedia protocols need the specification of real-time constraints. None of the three FDTs allows to express all needed quantitative temporal constraints.
- The development of new architectures like ODP<sup>6</sup> or CORBA<sup>7</sup> call into question the OSI reference model and its static architecture. The model chosen is more dynamic and mobility is important.

For these reasons ISO/IEC undertook a revision of the LOTOS standard in 1993. The revised language is called E-LOTOS (for Extended-LOTOS). The enhancements of LOTOS should remove known limitations of the language concerning expressiveness, abstraction and structuring capabilities, user friendliness. A non-exhaustive list of such undesirable characteristics is given below:

- Despite having a strong mathematical basis, the abstract data types need a good background from the part of users. This prevents the use of the language by a large public, restricting it to an “expert” public.
- LOTOS is able to describe only temporal ordering, for example “the sending of a message is followed by its reception”. However, one needs to express quantitative time requirements like “the sending of a message is followed after 5 seconds by the message reception”.
- In the control part, the value passing is done in a pure functional style. Despite its proper semantics, this feature adds cumbersome constraints for structuring the specification. For this reason, a lot of case studies are done using “Basic LOTOS”, *i.e.*, LOTOS without values.

---

<sup>2</sup>Commitment, Concurrency, and Recovery

<sup>3</sup>Distributed Transaction Processing

<sup>4</sup>Message Authentication Algorithm

<sup>5</sup>File Transfer, Access, and Management

<sup>6</sup>Open Distributed Processing

<sup>7</sup>Common Object Representation Broker Architecture

## 1.2 Goals

This section lists a number of qualities which, in our opinion, the new generation of FDT languages should have.

The first of them is that E-LOTOS must be a useful and pleasant *tool* for behaviour description and analysis, and not a set of more or less awkward constraints.

This language must be *easy to learn*, which implies that its constructions have a *well defined, non-ambiguous semantics*, and that it respects (in the limits of the semantics) most rules and habits of the users. Because programming languages are intuitive and their concepts largely used, providing the language with algorithmic features is a mean to accomplish this goal.

The language should also provide as much as possible *description safety and reliability*, while remaining very *versatile*. As many errors as possible must be detected at compile time.

The language should provide maximal *expressiveness*. For example, the use of LOTOS in several case studies showed that the operators and the concepts of the language are not able to express self interruption of behaviour [QA92], deterministic control passing between processes [GH93], or nets of processes [Bol90]. E-LOTOS should fill these gaps. Expressiveness also concerns the means for describing real-time aspects. Actually, there exists several extensions of LOTOS with real-time operators: ET-LOTOS [LL97], RT-LOTOS [Cd95]. These extensions form a strong basis for the definition of real-time aspects of E-LOTOS. As an extension of LOTOS, the language should provide mean for upward compatibility: a translation of LOTOS constructs in E-LOTOS should be provided.

The language should allow the *modular* description of systems and description *re-usability*.

In the context of the ODP group at ISO/IEC, the language should provide means for an *easy interface* with external description or programming languages developed by this group, e.g., IDL. Also, it should remain independent from, but *easily translatable* into most implementation languages (first targets being C, Ada, Java). The accomplishment of this goal will provide a good platform for tools developers, and so a possible large distribution of the language.

The language should provide constructs offering opportunities for an *optimal analysis* by tools.

Last but not least, the language must be *simple*.

## 1.3 Main Concepts

This section presents the main concepts of E-LOTOS, together with a short justification of their introduction in the language. Those justifications are related to the goal listed in the previous section.

First of all, the E-LOTOS main feature is *concurrency*. It is a mean for description of concurrent (parallel) evolution of systems and their communication. The systems are composed in parallel using a CSP-like [Hoa78] operator. The base mechanism for communication is the *rendezvous* on communication points called *gates*. The communication allows the exchange of values. This is the only mean for interaction between concurrent systems because their memory spaces must be disjoint. The language provides several mechanisms for concurrency: interleaving, binary and n-ary synchronization, network synchronization, coroutine mechanism. This is a first step towards language expressiveness.

E-LOTOS is a description language supporting non-determinism. Both internal and external [Hoa78] non-determinism is provided. By difference with LOTOS, E-LOTOS provides also deterministic choice

constructs by means of “if-then-else” and “case” statements. The introduction of these constructs touches both easy to use and optimal analysis requirement.

The language provides means for *real-time* descriptions. All operators of the language have an intuitive time semantics. The time domain may be defined by the user with respect to a proper semantics. So the time domain may be dense or discrete.

In the same frame of expressiveness, E-LOTOS supports *exception handling* in order to deal with abnormal conditions. The exceptions are modeled by signals.

The language is *strongly typed*, a necessary condition for description safety. All objects in a description must be typed. *Type checking* is performed on any E-LOTOS description in order to detect, at compile time, most inconsistencies and errors. Basic types include integers, reals, booleans, strings, etc. User defined types may be defined by using type constructors. This provides means for defining most usual types: enumeration types, records, unions, sets, lists. Types may be recursive. Also, types and functions may be specified into an external language.

E-LOTOS remains a *functional* language in its semantics, although it supports assignment of variables. This is a step toward user friendliness on the one hand, and interfacing with external languages, on the other hand.

*Modularity* is a basic feature of E-LOTOS. Constants, types, functions, and processes may be defined in separate *modules*. The modules support the definition of local objects (constants, types, functions, and processes). The visibility of local objects is specified by means of module *interfaces*. Modules may be combined by *importation*. Another important feature for re-usability purposes is *genericity*. Generic modules provide means for parameterizing modules with constants, types, functions, and processes. As in LOTOS, the dynamic semantics of behaviours and expressions are given only for fully instantiated modules.

## 1.4 LNT versus E-LOTOS

LNT is the language supported by the TRAIAN compiler. It follows the main concepts of E-LOTOS and offers other features, in order to provide versatility, compilation and verification efficiency.

[Sig99] exposes the main differences between LNT and E-LOTOS. We cite only two examples:

- In LNT, function names may be *overloaded* as in Ada [WWF87], *i.e.*, two or more functions may have the same name provided they have different *profiles* (list of parameter types and result type). This is a useful feature because it improves the semantic consistency of a LNT specification—two similar operations on different types need not have different names—and the semantic consistency of LNT predefined functions themselves. Also, the compatibility with ACTONE is ensured.
- In LNT, functions may have input, output, and input/output parameters as in Ada. This provides means for returning several results and for easy interfacing with languages as IDL.
- The style of the LNT language is fully imperative in syntax and semantics, unlike E-LOTOS which has functional semantics.

## 1.5 Manual Structure

This manual gives an informal definition of the LNT language. A formal definition may be found in [Sig99].

Chapter 2 presents the mathematical notations and concepts used. Chapter 3 presents the lexical structure of the language. Chapter 4 presents the modules. The next language constructs are presented bottom-up, in order to make the language easier to learn. We begin by presenting types and type declarations in chapter 5. The language of data is presented in chapter 6. It contains data expressions, statements, and function declarations.

Each section of the chapters defining the language presents language constructs in the following order:

- the goals and the rationales of the construct;
- its abstract syntax;
- its intuitive and its formal, static, and dynamic semantics;
- some examples of its use.

Annex A presents the full syntax of the language.

We tried to present the information in a strictly linear order. However, where it is not possible to do so, we signal forward references.



## Chapter 2

# Basic mathematical concepts and notation

### 2.1 General

This section contains a list of basic mathematical concepts and related notations used in the remainder of the document.

$\stackrel{def}{\iff}$	is defined as. if and only if, <i>i.e.</i> , double implication.
$\{a, b, c, \dots\}$	the <i>set</i> made up of elements $a, b, c, \dots$ . The order in which the elements are listed is immaterial.
$\emptyset$	the <i>empty set</i> .
$x \in A$	$x$ is an <i>element</i> of the set $A$ .
$x \notin A$	$x$ is <i>not</i> an <i>element</i> of the set $A$ .
$A \subseteq B$	$A$ is a <i>subset</i> of $B$ .
$A \times B$	the <i>Cartesian product</i> of $A$ with $B$ , <i>i.e.</i> , the set of all ordered pairs $\langle a, b \rangle$ such that $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ .
$A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$	the <i>generalized Cartesian product</i> of $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , <i>i.e.</i> , the set of ordered tuples $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , such that $(\forall i)a_i \in A_i$ .
$\{x \in A \mid Q(x)\}$	the set which contains only all those elements of $A$ which satisfy the property $Q$ .
$a_1, \dots, a_n$	the finite (or empty) list (or sequence, or $n$ -tuple) made up of the <i>elements</i> , or <i>components</i> $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Unlike sets, lists may contain more than one instance of the same element, since elements are distinguished by their position in the ordering of the list; the length of the list is $n$ ;
$\langle \rangle$	the <i>empty</i> list has no elements, its length is 0;
$a_0, \dots, a_n$	the non-empty finite list made up of the elements $a_0, \dots, a_n$ ; the length of the list is $n + 1$ ;

$\bar{a}$	the non-empty finite list made up of the elements $a_0, \dots, a_n$ ; the length of the list is $len(\bar{a})$ ; a <i>record</i> is a $n$ -tuple of which each element is <i>labelled</i> with a unique label. If <i>lab</i> is the label of element $x$ of record $y$ , then $y.lab$ denotes $x$ .
$R \subseteq A \times B$	$R$ is a <i>binary relation</i> between $A$ and $B$ , <i>i.e.</i> , a set of elements of $A \times B$ ; the <i>domain</i> of $R$ is defined as $\{a \in A \mid \exists b \in B. \langle a, b \rangle \in R\}$ ; the <i>range</i> of $R$ is defined as $\{b \in B \mid \exists a \in A. \langle a, b \rangle \in R\}$ ;
$\{\}$	the empty relation;
$f : A \rightarrow B$	$f$ is a ( <i>partial</i> ) <i>function</i> ( <i>finite map</i> ) from $A$ to $B$ , <i>i.e.</i> , $f$ is a binary relation between $A$ and $B$ such that for each $a \in A$ there exists at most one $b \in B$ such that $\langle a, b \rangle \in f$ ; the domain of $f$ is denoted by $Dom(f)$ ; the range of $f$ is denoted by $Ran(f)$ ; if $\langle a, b \rangle \in f$ then $f$ is <i>defined</i> for $a$ , also written $f(a) = b$ or $a \mapsto b$ ; the function $f$ is total iff it is defined for all $a \in A$ ; a function $f : A \rightarrow B$ is <i>injective</i> iff, for all $a_1, a_2$ in the domain of $f$ , $f(a_1) = f(a_2)$ implies that $a_1 = a_2$ ;
$f : A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n \rightarrow B$	the function from the Cartesian product $A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$ to $B$ ; the function <i>arity</i> maps $f$ to the number $n$ of terms of the Cartesian product.

## 2.2 Backus-Naur Form

The meta-language used in this manual to specify the syntax is based on Backus-Naur Form (BNF). A BNF description of a language  $L$  is given by a set of *productions*, or re-write rules. The meta-symbols used to compose rewrite rules are listed in Table 2.1.

Meta-symbol	Name	Pronunciation
"xyz"	terminal symbol	xyz
abc	nonterminal symbol abc	(nonterminal) abc
::=	rewrite symbol	is defined to be
	alternation symbol	or, alternatively
[...]	option operator	0 or 1 instances of
{...}	repetition operator	0 or more instances of
;	semi-colon	end of BNF rule

Table 2.1: Meta-language symbols

A *terminal symbol* is a symbol that appears literally in  $L$ . A *nonterminal symbol* is a symbol that denotes a syntactic construct of  $L$  (which is ultimately represented by a string of terminal symbols).

A rewrite rule has the form:

`<nonterminal-symbol> ::= meta-expression ;`

where the meta-expression is an expression constructed using terminal and nonterminal symbols, and the operators listed in Table 2.1 except ::= and ;. Adjacent terminal or/and nonterminal symbols occurring in a meta-expression denote the lexical concatenation of the texts they ultimately represent. Concatenation respects the rules given in 3.

A rewrite rule is interpreted as follows: the nonterminal symbol of the left-hand side can be replaced by any one of the of the sequences separated by the alternation symbol.

All operators (including implicit concatenation) have precedence order over the alternative operator.

## 2.3 Description of the Syntax

Descriptions of concrete syntax give formal rules to be implemented by a parser for the language. Concrete syntax descriptions obey to constraints dictated by the implementation on a computer.

However, the purpose of this document is to present the syntax to the user of the language. In order to be more easily readable, we can abstract out some implementation details, and provide a more informal presentation of the concrete syntax, using meta level syntactic facilities. A human will understand the description better and faster than if written in a language designed for a machine. It uses type-setting conventions which facilitate the user reading. The conventions used for the presentation of the syntax are the following:

- terminals are represented using bold face;
- the special symbols are represented using teletype font. Note the difference between the special symbols “[” and “]” and the (mathematical style) symbols “[” and “]” used to express optional syntactic clauses in BNF.
- a non-empty list is represented like “ $a_0, \dots, a_n$ ”, *i.e.*, with the indexes starting at 0. The possibly empty lists are indexed from 1, *i.e.*, “ $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ”.

More precisely, the BNF equivalent of “ $a_0, \dots, a_n$ ” is “ $a\{,a\}$ ”, while the BNF equivalent of “ $a_1, \dots, a_n$ ” is “ $[a\{,a\}]$ ”.

## 2.4 Data values

A *data domain*  $D$  is a set of sets; the elements of  $D$  are referred to as data carriers.



# Chapter 3

## Lexical Structure

This chapter presents<sup>1</sup> the lexical conventions of LNT.

LNT specifications can be seen as a sequence of input elements (§ 3.2, p. 20), which are spaces, comments (§ 3.3, p. 20), and tokens. The tokens are: identifiers (§ 3.5, p. 21), keywords (§ 3.7, p. 22), literals (§ 3.8, p. 22), and operators (§ 3.9, p. 26) of the LNT syntactic grammar.

### 3.1 Character Set

The character set is divided into:

- alphabetic characters (letters), made of ASCII<sup>2</sup> characters (octal codes #101–#132) and other characters (octal codes #300–#377). See Table 2 of ISO/IEC DIS 14750.

```
LETTER ::= #101...#132 ;
```

```
LETTER_WITH_ACCENT ::= #300...#377 ;
```

```
ALPHABETIC_CHARACTER ::= LETTER | LETTER_WITH_ACCENT ;
```

- digits, *i.e.*, characters from “0” to “9”. See Table 3 of ISO/IEC DIS 14750.

```
DIGIT ::= "0"..."9" ;
```

- spaces and formatting characters, which include blanks, horizontal and vertical tabs, newlines, form feeds. See Table 5 of ISO/IEC DIS 14750.

```
SPACE ::= HT | NL | FF | SP | LF | CR ;
```

NOTE: IDL considers also BEL, BS, but not SP.

Except for comments, identifiers, and the contents of character and string literals, all input elements in a LNT specification are formed only from ASCII characters.

<sup>1</sup>This section is an adaptation of *The ISO/IEC DIS 14750*, Section 4 (IDL Syntax and Semantics); it differs in the list of legal keywords and punctuation.

<sup>2</sup>ASCII (ANSI X3.4) is the American Standard Code for Information Interchange.

## 3.2 Input Elements and Tokens

The input characters and line terminators are reduced to a sequence of input elements. Input elements which are not blank spaces or comments are tokens. Tokens are the terminal symbols of the LNT syntactic grammar.

```
Input ::= [ InputElement { InputElement } ] ;
```

```
InputElement ::= SPACE | Comments | Token ;
```

```
Token ::= IDENTIFIER | Keyword | Literal | Operator | Separator ;
```

There are four classes of tokens: identifiers, keywords, literals, operators, and other separators. Blank spaces and comments are ignored except as they serve to separate tokens. Some blank space is required to separate otherwise adjacent identifiers, keywords, and literals.

If the input stream has been parsed into tokens up to a given character, the next token is taken to be the longest string of characters that could possibly constitute a token.

## 3.3 Comments

LNT defines two kinds of comments:

- `(* text *)` A LOTOS comment; all the text from the characters `(*` to the characters `*)` is ignored.
- `-- text` A single line comment: all the text from the characters `--` to the end of the line is ignored.

Comments do not nest. The comment characters `--`, `(*`, and `*)` have no special meaning within a `--` comment or within a `(*` comment. Comments may contain alphabetic, digit, graphic, and space (but not newline) characters.

Comments are not part of the LNT description. They may be inserted anywhere between two other lexical units or left out, except when they play the role of separators.

Zero or more separators may occur between any two consecutive tokens, before the first token, or after the last token of the LNT text.

There shall be at least one token separator between any pair of consecutive tokens if the concatenation of their texts change their meaning.

## 3.4 Includes

The `library ... end library` sequence allows to include files in the source code. This feature is useful to write LNT descriptions in separate files. Note however that it is now superseded by module inclusion, see Chapter 4.

The include mechanism works like the `#include` in C language: a file can be included anywhere in the source code, and the lexical analyser is in charge of replacing the sequence by the content of the included file.

```

Include ::= Library { SPACE | Comments }
          "" Filename "" { SPACE | Comments }
          { "," { SPACE | Comments } Filename { SPACE | Comments } }
          End { SPACE | Comments } Library ;

Library ::= ("l" | "L") ("i" | "I") ("b" | "B") ("r" | "R")
           ("a" | "A") ("r" | "R") ("y" | "Y") ;

End ::= ("e" | "E") ("n" | "N") ("d" | "D") ;

```

`Filename` is the path to the included file. It can be either absolute or relative to the current working directory.

Several files can be included in the same `library ... end library` sequence. In this case, the files will be included in the same order as they appear in the sequence.

## 3.5 Identifiers

An identifier is an unlimited-length sequence of alphabetic characters, digit characters, and underscores (“\_”). It must start with an alphabetic character, cannot end with an underscore, and cannot contain consecutive underscores.

```
IDENTIFIER ::= ALPHABETIC_CHARACTER { ["_"] NORMAL_CHARACTER } ;
```

```
NORMAL_CHARACTER ::= DIGIT | ALPHABETIC_CHARACTER ;
```

In LNT, identifiers are not case-sensitive. In a given declaration scope, two identifiers that differ only in the case of their characters are considered redefinitions of one another: they will collide and yield a compilation error. When comparing two identifiers to see if they collide:

- Upper- and lower-case letters are treated as the same letter. TBL 2 in (ISO/IEC DIS 14750) defined the equivalence mapping of upper- and lower-case letters.
- The comparison does *not* take into account equivalences between diagraphs and pairs of letters (e.g., “æ” and “ae” are not considered equivalent) or equivalences between accented or not accented letters (e.g., “à” and “a” are not considered equivalent).
- All characters are significant.

As a general rule, when using a module, a type, a type constructor, a function, a variable, a loop label, a channel, an event, or a process identifier, it is recommended to use the same letter case as its definition.

## 3.6 Special Identifiers

In order to allow a more intuitive notation for the different mathematical operators, two special classes of identifiers are introduced, namely `SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER1` and `SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER2`, built as follows:

```

SPECIAL_CHARACTER ::= "#" | "%" | "&" | "*" | "+" | "-" | "/" | ">"
                  | "=" | "<" | "@" | "\" | "^" | "~" ;

```

```
SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER1 ::= DIGIT { ["_"] NORMAL_CHARACTER } ;
```

```
SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER2 ::= SPECIAL_CHARACTER { SPECIAL_CHARACTER } ;
```

A `SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER1` or `SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER2` can only be used as the identifier of a constructor or function. Identifiers of modules, types, channels, variables, events, loops, and processes have to be normal identifiers satisfying the definition of `IDENTIFIER` given in Section 3.5.

### 3.7 Keywords

The symbols given in table 3.1 are keywords of LNT. They are written between double quotes in the concrete syntax and in boldface in the abstract syntax.

These keywords are reserved, meaning that they cannot be used as identifiers, except “**and**”, “**div**”, “**mod**”, “**or**”, “**rem**”, and “**xor**”, which can be used as function identifiers.

The “*select*” keyword is written in italics to indicate that, as of April 2024, it has been replaced by the “**alt**” keyword (as advocated by Tony Hoare in [Hoa91]), but continues to be accepted as before.

access	alt	and	any	array	as
assert	break	by	case	channel	disrupt
div	else	elsif	end	ensure	eval
exception	external	for	function	hide	if
in	is	library	list	loop	mod
module	null	of	only	or	out
par	pointer	process	range	raise	rem
require	result	return	<i>select</i>	set	sorted
stop	then	trap	type	use	var
where	while	with	xor		

Table 3.1: The keywords of LNT

The following symbols “*comparedby*”, “*iteratedby*”, “*implementedby*”, “*int\_bits*”, “*int\_check*”, “*int\_inf*”, “*int\_sup*”, “*nat\_bits*”, “*nat\_check*”, “*nat\_inf*”, “*nat\_sup*”, “*num\_bits*”, “*num\_card*”, “*print-edby*”, “*string\_card*”, “*update*”, and “*version*” are not reserved keywords; they are understood as pragma names when they follow the “!” symbol. The keyword “*list*” can also be used as a pragma name.

### 3.8 Literals

A literal is the source code representation of a value of a primitive type (§ 5.3, p. 31).

```
Literal ::= NATURAL
         | REAL
         | CHAR
         | STRING ;
```

### 3.8.1 Integer Literals

See Section 5.3.3 for a general discussion of the integer types and values.

Unsigned integer literals may be expressed in decimal (base 10), hexadecimal (base 16), octal (base 8), or binary (base 2):

```
NATURAL ::= DECIMAL_NUMBER
          | HEX_NUMBER
          | OCTAL_NUMBER
          | BINARY_NUMBER ;
```

A decimal number is either the single digit 0, representing the integer zero, or consists of an digit from 1 to 9, optionally followed by one or more digits from 0 to 9, and represents a positive integer.

```
DECIMAL_NUMBER ::= "0"
                | NON_ZERO_DIGIT { ["_"] DIGIT } ;

NON_ZERO_DIGIT ::= "1" | "2" | "3" | "4" | "5" | "6" | "7" | "8" | "9" ;
```

The simplest form of integer literal is simply a sequence of decimal digits. If the literal is very long, it may be convenient to split it up into groups of digits by inserting underscores (“\_”), such as “123\_456\_789”. An integer literal cannot start or end with an underscore, and cannot contain consecutive underscores. In contrast with identifiers, such underscores, are of course, of no significance other than to make the literal easier to read.

NOTE: The use of “\_” character to format integers is also adopted by ADA language.

A hexadecimal number consists of the leading characters “0x” followed by one or more hexadecimal digits and can represent a positive, zero, or negative integer. Hexadecimal digits with values 10 through 15 are represented by the letters “a” through “f” or “A” through “F”, respectively; each letter used as a hexadecimal digit may be uppercase or lowercase. As in decimal numbers, digits can be split into groups of digits by inserting underscores.

```
HEX_NUMBER ::= "0" "x" HEX_DIGIT { ["_"] HEX_DIGIT } ;

HEX_DIGIT ::= "0"..."9" | "a"..."f" | "A"..."F" ;
```

An octal number consists of the leading characters “0o” followed by one or more of the digits 0 through 7 and can represent a positive, zero, or negative integer. As in decimal and hexadecimal numbers, digits can be split into groups of digits by inserting underscores.

```
OCTAL_NUMBER ::= "0" "o" OCTAL_DIGIT { ["_"] OCTAL_DIGIT } ;

OCTAL_DIGIT ::= "0"..."7" ;
```

A binary number consists of the leading characters “0b” followed by one or more of the digits 0 and 1 and can represent a positive, zero, or negative integer. As in decimal, hexadecimal, and octal numbers, digits can be split into groups of digits by inserting underscores.

```
BINARY_NUMBER ::= "0" "b" BINARY_DIGIT { ["_"] BINARY_DIGIT } ;

BINARY_DIGIT ::= "0"..."1" ;
```

Note that the only unsigned integer literals that can start with a 0 are 0 itself and the hexadecimal, octal, and binary numbers.

Note also that unsigned integer literals are particular instances of the `SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER1` token (see Section 3.6). However, occurrences of underscores are always significant in `SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER1` tokens. For instance, “1\_234”, “12\_34”, and “1234” denote the same integer literal constant, but distinct identifiers.

Lexically correct integers may be refused by *compilers* if they denote values which do not fit the (implementation dependent) range of type `int` (or `nat`).

A signed integer literal consists of a sign + or - juxtaposed with a decimal, hexadecimal, octal or binary unsigned integer literal.

### 3.8.2 Floating-Point Literals

See Section 5.3.4 for a general discussion of the floating-point types and values.

An unsigned floating-point literal may have the following parts: a mandatory whole-number part in decimal notation, an optional decimal point (represented by an period character) followed by a fractional part, and an optional exponent. The exponent, if present, is indicated by the letter “e” or “E” followed by an optionally signed integer.

```
DIGITS ::= DIGIT { ["_"] DIGIT };

EXPONENT ::= ( "e" | "E" ) [ "+" | "-" ] DIGITS;

REAL ::= DECIMAL_NUMBER "." DIGITS [ EXPONENT ]
        | DECIMAL_NUMBER EXPONENT;
```

The decimal point must always be preceded and followed by digits. For instance, the lexically incorrect floating-point numbers 4., .12, and 1.E7 may be written 4.0, 0.12, and 1.0E7, respectively.

The first character of an unsigned floating-point literal can be 0 only if the second character is a decimal point or the letter e or E.

Note that unsigned floating-point literals that do not contain the dot character are particular instances of the `SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER1` token (see Section 3.6). However, occurrences of underscores are always significant in `SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER1` tokens. For instance, “1\_23E4”, “12\_3E4”, and “123E4” denote the same integer literal constant, but distinct identifiers.

Lexically correct floating point numbers may be refused by *compilers* if they denote values which do not fit (implementation dependent) range of type `float`.

Examples of unsigned floating-point literals:

```
1e1      2.0      0.3      0.0      3.14      6.022137e+23      1e-9
```

A signed floating point literal consists of a sign + or - juxtaposed with an unsigned floating point literal.

### 3.8.3 Characters

A character literal is expressed as a character or an escape sequence, enclosed between single quotes. A character literal is always of type `char`. See Section 5.3.5 for more details on the `char` type.

```
CHAR ::= "'" CHAR_PRINTABLE "'" ;

CHAR_PRINTABLE = PRINTABLE | "\" ;
```

```

PRINTABLE ::= TRULY_PRINTABLE
            | "\n"      -- linefeed LF
            | "\t"      -- horizontal tab HT
            | "\v"      -- vertical tab VT
            | "\b"      -- backspace BS
            | "\r"      -- carriage return CR
            | "\f"      -- form feed FF
            | "\a"      -- alert BEL
            | "\\"      -- backslash
            | "\?"      -- question mark
            | "\'"      -- single quote '
            | "\""      -- double quote "
            | "\\" OCTAL_DIGIT [ OCTAL_DIGIT [ OCTAL_DIGIT ] ]
            | "\\" "x" HEX_DIGIT [ HEX_DIGIT ] ;

TRULY_PRINTABLE = CHARACTER - "\'\"\\\" ; -- printable characters

CHARACTER      = #040...#176 + #240...#377 ;

```

The escape sequences allow for the representation of some non graphic characters as well as the single quote, double quote, query, and backslash characters in character literals and string literals.

It is a compile-time error for the character following the `TRULY_PRINTABLE` or `ESCAPE_SEQUENCE` to be other than a `'`.

It is a compile-time error for a line terminator to appear after the opening `'` and before the closing `'`.

It is a compile-time error if the character following a backslash in an escape is not from the set specified above.

The following are examples of char literals:

```
'a'   '%'   '\t'   '\\',   '\'',   '\xFFFF',   '\177'
```

### 3.8.4 String Literals

A string literal consists of zero or more characters enclosed in double quotes. Each character may be represented by an escape sequence.

A string literal is always of type `string` (§ 5.3.6, p. 35).

```
STRING ::= "\"" { STRING_PRINTABLE } "\"" ;
```

```
STRING_PRINTABLE = PRINTABLE | "\'";
```

As specified in Section (§ 3.2, p. 20), neither of the characters CR and LF is ever considered to be `PRINTABLE`; each is recognized as constituting a line terminator. Instead, one should use the escape sequences `"\n"` for LF and `"\r"` for CR.

It is a compile-time error for a line terminator to appear after the opening `"` and before the closing matching `"`.

The following are examples of string literals:

```
""      "\""      "\n"      "This is a string"
```

## 3.9 Operators

The following special symbols are reserved tokens of the language. They appear into the concrete syntax between double quotes and in the abstract syntax in teletype fonts.

```
Operator ::= "->" | "}" | "]" | ")" | "," | ":" |  
| ";" | ":=" | "... " | "=" | "==" | "!" | | |
| ">=" | ">" | "<=" | "<" | "|" | "-" | "/" |  
| "!=" | "<>" | "{" | "[" | "<" | "+" | "**" |  
| "?" | "*" ;
```

# Chapter 4

## Modules

LNT definitions may be separated into modules, in order to improve code structuration and reuse.

### 4.1 Module Definition

A module embeds a set of channel, type, function, and process definitions, and may import definitions from other modules.

The syntax of a module definition is the following:

```
module mod-id0 [(mod-id1, ..., mod-idn)]  
  [with F0, ..., Fn] is  
  module-pragma1 ... module-pragman  
  MB  
end module
```

where *mod-id*<sub>0</sub>, ..., *mod-id*<sub>*n*</sub> are module identifiers, *F*<sub>0</sub>, ..., *F*<sub>*n*</sub> are predefined function identifiers, *module-pragma*<sub>1</sub>, ..., *module-pragma*<sub>*n*</sub> are module pragmas (see Section 4.2), and *MB* is a sequence of channel, type, function, and process definitions (see Chapters 5 to 7 and Annex A).

The identifier *mod-id*<sub>0</sub> is the name of the current module. The identifiers *mod-id*<sub>1</sub>, ..., *mod-id*<sub>*n*</sub> are the names of the imported modules.

A module named *mod-id* must be defined in a file named “*mod-id.lnt*”, using the same letter case. Otherwise, TRAIAN will issue an error. However, if the module is named TEST (or Test, or test, etc.), the error is replaced by a warning.

The function identifiers *F*<sub>0</sub>, ..., *F*<sub>*n*</sub> occurring in the optional **with** clause of the module must be pairwise distinct and be among the fixed function identifiers present in one of the Tables 5.8, 5.11, 5.12, 5.13, 5.14, 5.15, or 5.19 (pages 38 to 44).

### 4.2 Module Pragmas

The syntax of module pragmas is the following:

```
module_pragma ::= !int_bits NATURAL (module_pragma1)
                | !int_check (0 | 1)
                | !int_inf [+ | -] NATURAL
                | !int_sup [+ | -] NATURAL
                | !nat_bits NATURAL
                | !nat_check (0 | 1)
                | !nat_inf NATURAL
                | !nat_sup NATURAL
                | !num_bits NATURAL
                | !num_card NATURAL
                | !string_card NATURAL
                | !update STRING
                | !version STRING
```

As expressed by the grammar, all module pragmas should occur before the first channel, type, function, or process definition of the module. However, there is an exception for the **!version** pragma, which may occur later. This is useful, e.g., to insert a version tag at the beginning of a file included in a module using the “**library ... end library**”.

# Chapter 5

## Types

LNT is a strongly typed language, a necessary condition for ensuring description safety.

Type declaration are used to define new types when the few predefined types are insufficient, which is the case of most descriptions. The declaration of new types is very general. However, several well-know type schemes<sup>1</sup> may be derived. In this case, some implicit declarations of other LNT objects appear.

### 5.1 Type Definition

A type denotes a domain of values (see Section 2.4) on which LNT objects are defined.

In LNT, a type definition must be associated with a name which will be used to refer to it where useful: this association is a type declaration. This means that anonymous types do not exist. For this reason, the equality between types is given by the equality of their names (instead of structural equality).

The definition of types in LNT follows the general approach of constructed types in functional languages where types are defined using type *constructors*. Constructors are special operations structuring the domain of the type. They give a name to the sub-domain of the type represented by the Cartesian product of the parameters.

The simpler syntax for type definition is the following:

```
type  $T$  is  
   $C_1 [(V_1^1 : T_1^1, \dots, V_{m_1}^1 : T_{m_1}^1)],$   
   $\dots,$   
   $C_n [(V_1^n : T_1^n, \dots, V_{m_n}^n : T_{m_n}^n)]$   
end type
```

where  $T, T_1^1, \dots$  are type identifiers,  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  are constructor identifiers, and  $V_1^1, \dots$  are variable identifiers. The default list of parameters is the empty list.

For a constructor  $C_i$ , the identifier  $V_j^i$  is called *field* or formal parameter, and  $m_i$  is called operation arity.

The syntax given above must satisfy the following static semantics constraints:

---

<sup>1</sup>For LOTOS, these schemes are also known as “rich term syntax” [Pec94].

- There must be at least one constructor declaration ( $n \geq 1$ ).
- For a given constructor  $C_i$ , the names of formal parameters must be pairwise distinct, *i.e.*,  $\forall j, k \in \{1, \dots, m_i\} \quad (j \neq k) \implies (V_j^i \neq V_k^i)$ .
- For the set of constructors of a given type, fields having the same name should have the same type. For example, the type `HeaderType` defines the values that a header may have:

```
type HeaderType is
  Header1 (dest_id, data_length, header_CRC: nat)
| Header2 (dest_id: nat, source_id: nat, data_length, header_CRC: nat)
end type
```

The field `dest_id` appears in the parameter list of the two constructors with the same type `nat`. Note that the fields having the same type may be grouped in lists, like for `Header1` constructor.

- Two or more constructors may have the same name (may be overloaded) if their profiles (the list of the *types* of parameters and the result type) differ. Note that the name of formal parameters does not solve the overloading.
- Type declarations may be mutually recursive. However, each type must be *productive*, *i.e.*, it must have at least one value. Formally, a type is productive iff: (a) it has a constructor of arity 0 or (b) all the parameters of its constructors have productive types.

### Example 5.1.1

The type “`bool`” is defined in the (predefined) standard library as an enumeration of two values `true` and `false`, which are the type constructors of arity 0.

```
type bool is
  false,
  true
end type
```

A more elaborate type is the type of a packet which contains a header part and a data part:

```
type PacketType is
  Packet (header: HeaderType, data: DataType)
end type
```

The constructor of type `PacketType`, `Packet`, has two parameters: the first is named `header` and has the type `HeaderType`, the second is named `data` and has the type `DataType`.

A list of packets may be defined using a recursive definition:

```
type PacketListType is
  PacketList_empty,
  PacketList_cons (head: PacketType, tail: PacketListType)
end type
```

The lists may be defined also using the rich term syntax as described in Section 5.4. ■

## 5.2 Predefined Operations

For each definition of a constructed type  $T$ , a set of predefined operations can be automatically generated (*sheel* definitions of [BM79]):

- “==” (or “=”) and “!=” (or “<>”), with the profile  $T, T \rightarrow \text{bool}$ , for the equality (*resp.* non equality) test.
- “<”, “>”, “<=”, “>=”, with the profile  $T, T \rightarrow \text{bool}$ , for the ordering test of values. Note that values of constructed types are ordered lexicographically. The declaration order of constructors is important: the constructor declared first is less than the constructors following it in the declarations.
- “min” and “max”, with the profile  $T, T \rightarrow T$ , for the minimum and maximum of two values, available when  $T$  is equipped with the operation “<”.
- “string”, with the profile  $T \rightarrow \text{string}$ , returns the string representation of the value given as parameter.
- “ord”, with the profile  $T \rightarrow \text{nat}$ , returns the order number of the (first) constructor of the value.

Only for finite types (§ 5.4.5, p. 39), the following operations can also be defined :

- “succ” and “pred”, with the profile  $T \rightarrow T$ , return the successor (*resp.* the predecessor) of the value given as parameter. For the border values, these operation are identities.
- “hash”, with the profile  $T \rightarrow \text{nat}$ , returns the order number of the term in the domain of the type  $T$ .

Only for constructed types that are not enumerated (i.e., such that at least one constructor has some field), the following functions can also be generated:

- *set* functions are field update functions called using the syntax “ $E.\{UES\}$ ” or “ $E.[X]\{UES\}$ ” (see Chapter 6).
- *get* functions are field selection functions called using the syntax “ $E.V$ ” or “ $E.[X]V$ ” (see Chapter 6).

The user may specify explicitly the operations to be automatically generated when the type is declared, using a “with” clause:

```

type  $T$  is
  ...
  [with  $op_1, \dots, op_n$ ]
end type

```

where  $op_1, \dots, op_n$  belong to the set of the predefined operations above (including *get* and *set*).

Other predefined operations are available for derived types (see Section 5.4 below).

## 5.3 Predefined Types

As stated in the introduction, a “pure” FDT should not make assumption about the implementation issues. The FDT LOTOS respects this constraint by allowing for types like natural numbers or integers only an axiomatic definition. In order to make easier the user task, the standard provides a standard library of data types which contains types like: boolean, natural number, bit, octet, etc.

However, feedback from users showed that the axiomatic definition is not natural and easy to use (e.g., natural numbers where 13 is expressed by 13 compositions of the operation “Succ” applied to

“0”!). By consequence, it seems useful to accept natural (programming languages) notations for a set of predefined types. Chapter 3 defines the lexical tokens corresponding to these constants. This alternative definition does not exclude implementation dependent definitions given by the compilers<sup>2</sup>. This section presents some of the predefined types which form the static basis of any LNT description.

### 5.3.1 The boolean type

Values of the boolean type, written “`bool`”, are usual truth values **true** and **false**.

Besides the predefined operations provided for usual types, additional operations are available on type `bool`, e.g., the binary conjunction and disjunction, the unary negation, and comparisons (**false** < **true**). Binary operations may exist in strict and non-strict (short-circuit evaluation) versions. An exhaustive list of these operations is given in Table 5.1.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
<code>==</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>		equals
<code>&lt;</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>		less than
<code>&lt;=</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>		less than or equal
<code>&gt;</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>		greater than
<code>&gt;=</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>		greater than or equal
<code>not</code>	<code>bool → bool</code>		boolean negation
<code>or</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>		logical disjunction
<code>or else</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>		cancellative or
<code>and</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>		logical conjunction
<code>and then</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>		cancellative and
<code>=&gt;</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>		logical implication
<code>&lt;=&gt;</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>		logical equivalence
<code>xor</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>		exclusive or
<code>string</code>	<code>bool → string</code>		string conversion
<code>min</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>	minimum	
<code>max</code>	<code>bool, bool → bool</code>	maximum	
<code>first</code>	<code>→ bool</code>		lowest Boolean
<code>last</code>	<code>→ bool</code>		greatest Boolean
<code>succ</code>	<code>bool → bool</code>		successor
<code>succ</code>	<code>bool → bool</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	successor
<code>pred</code>	<code>bool → bool</code>		predecessor
<code>pred</code>	<code>bool → bool</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	predecessor

Table 5.1: Predefined operations on type `bool`

### 5.3.2 The natural type

Values of natural type, written “`nat`”, are natural numbers.

Besides the predefined operations provided for usual types, additional operations available on type `nat` are, for instance, binary operations such as addition, subtraction, multiplication, (Euclidean) quotient and remainder, and conversions to other numerical types. An exhaustive list of these operations is

<sup>2</sup>For example, TRAIAN provides such an implementation in the file `incl/1nt_predefined.h`.

given on Table 5.2. Operations `-`, `div`, `mod`, `gcd`, `scm`, `int`, `char`, `real`, `succ`, and `pred` can be called without exception parameter, which is equivalent to passing the `UNEXPECTED` exception.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
<code>==</code>	<code>nat, nat → bool</code>		equals
<code>&lt;</code>	<code>nat, nat → bool</code>		less than
<code>&lt;=</code>	<code>nat, nat → bool</code>		less than or equal
<code>&gt;</code>	<code>nat, nat → bool</code>		greater than
<code>&gt;=</code>	<code>nat, nat → bool</code>		greater than
<code>+</code>	<code>nat, nat → nat</code>		addition
<code>+</code>	<code>nat, nat → nat</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	addition
<code>-</code>	<code>nat, nat → nat</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	subtraction
<code>*</code>	<code>nat, nat → nat</code>		multiplication
<code>**</code>	<code>nat, nat → nat</code>		power
<code>div</code>	<code>nat, nat → nat</code>	<code>ZERO_DIVISION</code>	division
<code>mod</code>	<code>nat, nat → nat</code>	<code>ZERO_DIVISION</code>	modulus
<code>min</code>	<code>nat, nat → nat</code>		minimum
<code>max</code>	<code>nat, nat → nat</code>		maximum
<code>gcd</code>	<code>nat, nat → nat</code>		greatest common divisor
<code>gcd</code>	<code>nat, nat → nat</code>	<code>ZERO_DIVISION</code>	greatest common divisor
<code>scm</code>	<code>nat, nat → nat</code>		smallest common multiplier
<code>scm</code>	<code>nat, nat → nat</code>	<code>ZERO_DIVISION</code>	smallest common multiplier
<code>int</code>	<code>nat → int</code>		integer conversion
<code>int</code>	<code>nat → int</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	integer conversion
<code>char</code>	<code>nat → char</code>		char conversion
<code>char</code>	<code>nat → char</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	char conversion
<code>real</code>	<code>nat → real</code>		real conversion
<code>string</code>	<code>nat → string</code>		string conversion
<code>first</code>	<code>→ nat</code>		lowest natural
<code>last</code>	<code>→ nat</code>		greatest natural
<code>succ</code>	<code>nat → nat</code>		successor
<code>succ</code>	<code>nat → nat</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	successor
<code>pred</code>	<code>nat → nat</code>		predecessor
<code>pred</code>	<code>nat → nat</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	predecessor

Table 5.2: Predefined operations on type `nat`

### 5.3.3 The integral type

Values of integral type, written “`int`”, are signed naturals.

Besides the predefined operations provided for usual types, additional operations available on type `int` are, for instance, binary operations such as addition, subtraction, multiplication, (Euclidean) division, sign inversion, and conversions to other numerical types. An exhaustive list of these operations is given on Table 5.3. Operations `div`, `mod`, `rem`, `nat`, `char`, `real`, `succ`, and `pred` can be called without exception parameter, which is equivalent to passing the `UNEXPECTED` exception.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
<code>==</code>	<code>int, int → bool</code>		equals
<code>&lt;</code>	<code>int, int → bool</code>		less than
<code>&lt;=</code>	<code>int, int → bool</code>		less than or equal
<code>&gt;</code>	<code>int, int → bool</code>		greater than
<code>&gt;=</code>	<code>int, int → bool</code>		greater than
<code>sign</code>	<code>int → int</code>		sign
<code>-</code>	<code>int → int</code>		sign inversion
<code>-</code>	<code>int → int</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	sign inversion
<code>+</code>	<code>int, int → int</code>		addition
<code>+</code>	<code>int, int → int</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	addition
<code>-</code>	<code>int, int → int</code>		subtraction
<code>-</code>	<code>int, int → int</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	subtraction
<code>*</code>	<code>int, int → int</code>		multiplication
<code>**</code>	<code>int, nat → int</code>		power
<code>div</code>	<code>int, int → int</code>		division
<code>div</code>	<code>int, int → int</code>	<code>ZERO_DIVISION</code>	division
<code>mod</code>	<code>int, int → int</code>		modulus
<code>mod</code>	<code>int, int → int</code>	<code>ZERO_DIVISION</code>	modulus
<code>rem</code>	<code>int, int → int</code>		remainder
<code>rem</code>	<code>int, int → int</code>	<code>ZERO_DIVISION</code>	remainder
<code>min</code>	<code>int, int → int</code>		minimum
<code>max</code>	<code>int, int → int</code>		maximum
<code>abs</code>	<code>int → int</code>		absolute value
<code>nat</code>	<code>int → nat</code>		natural conversion
<code>nat</code>	<code>int → nat</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	natural conversion
<code>char</code>	<code>int → char</code>		char conversion
<code>char</code>	<code>int → char</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	char conversion
<code>real</code>	<code>int → real</code>		float conversion
<code>string</code>	<code>int → string</code>		string conversion
<code>first</code>	<code>→ int</code>		lowest integer
<code>last</code>	<code>→ int</code>		greatest integer
<code>succ</code>	<code>int → int</code>		successor
<code>succ</code>	<code>int → int</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	successor
<code>pred</code>	<code>int → int</code>		predecessor
<code>pred</code>	<code>int → int</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	predecessor

Table 5.3: Predefined operations on type `int`

### 5.3.4 The floating point type

Values of the floating point type, written “`real`”, are signed floating point numbers. Tools may consider implementation defined approximations of real numbers in an implementation-defined range.

Besides the predefined operations provided for usual types, additional operations on these values are the usual arithmetic operations, and conversions to another type. An exhaustive list of these operations is given in Table 5.4. Operations `/` and `int` can be called without exception parameter, which is equivalent to passing the `UNEXPECTED` exception.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
<code>==</code>	<code>real, real → bool</code>		equals
<code>&lt;</code>	<code>real, real → bool</code>		less than
<code>&lt;=</code>	<code>real, real → bool</code>		less than or equal
<code>&gt;</code>	<code>real, real → bool</code>		greater than
<code>&gt;=</code>	<code>real, real → bool</code>		greater than
<code>min</code>	<code>real, real → real</code>	minimum	
<code>max</code>	<code>real, real → real</code>	maximum	
<code>-</code>	<code>real → real</code>		sign inversion
<code>abs</code>	<code>real → real</code>		absolute value
<code>+</code>	<code>real, real → real</code>		addition
<code>-</code>	<code>real, real → real</code>		subtraction
<code>*</code>	<code>real, real → real</code>		multiplication
<code>/</code>	<code>real, real → real</code>		division
<code>/</code>	<code>real, real → real</code>	<code>ZERO_DIVISION</code>	division
<code>**</code>	<code>real, real → real</code>		power
<code>int</code>	<code>real → int</code>		int conversion
<code>int</code>	<code>real → int</code>	<code>RANGE_ERROR</code>	int conversion
<code>string</code>	<code>real → string</code>		string conversion

Table 5.4: Predefined operations on type `real`

### 5.3.5 The character type

Values of type “`char`” denote characters. Additional operations available on these values are, e.g., conversion into other types. An exhaustive list of these operations is given in Table 5.5.

### 5.3.6 The string type

Values of string type, noted “`string`”, are dynamic-length character strings.

Additional operations available on these values may be concatenation, getting length of a string, taking a substring of a longer string, taking all of a string except for a substring, inserting a string into another one, searching a given substring in a longer string ... Strings are ordered by lexicographic order. Strings may also be converted to other types. An exhaustive list of these operations is given in Table 5.6. Operation `nat` (respectively `int`, `real`) converts a string representing a literal constant of type `nat` (respectively a signed or unsigned literal constant of type `int`, `real`) in LNT notation (see Section 3.8) into a value of type `nat` (respectively `int`, `real`). Leading and trailing spaces are allowed, but any other character is forbidden. Operations `nat`, `int`, and `real` can be called without exception parameter, which is equivalent to passing the `UNEXPECTED` exception.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
<code>==</code>	<code>char, char → bool</code>		equals
<code>&lt;</code>	<code>char, char → bool</code>		less than
<code>&lt;=</code>	<code>char, char → bool</code>		less than or equal
<code>&gt;</code>	<code>char, char → bool</code>		greater than
<code>&gt;=</code>	<code>char, char → bool</code>		greater than
<code>min</code>	<code>char, char → char</code>	minimum	
<code>max</code>	<code>char, char → char</code>	maximum	
<code>nat</code>	<code>char → nat</code>		natural conversion
<code>string</code>	<code>char → string</code>		string conversion
<code>tolower, toupper</code>	<code>char → char</code>		conversion
<code>isupper, islower, isalpha,</code> <code>isdigit, isxdigit, isalnum</code>	<code>char → bool</code>		tests
<code>first</code>	<code>→ char</code>		lowest character
<code>last</code>	<code>→ char</code>		greatest character
<code>succ</code>	<code>char → char</code>		successor
<code>succ</code>	<code>char → char</code>	RANGE_ERROR	successor
<code>pred</code>	<code>char → char</code>		predecessor
<code>pred</code>	<code>char → char</code>	RANGE_ERROR	predecessor

Table 5.5: Predefined operations on type `char`

## 5.4 Derived Types

This section presents how some derived type declarations are introduced as syntactic sugar of the more general type declaration.

### 5.4.1 Singleton types

A *singleton* type declaration is a type declaration consisting of a single constructor, either without parameters or whose parameters are all of singleton types. Thus, a singleton type has a single element.

In Table 5.7, we give the exhaustive list of the operations for a singleton type  $T_S$ , in addition to the operations listed in Section 5.2. Operations marked by the symbol  $\dagger$  are not yet implemented.

### 5.4.2 Enumerated types

An *enumerated* type declaration is a type declaration consisting of several constructors, either without parameters or whose parameters are all of singleton types.

The declaration of an enumerated type  $T_E$  with values  $C_0, \dots, C_{n+1}$  has the following syntax:

```

type  $T_E$  is
   $C_0, \dots, C_{n+1}$ 
  [with  $op_1, \dots, op_n$ ]
end type

```

For example:

```

type day_of_week is
  Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, Saturday, Sunday

```

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
==	string, string → bool		equals
<	string, string → bool		less than
<=	string, string → bool		less than or equal
>	string, string → bool		greater than
>=	string, string → bool		greater than
min	string, string → string	minimum	
max	string, string → string	maximum	
length	string → nat		length
&	string, string → string		concatenation
&	bool, string → string		concatenation
&	nat, string → string		concatenation
&	int, string → string		concatenation
&	char, string → string		concatenation
&	string, string → string		concatenation
&	string, bool → string		concatenation
&	string, nat → string		concatenation
&	string, int → string		concatenation
&	string, real → string		concatenation
&	string, char → string		concatenation
index, rindex	string, string → nat		sub-string search
prefix, suffix	string, nat → string		sub-string selection
substr	string, nat, nat → string		sub-string selection
element	string, nat → char		the n-th character
empty	string → bool		emptiness test
nat	string → nat	RANGE_ERROR	nat conversion
int	string → int	RANGE_ERROR	int conversion
char	string → char		char conversion
char	string → char	RANGE_ERROR	char conversion
real	string → real	RANGE_ERROR	real conversion
string	string → string		identity

Table 5.6: Predefined operations on type `string`

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
val <sup>†</sup>	nat → $T_S$		nth value
first <sup>†</sup>	→ $T_S$		lowest $T_S$ element
last <sup>†</sup>	→ $T_S$		greatest $T_S$ element

Table 5.7: Operations predefined for a singleton type  $T_S$

```

with <
end type

```

The order in which the values of the type are declared induces an order relation, for example `Monday < Wednesday`.

In Table 5.8, we give the exhaustive list of the operations for an enumerated type  $T_E$ , in addition to the operations listed in Section 5.2. Operations marked by the symbol  $\dagger$  are not yet implemented.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
<code>val</code> $\dagger$	$\text{nat} \rightarrow T_E$		nth value
<code>first</code> $\dagger$	$\rightarrow T_E$		lowest $T_E$ element
<code>last</code> $\dagger$	$\rightarrow T_E$		greatest $T_E$ element
<code>pred</code> $\dagger$	$T_E \rightarrow T_E$		predecessor
<code>succ</code> $\dagger$	$T_E \rightarrow T_E$		successor

Table 5.8: Operations predefined for an enumerated type  $T_E$

### 5.4.3 Cascade types

A *cascade* type declaration is a type declaration with several constructors, at least one of which has one or several parameters, but the type of each parameter is either an enumerated type or a singleton type. Thus, the number of elements of a cascade type is finite.

In Table 5.9, we give the exhaustive list of the operations for a cascade type  $T_C$ , in addition to the operations listed in Section 5.2. Operations marked by the symbol  $\dagger$  are not yet implemented.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
<code>first</code> $\dagger$	$\rightarrow T_C$		lowest $T_C$ element
<code>last</code> $\dagger$	$\rightarrow T_C$		greatest $T_C$ element
<code>pred</code> $\dagger$	$T_C \rightarrow T_C$		predecessor
<code>succ</code> $\dagger$	$T_C \rightarrow T_C$		successor

Table 5.9: Operations predefined for a cascade type  $T_C$

### 5.4.4 Numeral types

A *numeral* type declaration is a type declaration  $T$  with several constructors, one of which has a parameter of type  $T$ ; The constructors may have additional parameters, provided they are all of singleton types.

In Table 5.10, we give the exhaustive list of the operations for a numeral type  $T_N$ , in addition to the operations listed in Section 5.2. Operations marked by the symbol  $\dagger$  are not yet implemented.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
<code>pred</code> $\dagger$	$T_N \rightarrow T_N$		predecessor
<code>succ</code> $\dagger$	$T_N \rightarrow T_N$		successor

Table 5.10: Operations predefined for a numeral type  $T_N$

### 5.4.5 Scalar and simple types

Scalar types are `bool`, `nat`, `int`, `char`, user defined *finite* types and *enumerable* types, and those only.

A type is *finite* if its domain is finite. Informally, a type is finite if either its constructors are of arity 0 (enumerated types), or the arguments of its constructors are finite types which do not depend recursively on the current type.

Formally, it is possible to detect finite types by constructing the dependency graph between types. A type  $T$  depends on type  $T'$  if  $T'$  appears as the type of an argument of a constructor of type  $T$ . The finite types are those contained in acyclic sub-graphs (trees) of the dependency graph having as leaves user defined enumerated types, or `bool`, or `char`.

An *enumerable* type is a type whose domain is isomorphic with the domain of natural numbers, and a total order relation defined on its elements. For the user defined types, the order relation is given by the lexicographic order induced by the declaration order of the constructors. Consider for example the type `HeaderType` (§ 5.1, p. 29). Values constructed using the `Header1` constructor are smaller than values constructed using the constructor `Header2`.

For enumerable types, it is possible to define the functions `init` and `succ`, but not `max`.

Simple types are scalar types plus the type `real`.

### 5.4.6 Record types

A *record* type corresponds to the Cartesian product of component types, except that component values are accessed by a name rather than by their position.

A record type definition  $T_R$  with fields  $V_1$  of type  $T_1$ , ...,  $V_n$  of type  $T_n$  can be defined as follows:

```

type  $T_R$  is
   $T_R(V_1:T_1, \dots, V_n:T_n)$ 
  [with  $op_1, \dots, op_n$ ]
end type

```

Operations such as selection of a field, equality, inequality, and comparisons are defined as for the constructed types.

### 5.4.7 Lists

Values of type *list* are ordered, linear lists, the elements of which belong to the same type, called the *element* type. There is no restriction on this type.

The definition of a list type  $T_L$  with elements of type  $T$  has the following syntax:

```

type  $T_L$  is
  list of  $T$ 
  [with  $op_1, \dots, op_n$ ]
end type

```

This definition is translated into a constructed type definition as follows:

```

type  $T_L$  is
   $NIL, CONS(HEAD:T, TAIL:T_L)$ 
  [with  $op_1, \dots, op_n$ ]
end type

```

Note that the recursive type definition “**type  $T$  is list of  $T$  end type**” is correct. It is expanded into “**type  $T$  is NIL, CONS (HEAD :  $T$ , TAIL :  $T$ ) end type**”, which is the definition of a binary tree.

In Table 5.11, we give the exhaustive list of the operations for a list type  $T_L$  with elements of a given type  $T$ , in addition to the operations listed in Section 5.2.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
<code>nil</code>	$\rightarrow T_L$		empty list
<code>cons</code>	$T, T_L \rightarrow T_L$		insertion as first element
<code>insert</code>	$T, T_L \rightarrow T_L$		synonym of <code>cons</code>
<code>inf</code>	$T_L \rightarrow T$		smallest element
<code>sup</code>	$T_L \rightarrow T$		largest element
<code>empty</code>	$T_L \rightarrow \text{bool}$		emptiness test
<code>length</code>	$T_L \rightarrow \text{nat}$		number of elements
<code>member</code>	$T, T_L \rightarrow \text{bool}$		membership test
<code>element</code>	$T_L, \text{nat} \rightarrow T$		$n$ th element
<code>delete</code>	$T, T_L \rightarrow T_L$		deletion of 1st occurrence
<code>remove</code>	$T, T_L \rightarrow T_L$		deletion of all occurrences
<code>head</code>	$T_L \rightarrow T$		first element
<code>tail</code>	$T_L \rightarrow T_L$		next elements
<code>union</code>	$T_L, T_L \rightarrow T_L$		concatenation
<code>reverse</code>	$T_L \rightarrow T_L$		reversion
<code>append</code>	$T, T_L \rightarrow T_L$		insertion as last element

Table 5.11: Operations predefined for a list type  $T_L$  with elements of type  $T$

The list may be specified “in extenso” by using the following notation:

$$\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$$

where  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  are expressions returning values of type  $T$ . This notation is equivalent to `insert( $E_1$ , insert( $E_2$ , ..., insert( $E_n$ , nil)))`.

### 5.4.8 Sorted lists

Sorted lists are accepted by the parser but not yet fully implemented.

The definition of a sorted list type  $T_L$  with elements of type  $T$  has the following syntax:

```

type  $T_L$  is
  sorted list of  $T$ 
  [with  $op_1, \dots, op_n$ ]
end type

```

In Table 5.13, we give the exhaustive list of the operations for a sorted list type  $T_{\text{sort}}$  with elements of a given type  $T$ , in addition to the operations listed in Section 5.2.

Note that type  $T$  must be equipped with an operation  $< : T, T \rightarrow \text{bool}$  and that function `insert` guarantees that elements are stored in ascending order.

The sorted list may be specified “in extenso” by using the following notation:

$$\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$$

where  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  are expressions returning values of type  $T$ . This notation is equivalent to

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
<code>nil</code>	$\rightarrow T_{sort}$		empty set
<code>cons</code>	$T, T_{sort} \rightarrow T_{sort}$		set constructor
<code>insert</code>	$T, T_{sort} \rightarrow T_{sort}$		element insertion
<code>inf</code>	$T_{sort} \rightarrow T$		smallest element
<code>sup</code>	$T_{sort} \rightarrow T$		largest element
<code>empty</code>	$T_{sort} \rightarrow \text{bool}$		emptiness test
<code>card</code>	$T_{sort} \rightarrow \text{nat}$		number of elements
<code>member</code>	$T, T_{sort} \rightarrow \text{bool}$		membership test
<code>element</code>	$T_{sort}, \text{nat} \rightarrow T$		nth element
<code>delete</code>	$T, T_{sort} \rightarrow T_{sort}$		deletion of 1st occurrence
<code>remove</code>	$T, T_{sort} \rightarrow T_{sort}$		deletion of all occurrences
<code>head</code>	$T_{sort} \rightarrow T$		first element
<code>tail</code>	$T_{sort} \rightarrow T_{sort}$		next elements
<code>union</code>	$T_{sort}, T_{sort} \rightarrow T_{sort}$		union
<code>inter</code>	$T_{sort}, T_{sort} \rightarrow T_{sort}$		intersection
<code>minus</code>	$T_{sort}, T_{sort} \rightarrow T_{sort}$		difference
<code>diff</code>	$T_{sort}, T_{sort} \rightarrow T_{sort}$		symmetric difference

Table 5.12: Operations predefined for a sorted list type  $T_{sort}$  with elements of type  $T$ 

`insert( $E_1$ , insert( $E_2$ , ..., insert( $E_n$ , nil)))`. It is not mandatory (but strongly advised) to write  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  in ascending order, due to the properties of function `insert`. For instance, `{3, 1, 3, 0}` will be stored as `{0, 1, 3, 3}`.

### 5.4.9 Sets

Sets are accepted by the parser but not yet fully implemented.

The definition of a set type  $T_S$  with elements of type  $T$  has the following syntax:

```
type  $T_S$  is
  set of  $T$ 
  [with  $op_1, \dots, op_n$ ]
end type
```

In Table 5.13, we give the exhaustive list of the operations for a set type  $T_{set}$  with elements of a given type  $T$ , in addition to the operations listed in Section 5.2.

Note that type  $T$  must be equipped with an operation  $< : T, T \rightarrow \text{bool}$  and that function `insert` guarantees that elements are stored in ascending order and without duplicates.

The values of type set may be represented “in extenso” (*i.e.*, by giving the list of their elements) using the notation:

$$\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$$

where  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  are expressions returning values of type  $T$ . This notation is equivalent to the value `insert( $E_1$ , insert(..., insert( $E_n$ , nil)))`. It is not mandatory (but strongly advised) to write  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  in ascending order and without duplicates, due to the properties of function `insert`. For instance, `{3, 1, 3, 0}` will be stored as `{0, 1, 3}`.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
<b>nil</b>	$\rightarrow T_{set}$		empty set
<b>cons</b>	$T, T_{set} \rightarrow T_{set}$		set constructor
<b>insert</b>	$T, T_{set} \rightarrow T_{set}$		element insertion
<b>inf</b>	$T_{set} \rightarrow T$		smallest element
<b>sup</b>	$T_{set} \rightarrow T$		largest element
<b>empty</b>	$T_{set} \rightarrow \text{bool}$		emptiness test
<b>card</b>	$T_{set} \rightarrow \text{nat}$		number of elements
<b>member</b>	$T, T_{set} \rightarrow \text{bool}$		membership test
<b>element</b>	$T_{set}, \text{nat} \rightarrow T$		nth element
<b>delete</b>	$T, T_{set} \rightarrow T_{set}$		deletion of an element
<b>remove</b>	$T, T_{set} \rightarrow T_{set}$		deletion of an element
<b>head</b>	$T_{set} \rightarrow T$		first element
<b>tail</b>	$T_{set} \rightarrow T_{set}$		next elements
<b>union</b>	$T_{set}, T_{set} \rightarrow T_{set}$		union
<b>inter</b>	$T_{set}, T_{set} \rightarrow T_{set}$		intersection
<b>minus</b>	$T_{set}, T_{set} \rightarrow T_{set}$		difference
<b>diff</b>	$T_{set}, T_{set} \rightarrow T_{set}$		symmetric difference
<b>subset</b>	$T_{set}, T_{set} \rightarrow \text{bool}$		inclusion test

Table 5.13: Operations predefined for a set type  $T_{set}$  with elements of type  $T$ 

### 5.4.10 Arrays

Arrays are accepted by the parser but not yet fully implemented.

The definition of an array type  $T_A$  with elements of type  $T$  has the following syntax:

```

type  $T_A$  is
  array [array_bound . . . array_bound] of  $T$ 
  [with  $op_1, \dots, op_n$ ]
end type

```

Array bounds are non-negative integers defined by the following grammar:

*array\_bound* ::= NATURAL *unsigned integer* (array\_bound1)

In Table 5.14, we give the exhaustive list of the operations for an array type  $T_A$ , in addition to the operations listed in Section 5.2.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
$T_A$	$T, \dots, T \rightarrow T_A$		$n$ -ary array initialization
$T_A$	$T \rightarrow T_A$		unary array initialization

Table 5.14: Operations predefined for an array type  $T_A$  with elements of type  $T$ 

### 5.4.11 Ranges

Ranges are accepted by the parser but not yet fully implemented.

The definition of a range type  $T_R$  with elements of type  $T$  has the following syntax:

```

type  $T_R$  is
  range range_bound . . . range_bound of  $T$ 
  [with  $op_1, \dots, op_n$ ]
end type

```

In this definition,  $T$  is one of the types **nat**, **int**, or **char**. It is called the (immediate) supertype of  $T_R$  and  $T_R$  is called an (immediate) subtype of  $T$ . The notions of supertype and subtype are transitive, i.e., if  $T_R$  is itself the supertype of a type  $T'$  (which may be either a range type or a predicate type), then  $T$  is a supertype of  $T'$  and  $T'$  a subtype of  $T$  as well.

Range bounds are either characters or (possibly signed) integers defined by the following grammar:

```

range_bound ::= NATURAL           unsigned integer           (range_bound1)
                | (+ | -) NATURAL   signed integer           (range_bound2)
                | CHAR               character                (range_bound3)

```

In Table 5.15, we give the list of the operations for a range type  $T_R$ , in addition to the operations listed in Section 5.2. In Tables 5.16, 5.17, and 5.18, we give an additional list of operations available for a range type  $T_R$  only when  $T$  equals **nat**, **int**, or **char**, respectively. Operations marked by the symbol  $\dagger$  are not yet implemented.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
<b>first</b> $\dagger$	$\rightarrow T_R$		lowest $T_R$ element
<b>last</b> $\dagger$	$\rightarrow T_R$		greatest $T_R$ element
<b>pred</b> $\dagger$	$T_R \rightarrow T_R$		predecessor
<b>succ</b> $\dagger$	$T_R \rightarrow T_R$		successor
$T_R$	$T \rightarrow T_R$		conversion to immediate subtype
$T_R$	$T_R \rightarrow T_R$		identity
$T$	$T_R \rightarrow T$		conversion to immediate supertype

Table 5.15: Operations predefined for a range type  $T_R$  with elements of type  $T$

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
<b>+</b> $\dagger$	$T_R, T_R \rightarrow T_R$		addition
<b>+</b> $\dagger$	$T_R, T_R \rightarrow T_R$	RANGE_ERROR	addition
<b>-</b> $\dagger$	$T_R, T_R \rightarrow T_R$		subtraction
<b>-</b> $\dagger$	$T_R, T_R \rightarrow T_R$	RANGE_ERROR	subtraction

Table 5.16: Operations predefined for a range type  $T_R$  with elements of type **nat**

$T_R$  is the constructor of type  $T_R$ , i.e., if  $E$  (resp.  $P$ ) is a value (resp. a pattern) of type  $T$ , then  $T_R(E)$  (resp.  $T_R(P)$ ) is a value (resp. a pattern) of type  $T$ , provided  $E$  (resp.  $P$ ) is in the range defined by type  $T_R$ .

### 5.4.12 Predicate types

Predicate types are accepted by the parser but not yet fully implemented.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
+ <sup>†</sup>	$T_R \rightarrow T_R$		identity
- <sup>†</sup>	$T_R \rightarrow T_R$		opposite
- <sup>†</sup>	$T_R \rightarrow T_R$	RANGE_ERROR	opposite
+ <sup>†</sup>	$T_R, T_R \rightarrow T_R$		addition
+ <sup>†</sup>	$T_R, T_R \rightarrow T_R$	RANGE_ERROR	addition
- <sup>†</sup>	$T_R, T_R \rightarrow T_R$		subtraction
- <sup>†</sup>	$T_R, T_R \rightarrow T_R$	RANGE_ERROR	subtraction

Table 5.17: Operations predefined for a range type  $T_R$  with elements of type `int`

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
+ <sup>†</sup>	$T_R, \text{nat} \rightarrow T_R$		addition
+ <sup>†</sup>	$T_R, \text{nat} \rightarrow T_R$	RANGE_ERROR	addition
- <sup>†</sup>	$T_R, \text{nat} \rightarrow T_R$		subtraction
- <sup>†</sup>	$T_R, \text{nat} \rightarrow T_R$	RANGE_ERROR	subtraction

Table 5.18: Operations predefined for a range type  $T_R$  with elements of type `char`

The definition of a predicate type  $T_P$  with elements of type  $T$  satisfying a predicate  $E$  has the following syntax:

```

type  $T_P$  is
   $V:T$  [where  $E$ ]
  [with  $op_1, \dots, op_n$ ]
end type

```

The type expression “**type**  $T_P$  **is**  $V:T$ ” is equivalent to “**type**  $T_P$  **is**  $V:T$  **where true**”. The only difference is that the latter definition issues a warning “*condition always true*”, whereas the former does not.

Similarly to range types, in this definition,  $T$  is called the immediate supertype of  $T_P$  and  $T_P$  is called an (immediate) subtype of  $T$ . The notions of supertype and subtype are transitive, as explained in Section 5.4.11.

If present,  $E$  must be a Boolean value expression (see Section 6.2 page 48) which may use the variable  $V$ .

In Table 5.19, we give the exhaustive list of the operations for a predicate type  $T_P$ , in addition to the operations listed in Section 5.2. Operations marked by the symbol <sup>†</sup> are not yet implemented.

Name	Profile	May raise	Description
$T_P$	$T \rightarrow T_P$		conversion to immediate subtype
$T_P$	$T_P \rightarrow T_P$		identity
$T^{\dagger}$	$T_P \rightarrow T$		conversion to immediate supertype

Table 5.19: Operations predefined for a predicate type  $T_P$  with elements of type  $T$ 

$T_P$  is the constructor of type  $T_P$ , i.e., if  $E$  (resp.  $P$ ) is a value (resp. a pattern) of type  $T$ , then  $T_P(E)$  (resp.  $T_P(P)$ ) is a value (resp. a pattern) of type  $T_P$ , provided  $E$  (resp.  $P$ ) satisfies the predicate of type  $T_P$ .

## 5.5 External Types and Pragmas

In order to interface with other languages, a type definition may specify the name which should be used for the type in an implementation. Moreover, it can also specify that a type has an external definition. This is done using *pragmas*.

The general syntax for type definition becomes:

```
type T is type-pragmas
   $C_1$  [ $(\bar{V}_1:T_1^1, \dots, \bar{V}_{m_1}:T_{m_1}^1)$ ] operation-pragmas,
  ...,
   $C_n$  [ $(\bar{V}_1:T_1^n, \dots, \bar{V}_{m_n}:T_{m_n}^n)$ ] operation-pragmas
  [with  $op_1$  operation-pragmas, ...,  $op_n$  operation-pragmas]
end type
```

Types pragmas are lists of *type-pragma* having the following forms:

- “!external” if the type has an external implementation; this implementation should be provided in an external file having the extension “.tnt”.
- “!implementedby “*name*”” (or equivalently “!implementedby “C:*name*””) if the external C name used for the type is *name*.
- “!implementedby “LOTOS:*name*”” if the external LOTOS name used for the type is *name*. This pragma is meaningful only when TRAIAN is called with option -lotos.
- “!comparedby “*name*”” (or equivalently “!comparedby “C:*name*””) if the equality function == should be implemented by a C function named *name*.
- “!printedby “*name*”” (or equivalently “!printedby “C:*name*””) if the values of the type should be printed by a C function named *name*.
- “!iteratedby “*name\_1*”, “*name\_2*”” (or equivalently “!iteratedby “C:*name\_1*”, “C:*name\_2*””) if the values of the type should be iterated (if possible) by a couple of C functions: *name\_1*, which has no parameter and provides the first value of the type, and *name\_2*, which takes as parameter a defined variable and either assigns it the next value and returns true, or returns false if the input parameter value was the last value of the type.
- “!pointer” if the type has to be implemented by a pointer in C.
- “!nopointer” if the type does not have to be implemented by a pointer in C. If this constraint cannot be satisfied (e.g., because the type is self-recursive, or because it is part of a set of mutually-recursive types, all of which have the pragma “!nopointer”), then TRAIAN issues an error.
- “!card *n*”, where  $n > 1$ , if all values of type *T* have to be stored into a hash table of size *n* and, thus, represented as entries within this table. The hash table is extensible, meaning that *T* can have more than *n* different values. For performance reasons, it is advised to choose a value of *n* close to the cardinal of *T*.
- “!bits *n*”, where  $n > 0$ , has the same meaning as “!card  $2^n$ ”.
- “!list” if the type is isomorphic to a list type and should be printed in the braced form “{*v*<sub>1</sub>, ..., *v*<sub>*n*</sub>}” rather than as constructors with parameters.

Type pragmas must satisfy the following constraints:

- Every kind of type pragma can occur at most once in a type definition.
- The type pragmas “!pointer”, “!nopointer”, “!card *n*”, and “!bits *n*” are mutually exclusive. They cannot be given to singleton types and enumeration types. The type pragmas “!pointer” and “!nopointer” cannot be given to numeral types.
- In all pragmas having the following forms: “!comparedby *name*”, “!comparedby *C:name*”, “!implementedby *name*”, “!implementedby *C:name*”, “!iteratedby *name\_1*, *name\_2*”, “!iteratedby *C:name\_1*, *C:name\_2*”, “!printedby *name*”, and “!printedby *C:name*”, *name*, *name\_1*, and *name\_2* must neither be reserved keywords of the C language nor identifiers predefined in the standard libraries of the C language (e.g., “true”, “false”, “bool”).

Type pragmas of the form “!comparedby *LOTOS:name*”, “!printedby *LOTOS:name*”, and “!iteratedby *LOTOS:name\_1*, *LOTOS:name\_2*” are rejected during the expansion phase.

Each *operation\_pragmas* (attached to an operation that is either a constructor  $C_i$  or an automatically generated function  $opi$ ) is a (possibly empty) list of *operation\_pragma* having the following forms:

- “!virtual” expresses that the operation is not fully defined in the current module but in another module that is not included (transitively) in the current module.
- “!external” if the operation has an external implementation in a file having the extension “.fnt”. This pragma cannot be used for an automatically generated function.
- “!implementedby *name*” (or equivalently “!implementedby *C:name*”) if the external C name of the operation is *name*.
- “!implementedby *LOTOS:name*” if the external LOTOS name of the operation is *name*. This pragma is meaningful only when TRAIAN is called with option -lotos.

Operation pragmas must satisfy the following constraints:

- Every kind of operation pragma can occur at most once in each operation definition.
- In pragmas of the form “!implementedby *name*” and “!implementedby *C:name*”, *name* must neither be a reserved keyword of the C language nor an identifier predefined in the standard libraries of the C language (e.g., “true”, “false”, “bool”).
- In pragmas of the form “!implementedby *LOTOS:name*”, *name* must be a valid identifier of the LOTOS language.
- If an operation has the !virtual pragma, then it must not have any other pragma.
- An operation may have at most one virtual definition (i.e., a definition with pragma “!virtual”) and one actual definition (i.e., a definition without pragma “!virtual”). Both operations are not constructors.
- If an operation has both a virtual and an actual definition, then those definitions should be located in distinct modules, and the module containing the actual definition should not be included (transitively) in the module containing the virtual definition.
- If a constructor function has both a virtual and an actual definition, then both definitions should have identical profiles, i.e., same value parameter names and types.

## Chapter 6

# Expressions, Statements, and Functions

The data part of LNT is mainly based on types, expressions, statement, and functions. Types and type definitions are presented in Chapter 5; expressions, statements, and functions are presented in this chapter.

One may replace the data part of LNT with another data description formalism ensuring the safety property, for example ACTONE data types. Note that the behaviour part of the language contains symmetrical constructs of the data part.

There is a fundamental difference between the expressions/statements (and functions) and behaviours (and processes). An expression or a statement cannot contain communication, non-determinism, concurrency, or real-time. The data part is a sequential and deterministic language. The evaluation of an expression takes no time, and should return a value, or may raise an event. The evaluation of a statement takes no time, and may return a value, assign some variables, and raise an event.

An important characteristic of the data language presented here is its “clean” imperative style. The language supports assignment and other imperative style facilities, but a proper semantics is given [Sig99], which restricts undesirable effects like the use of uninitialized variables. The imperative style is combined with constructs specific of functional languages, e.g., *pattern-matching*.

This chapter presents all aspects related to data language: constants, variables, expressions, statements, and function declarations.

### 6.1 Constants

Primitive constants, written  $K$ , are boolean values **true** and **false**, signed or unsigned integer literals (of type **int** or **nat**), signed or unsigned floating point literals (of type **real**), character literals (of type **char**), and string literals (of type **string**). See Section 3.8 for details about the syntax of literals.

Literals cannot be followed by parentheses. For instance, “1 ()” is allowed only if a nullary function or constructor named 1 is defined. In that case it represents a call to this function or constructor, rather than the natural or integer constant 1.

## 6.2 Value expressions

*Value expressions* (or shortly expressions) are primitive constants and terms of the user defined types built using the application of constructors or functions. As a consequence, any value is typed. Moreover, value expressions cannot assign variables and may raise events (§ 6.4.10, p. 65) only by function calls.

The following grammar gives the syntax of value expressions. Value expressions followed by a star (\*) are not yet fully implemented.

$E ::= K$	<i>primitive constant</i>	(E1)
$V$	<i>variable</i>	(E2)
$V.\mathbf{in}$	<i>input argument (in postcondition)</i>	(E3)
$V.\mathbf{out}$	<i>output argument (in postcondition)</i>	(E4)
$\mathbf{result}$	<i>function result (in postcondition)</i>	(E5)
$C [(ES)]$	<i>constructor application</i>	(E6)
$E C E$	<i>infix constructor application</i>	(E7)
$\{ E_1, \dots, E_n \}$	<i>list or set construction</i>	(E8)
$F [(ES)]$	<i>function call</i>	(E9)
$F [XS] (ES)$	<i>function call with exceptions</i>	(E10)
$E F [XS] E$	<i>infix function call</i>	(E11)
$E [E]$	<i>array access*</i>	(E12)
$E.[X]V$	<i>field selection</i>	(E13)
$E.[X]\{ UES \}$	<i>field update</i>	(E14)
$E \mathbf{of} T$	<i>type coercion</i>	(E15)
$(E)$	<i>parenthesized expression</i>	(E16)
$ES ::= \dots$	<i>ellipsis</i>	(ES1)
$V_0 \rightarrow E_0, \dots, V_n \rightarrow E_n [, \dots]$	<i>named style</i>	(ES2)
$E_1, \dots, E_n$	<i>positional style</i>	(ES3)
$UES ::= V_0 \rightarrow E_0, \dots, V_n \rightarrow E_n$	<i>field assignment</i>	(UES1)
$XS ::= \dots$	<i>ellipsis</i>	(XS1)
$X'_0 \rightarrow X_0, \dots, X'_n \rightarrow X_n [, \dots]$	<i>named style</i>	(XS2)
$X_0, \dots, X_n$	<i>positional style</i>	(XS3)

where  $E, E_1, \dots$  denote value expressions,  $V$  denotes variables,  $C$  denotes constructor identifiers,  $ES$  denotes lists of actual value expression parameters (named for record or unnamed for tuple), and  $XS$  is a list of actual event parameters (§ 6.4.10, p. 65).

In addition to the above syntactic rules, calls to functions and constructors whose identifier is a

special identifier (see Section 3.6) have to satisfy the following:

- A nullary function or constructor (i.e., a function or constructor that has no variable parameter) whose identifier is a `SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER1` must be called using parentheses if it has event parameters. For instance, one must write “`7T [E] ()`” instead of “`7T [E]`”. However, if such a function or constructor has no event parameters, then it can be used without parentheses.
- A nullary function or constructor whose identifier is a `SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER2` must always be called using parentheses, even if it has no event parameters. For instance, one must write “`<> ()`” instead of “`<>`” and “`% [E] ()`” instead of “`% [E]`”.
- A unary function or constructor (i.e., a function or constructor that has a single variable parameter) whose name is a `SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER2` can be called without enclosing its argument within parentheses. For instance, one can write “`-X`” instead of “`-(X)`” and “`& [E1, E2] 7`” instead of “`& [E1, E2] (7)`”.
- A binary function or constructor (i.e., a function or constructor that has two variable parameters) whose identifier is a `SPECIAL_IDENTIFIER1` cannot be used in the infix form.

The precedence of operators appearing in expressions is given in table 6.2. The letter case of identifiers appearing in this table must be respected for the given precedence to be taken into account. Otherwise, a warning is issued.

Priority	Operations
0.	. field selection and update, [...] array access
1.	unary operators
2.	<b>of</b>
3.	infix binary operators not listed below
4.	**
5.	*, /, div, mod, rem
6.	+, -
7.	==, =, !=, <>, <, <=, >=, >
8.	and, and then, or, or else, xor, =>, <=>

Note that **of** expressions are not allowed under . field selection and update, unless the **of** expression is enclosed within parentheses. For instance, “`X of T.Y`” is not allowed and should be written “`(X of T).Y`” instead.

The symbols “**and**”, “**or**”, “**xor**”, “**div**”, “**mod**”, and “**rem**” are keywords, which must be written using lower-case letters. Identifiers containing upper-case letters (e.g., ‘**AND**’ or ‘**Div**’) are assumed to be user-defined infix operators (with highest precedence). To avoid any confusion with the corresponding lower-case infix operators, a warning is emitted if parentheses are missing. The symbols “**and then**” and “**or else**” are also keywords and using upper-case letters would trigger a syntax error.

The infix Boolean connectors “**and**”, “**and then**”, “**or**”, “**or else**”, “**xor**”, “**<=>**”, and “**=>**” having the same precedence, parentheses should be used when combining them. Absence of parentheses triggers a warning, as for instance “`x and y or z`”. Similarly, parentheses should be used when combining distinct infix functions, which are neither keywords nor key symbols (i.e., functions of priority level 2 in Table A.2).

All (infix) operators of same precedence are parsed from left to right, meaning that “`E1 op1 E2 op2 E3`” is parsed as “`(E1 op1 E2) op2 E3`” rather than “`E1 op1 (E2 op2 E3)`”.

The precedence of operators given in table A.2 changed in February 2021 (TRAIAN version 3.3 released simultaneously with CADP version 2021-b). Since then, warnings are triggered whenever expressions are parsed differently due to this change. The module pragma “!update "2021-b"” can be used to declare that the new precedence of operators is taken into account, and then avoid these warnings. The scope of this pragma is not only the file in which it occurs, but the entire program. Another way to avoid these warnings is to set the environment variable “\$LNT\_UPDATE” with the value 2021-b.

Value expressions are evaluated to *value* or normal forms. Values are primitive constants and ground terms of the user defined types built using the application of constructors on value records. We will write values  $N, N_1, \dots$  and sequences of values  $NS$ .

The construct “...” for event parameters allows the user to avoid the explicit instantiation of the actual event parameters if they are the same name as the formal parameters. For example, if the formal event parameter of the function  $F$  is called  $X$ , one may call  $F$  like “ $F$  [...] ()” which is replaced by the compiler with “ $F$  [ $X \rightarrow X$ ] ()”; in this case,  $X$  should be already declared in the environment.

The expressions “ $V.in$ ”, “ $V.out$ ”, and **result** can be used only in postconditions (see section 6.5).

### 6.2.1 Variables

*Variables*, noted  $V$ , are *assignable* objects containing values which are computed elsewhere. Note that, from this point of view, the LNT data language is an imperative language: it supposes the existence of a *memory* (a set of cells represented by variables which can store some values) which can be accessed for read and write operations. However, the static semantics constraints impose a clean imperative style: the access to an uninitialized cell (variable) is signaled at compile time and does not produce a run-time error.

A value expression may be a variable  $V$ . The variable must be initialized (contains a value). The type of the expression is the type of the variable, and the result of the expression evaluation is the value of the variable  $V$ .

### 6.2.2 Constructor application

The constructor application computes values of the domain of their target type. The constructor should be already defined in the current environment by type definitions (§ 5.1, p. 29). The actual list of arguments of the constructor may be expressed either *by name* giving a record whose fields are labelled with the names of formal parameters (alternative ES2), or *by position* giving the (ordered) list of actual values (alternative ES3).

The expressions below use positional constructor application:

$$C (E_1, \dots, E_n)$$

$$E_1 C E_2$$

the following expression use the named style:

$$C (V_1 \rightarrow E_1, \dots, V_n \rightarrow E_n)$$

Note that the positional style may be translated into the named style using the static semantics informations about the constructor declaration.

The number of actual parameters must be the same as the arity of the constructor. In the named style, the names of the formal parameters (fields  $V_1, \dots, V_n$ ) must be pairwise distinct, *i.e.*, a formal parameter  $V_i$  should appear only once in the list. The values  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  associated with these names

must have the same types as the corresponding formal parameters.

If the constructor has only two parameters it can be applied in the infix positional style.

If the constructor is overloaded, the informations given by the type of its parameters and the type of the resulting value should suffice to solve the overloading (*i.e.*, to find the unique constructor having this profile).

The evaluation of constructor application begins with the left-to-right evaluation of its actual parameters. The values obtained are used to form the constructed value which is the result of evaluation. If one expression  $E_i$  raises an event (§ 6.4.10, p. 65), the evaluation is blocked, and the event is signaled.

### Example 6.2.1

Monday is a value of the type `day-of-week`.

`Header1 (1, 2, 3)`, `Header2 (1, 0, 2, 3)` are values of type `HeaderType`.

`Header1 (1, 2, 3)` and `Header1 (data_length -> 2, dest_id -> 1, header_CRC -> 3)` represent the same value of type `HeaderType`. ■

## 6.2.3 Function application

The function application is largely treated in Section 6.5.2. Note that, for function application expressions the function should return a value and cannot do side effects (have only “**in**” and/or “**in var**” parameters).

Functions may be predefined operations described in 5.3.

## 6.2.4 Brace list of expressions

A brace list of expressions has the following general form, where  $n \geq 0$ :

$$\{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$$

This expression is a shorthand notation for either “`Insert (V1,...Insert (Vn, Nil)...)`”, “`Cons (V1,...Cons (Vn, Nil)...)`”, or simply “`Nil` if  $n = 0$ ”.

Let  $T_V$  be the type of  $V_0, \dots, V_n$  and  $T_E$  be the type of the brace list. There must exist a function “`Nil` :  $\rightarrow T_E$ ” and if  $n > 0$ , the choice between `Insert` and `Cons` is done as follows:

- If there exists a function (possibly a constructor) “`Insert` :  $T_V, T_E \rightarrow T_E$ ”, then the brace list of expressions is expanded using this function, even if there also exists a function `Cons` with the same profile. This is the case in particular if  $T_E$  is a list type, a sorted list type, or a set type.
- Otherwise, the brace list of expressions is expanded using the function (possibly constructor) “`Cons` :  $T, T' \rightarrow T'$ ”, if it exists.

The choice of the function should be unambiguous, *i.e.*, if any other existing function “`Insert` :  $T'_V, T'_E \rightarrow T'_E$ ” or “`Cons` :  $T'_V, T'_E \rightarrow T'_E$ ” of a different profile were used to expand the list, then the resulting expression should not be correctly typed.

### 6.2.5 Field selection

A field selection expression has the general form:

$$E.[X]V$$

where  $E$  is an expression and its value is of the form  $C(V_1 \rightarrow N_1, \dots, V_n \rightarrow N_n)$  (*i.e.*, a constructed value) and  $V$  is one of the fields  $V_1, \dots, V_n$ . The selection expression returns the value of this field.

It is worth noticing that the event  $X$  is raised (see Section 6.4.10) if the value returned by  $E$  does not have a field of name  $V$ . In fact, the static semantics ensures that the field  $V$  is a field of one of the constructors of the  $E$  type. However, this does not suffice to ensure that no dynamic error arises.

NOTE: The event  $X$  may be omitted, in which case the `UNEXPECTED` event will be raised instead.

NOTE: To be sure that no event is raised, one may wish to use field selections for record types only. In this case, the static semantics will ensure that the single constructor of the record type has the field as argument; thus, the raise clause should be omitted with current version of the compiler.

If  $E$  is an expression of type  $T$ , *get* functions must be generated for  $T$ . To do so, *get* must be put in the “**with**” clause of the definition of  $T$  (see Section 5.2).

#### Example 6.2.2

If  $E$  is an expression of type `HeaderType`, the following expression:

$$E.[X]\text{dest\_id}$$

selects the component “`dest_id`” of the value. More precisely, if  $E$  evaluates to “`Header1 (data_length -> 2, dest_id -> 1, header_CRC -> 3)`”, the selection expression above returns 1; if  $E$  evaluates to “`Header2 (1, 0, 2, 3)`”, the selection expression evaluates to 1 (the field “`dest_id`” is the first parameter of the “`Header2`” constructor). ■

The field selection may be translated into a function call. For example, if  $E$  is an expression of type  $T$  below:

```

type  $T$  is
   $C_1(V_1:T_1)$ ,
   $C_2(V_2:T_2)$ ,
   $C_3(V_1:T_1, V_2:T_2)$ ,
   $C_4(V_4:T_4)$ 
end type

```

then, the expression  $E.[X]V_1$  is equivalent to a function call having the following body:

```

case  $E$ 
var  $V_1:T_1$  in
   $C_1(V_1)$ 
  |  $C_3(V_1, \text{any } T_2)$  -> return  $V_1$ 
  | any -> raise  $X$ 
end case

```

### 6.2.6 Field update

A field update expression has the general form:

$$E.[[X]]\{V_1 \rightarrow E_1, \dots, V_n \rightarrow E_n\}$$

where  $E$  is an expression,  $X$  is an event, and the value of  $E$  is of form  $C(V'_1 \rightarrow N_1, \dots, V'_m \rightarrow N_m)$  (*i.e.*,

a constructed value) and  $\{V_1, \dots, V_n\} \subseteq \{V'_1, \dots, V'_m\}$ .

The update expression returns the value of  $E$  where the fields  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  have been modified to values resulted from the evaluation of  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  expressions. If the value represented by  $E$  has not (all) the fields  $V_1, \dots, V_n$ , the event  $X$  is raised.

NOTE: To be sure that no event is raised, one may wish to use field updates only for types all constructors of which have fields  $V_1, \dots, V_n$ . In this case, the static semantics will ensure that no event can be raised, and the event should be omitted with current version of the compiler.

If  $E$  is an expression of type  $T$ , *set* functions must be generated for  $T$ . To do so, *set* must be put in the “**with**” clause of the definition of  $T$  (see Section 5.2).

### 6.2.7 Explicit Typing

The explicit typing expression “ $E$  of  $T$ ” may be used in two situations:

- It can be used as an annotation for the resolution of overloading. When  $E$  may have several types (i.e., it is a positive literal constant —of either type `int` or `nat`— or the application of an overloaded function or constructor) and when the context information is not sufficient for TRAIAN to resolve this type, then “ $E$  of  $T$ ” can be used to eliminate the ambiguity. In that case, the evaluation of this expression is the same as the evaluation of  $E$ .
- It can also be used to convert a value from supertype to subtype. In the particular case where the type of  $E$  (say  $T'$ ) is a supertype of  $T$  (i.e.,  $T'$  is a range type or a predicate type, see Sections 5.4.11 and 5.4.12), “ $E$  of  $T$ ” can be used to convert  $E$  from  $T'$  to  $T$ .

More precisely, if  $T''$  is the immediate supertype of  $T$ , then “ $E$  of  $T$ ” is thus equivalent to “ $T(E$  of  $T'')$ ”, where  $T : T'' \rightarrow T$  is the constructor of type  $T$ . In that case, we have either  $T'' = T'$  or  $T''$  is a supertype of  $T'$ , i.e., the interpretation of “ $E$  of  $T''$ ” has to be recursive.

In some cases, “ $E$  of  $T$ ” can be simultaneously interpreted as an annotation for the resolution of overloading and as a conversion from supertype to subtype. Consider the value “ $F$  of  $T$ ” in a program containing the following type and function definitions:

```

type  $T$  is range 0..1 of nat end type
function  $F : T$  is return  $T(0)$  end function
function  $F : \mathbf{nat}$  is return 1 end function

```

“ $F$  of  $T$ ” could be interpreted either as a call to the function that returns a value of type  $T$  (which evaluates to  $T(0)$ ) or as the conversion to  $T$  of the result of the call to the function that returns a value of type `nat` (i.e.,  $T(F$  of `nat`), which evaluates to  $T(1)$ ).

To avoid ambiguity, TRAIAN interprets “ $E$  of  $T$ ” prioritarily as an annotation for the resolution of overloading whenever it is meaningful, i.e., in the above example, “ $F$  of  $T$ ” evaluates to  $T(0)$ .

### 6.2.8 Parenthesized Expression

Parenthesized expressions can be used to force the precedence of operators or for esthetic considerations:

$(E)$

$(E)$  evaluates like  $E$ .

## 6.3 Patterns

A *pattern* is a construct allowing to obtain informations about the structure of values. The patterns, denoted by  $P$ , have the following form:

$P ::= V$	<i>variable</i>	(P1)
$K$	<i>constant pattern</i>	(P2)
<b>any</b> $[T]$	<i>wildcard</i>	(P3)
$V$ <b>as</b> $P$	<i>aliasing</i>	(P4)
$C [(PS)]$	<i>constructed pattern</i>	(P5)
$F [(PS)]$	<i>value pattern</i>	(P6)
$P C P$	<i>constructed pattern infix</i>	(P7)
$P F P$	<i>value pattern infix</i>	(P8)
$\{ P_1, \dots, P_n \}$	<i>list pattern</i>	(P9)
$P$ <b>of</b> $T$	<i>explicit typing</i>	(P10)
$P$ <b>where</b> $E$	<i>guarded pattern</i>	(P11)
$(P)$	<i>parenthesized pattern</i>	(P12)

where  $E$  is an expression of type `bool` and  $PS$  denotes lists of pattern parameters (named for records or unnamed for tuples):

$PS ::= \dots$	<i>ellipsis</i>	(PS1)
$V_0 \rightarrow P_0, \dots, V_n \rightarrow P_n [, \dots]$	<i>named style</i>	(PS2)
$P_1, \dots, P_n$	<i>positional style</i>	(PS3)

Non-constructor functions can be used in patterns, provided they can be evaluated before pattern-matching. Therefore, if a pattern contains a non-constructor function, then its subterms can only be constants, brace lists of patterns, **of** coercions, and function applications (transitively). In particular, it cannot contain variables, **any**, **where**, and **as**.

The additional rules for calls to unary and nullary function and constructors whose identifier is a special are the same as for functions in expressions.

The precedence of infix functions and constructors in patterns is the same as in table 6.2, except “**and then**” and “**or else**”, which are not permitted. The “**as**” construct has the lowest precedence, i.e., “ $V$  **as**  $P_1 C P_2$ ” is parsed as “ $V$  **as**  $(P_1 C P_2)$ ” for any infix constructor  $C$ .

Due to the coexistence of both forms “**any**” and “**any**  $T$ ”, some patterns may have an ambiguous interpretation. For instance, “**any**  $X Y (Z)$ ” could have the following two interpretations:

1.  $X$  might be interpreted as a type and therefore,  $Y$  as an infix constructor and  $(Z)$  as a pattern between parentheses, or
2.  $X$  might be interpreted as an infix constructor and therefore, “ $Y (Z)$ ” as a pattern consisting of a constructor  $Y$  and a pattern parameter  $Z$ .

To avoid such ambiguities, TRAIAN requires that the first symbol following  $T$  in “**any**  $T$ ” is not an identifier (neither normal nor special). As a consequence, “**any**  $T$ ” must be enclosed in parentheses when used on the left of an infix constructor, e.g., one should write “**(any**  $T$ )  $C P$ ” instead of

“**any**  $T$   $C$   $P$ ”. The correct interpretation of “**any**  $X$   $Y$  ( $Z$ )” is therefore the second one in the above enumeration. Note that “**any**  $T$  **of**  $T$ ” does not require parentheses around “**any**  $T$ ”, as **of** is a keyword.

The variables  $V$  belonging to a pattern  $P$  are “initialization” occurrences (*i.e.*, they should be already declared, but may be non initialized). It is not allowed to use several times the same variable  $V$  in the same pattern  $P$ .

NOTE: Like in ACTONE, and unlike in functional languages, the occurrence of a variable in a pattern is a “use” occurrence and not a “define” occurrence. This design choice is compatible with the “declare before use” requirement of imperative style languages.

The pattern-matching of a value  $N$  with a pattern  $P$  has two effects:

1. Sends a boolean result which is true if  $N$  has the same structure as  $P$ , false otherwise.
2. If  $N$  matches  $P$ , the variables  $V$  used by  $P$  are initialized with the values extracted from  $N$ .

Matching is defined (recursively) as follows. Remind that patterns and values match only if they have the same type.

Pattern	Value	Condition	Effect	Result
$V$	$N$	None	$V$ receives $N$	true
$K$	$K$	None	None	true
$K$	$N$	$K \neq N$	None	false
<b>any</b>	$N$	None	None	true
$V$ <b>as</b> $P$	$N$	$P$ and $N$ match	$V$ receives $N$	true
$V$ <b>as</b> $P$	$N$	$P$ and $N$ do not match	None	false
$C(P_1, \dots, P_n)$	$C(N_1, \dots, N_n)$	Each $P_i, N_i$ match	None	true
$C(P_1, \dots, P_n)$	$C(N_1, \dots, N_n)$	Some $P_i, N_i$ do not match	None	false
$C(P_1, \dots, P_n)$	$N$	$N$ has not the form $C(N_1, \dots, N_n)$	None	false
$P$ <b>of</b> $T$	$N$	Same as matching $P$ and $N$		
$P$ <b>where</b> $E$	$N$	Matching $P$ and $N$ returns true and $E$ evaluates to <b>true</b>	Same as matching $P$ and $N$	true
$P$ <b>where</b> $E$	$N$	Matching $P$ and $N$ returns false or $E$ evaluates to <b>false</b>	None	false

Note that:

- In the pattern  $V$  **as**  $P$ ,  $V$  cannot occur in  $P$ .
- $P_1$   $C$   $P_2$  is defined exactly like  $C(P_1, P_2)$ .
- The meaning of “ $P$  **of**  $T$ ” is the same as the meaning of “ $E$  **of**  $T$ ” (see Section 6.2.7), transposed to patterns instead of expressions.
- For  $P$  **where**  $E$ , evaluation of  $E$  takes into account the effect of matching  $P$  and  $N$ , *i.e.*, evaluation of  $E$  takes place in the context of variables bound by the matching.
- Brace lists of patterns expand in the same way as brace lists of expressions (see Section 6.2.4). Note that **Nil** and **Cons** do not need to be constructor functions, provided the list elements (if any) do not contain variables, **any**, **where**, and **as**.

The “...” notation is a shorthand meaning that all fields of the record have an “any” pattern. Note that the type of the record should be unambiguous. Also, the “...” shorthand can be used following a sequence of labelled patterns. It will be translated to a record in which the unspecified fields are “any” patterns.

### Example 6.3.1

For a value of type `HeaderType`, the following patterns:

```
Header1 (dest, length, crc)
```

```
Header2 (dest_id -> dest of int, data_length -> data, header_CRC -> crc, ...)
```

allow to obtain the destination (into the variable `dest`), the length of data (into the variable `length`), and the CRC (into the variable `crc`) of the value. The source value is neglected since the “...” are translated to `source_id -> any`. ■

Note that the variables initialized by the matching of the pattern  $P$  against the value  $N$  may be used in the remainder of the description iff the pattern-matching is successful. This ensures that the variables defined inside a pattern are always initialized before use.

The patterns are mainly used in the “case” statement (§ 6.4.7, p. 59).

## 6.4 Statements

A LNT *statement*, denoted by  $I$ , may return a value, assign variables, and raise events. The main difference between expressions and statements is that statements only may assign variables and explicitly raise events.

Each statement is typed by the record of variables assigned and the value returned. The evaluation of a statement may modify the memory, return a value, or/and raise an event.

The following grammar gives the syntax of statements. Statements followed by a star (\*) are not yet fully implemented.

$I ::=$	<b>return</b> $E$	<i>value return</i>	(I 1)
	<b>null</b>	<i>termination</i>	(I 2)
	$V := E$	<i>assignment</i>	(I 3)
	$V += [XS] E$	<i>increment</i>	(I 4)
	$V -= [XS] E$	<i>decrement</i>	(I 5)
	$V [E] := E$	<i>array assignment*</i>	(I 6)
	$V [E] += [XS] E$	<i>array increment*</i>	(I 7)
	$V [E] -= [XS] E$	<i>array decrement*</i>	(I 8)
	$I ; I$	<i>sequential composition</i>	(I 9)
	<b>var</b> $\bar{V} : T \{, \bar{V} : T\}$ <b>in</b> $I$ <b>end var</b>	<i>variable declaration</i>	(I 10)
	<b>case</b> $E \{, E\}$ [ <b>var</b> $VL$ ] <b>in</b> $IM$	<i>case statement</i>	(I 11)

<b>end case</b>		
<b>if</b> $E$ <b>then</b> $I$	<i>conditional statement</i>	(I 12)
{ <b>elsif</b> $E$ <b>then</b> $I$ }		
[ <b>else</b> $I$ ]		
<b>end if</b>		
[eval] $[V := ] F [[XS]] [(VS)]$	<i>procedure call</i>	(I 13)
<b>loop</b> $I$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>forever loop</i>	(I 14)
<b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b>	<i>named loop</i>	(I 15)
$I$		
<b>end loop</b>		
<b>while</b> $E$ <b>loop</b>	<i>while loop</i>	(I 16)
$I$		
<b>end loop</b>		
<b>while</b> $E$ <b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b>	<i>named while loop</i>	(I 17)
$I$		
<b>end loop</b>		
<b>for</b> $I$ <b>while</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $I$ <b>loop</b>	<i>for-while loop</i>	(I 18)
$I$		
<b>end loop</b>		
<b>for</b> $I$ <b>while</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $I$ <b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b>	<i>named for-while loop</i>	(I 19)
$I$		
<b>end loop</b>		
<b>for</b> $I$ <b>until</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $I$ <b>loop</b>	<i>for-until loop</i>	(I 20)
$I$		
<b>end loop</b>		
<b>for</b> $I$ <b>until</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $I$ <b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b>	<i>named for-until loop</i>	(I 21)
$I$		
<b>end loop</b>		
<b>break</b> $X$	<i>loop break</i>	(I 22)
<b>raise</b> $X$ [ $()$ ]	<i>raise event</i>	(I 23)
<b>assert</b> $E$ [ <b>raise</b> $X$ [ $()$ ]]	<i>assertion</i>	(I 24)
<b>trap</b> $IH$ <b>in</b>	<i>trapping events</i>	(I 25)
$I$		
<b>end trap</b>		
<b>use</b> $V\{,V\}$	<i>variable use</i>	(I 26)
<b>access</b> $X\{,X\}$	<i>event access</i>	(I 27)

$\bar{V} ::= V \{,V\}$  *list of variable identifiers* (VL1)

$VL ::= \vec{V}:T \{, \vec{V}:T\}$	<i>variable list</i>	(VL2)
$IM ::= P \{, P\} \{   P \{, P\} \} \rightarrow I$	<i>match-statement</i>	(IM1)
$IM \mid IM$	<i>list</i>	(IM2)
$IH ::= X:H \rightarrow I$	<i>event handler</i>	(IH1)
$IH \mid IH$	<i>list</i>	(IH2)
$VE ::= E$	<i>actual parameter “in” or “in var”</i>	(VE1)
$?V$	<i>actual parameter “out” or “out var”</i>	(VE2)
$V?$	<i>actual parameter “in out”</i>	(VE3)
$VS ::= \dots$	<i>ellipsis</i>	(VS1)
$V_0 \rightarrow VE_0, \dots, V_n \rightarrow VE_n [ , \dots ]$	<i>positional style</i>	(VS2)
$VE_1, \dots, VE_n$	<i>positional style</i>	(VS3)

where  $IM$  are match instructions,  $VE$  are actual value parameter, and  $VS$  are sequences of actual value parameters.

In the following we present each LNT statement.

### 6.4.1 Value return

The evaluation of the statement “**return**  $E$ ” begins with the evaluation of  $E$ . If  $E$  evaluates successfully, the statement returns the value of  $E$ . If  $E$  raises an event, the statement raises the same event and terminates unsuccessfully (blocks).

### 6.4.2 Null Statement

The statement “**null**” has no other effect than termination. It does not return any value and it does not assign any variable.

### 6.4.3 Assignment

The effect of an assignment statement “ $V := E$ ” is the modification of the value stored by the variable  $V$  at the value given by the expression  $E$ . Note that side effects are avoided because the expression  $E$  cannot assign other variables. It can only return a value.

The evaluation starts by evaluating  $E$ . If  $E$  terminates successfully, the resulting value is assigned to the variable  $V$ . If  $E$  raises an event (§ 6.4.10, p. 65), the event is propagated and  $V$  is not assigned. The value of a variable may also be modified by function call (§ 6.5.2, p. 69). A variable can take several successive values, for example:

```
V := 0 ; V := V + 1
```

where the variable  $V$  receives values 0 and 1. As long as statements and expressions cannot have a behaviour, the variable  $V$  takes these values at the same instant.

#### 6.4.4 Increment and decrement

The effect of an increment (resp. decrement) statement “ $V +=[XS] E$ ” (resp. “ $V -=[XS] E$ ”) is the same as the statement “ $V := V +[XS] E$ ” (resp. “ $V := V -[XS] E$ ”).

Therefore, there must be a function  $+$  (resp.  $-$ ) of type  $[C_1, \dots, C_n] T_1, T_2 \rightarrow T_1$ , where  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  are the channels of  $XS$  (if present, otherwise  $n = 0$ ),  $T_1$  is the type of  $V$ , and  $T_2$  is the type of  $E$ .

#### 6.4.5 Sequential Composition

In the sequential composition of statements “ $I_1 ; I_2$ ”, the statement  $I_1$  cannot return a value but may assign variables (*i.e.*, the return statement should be in the final position of the sequential composition).

The evaluation starts by evaluating  $I_1$ . If  $I_1$  terminates successfully, the result is given by the evaluation of  $I_2$ . If  $I_1$  raises an event (§ 6.4.10, p. 65), the event is propagated and  $I_2$  is never started. For example “**raise X**;  $V := 1$ ” will never assign 1 to  $V$ .

#### 6.4.6 Variable declaration

Variables may be declared using the local variable declaration statement, which has the simple form:

```
var V1:T1, ..., Vn:Tn
in I
end var
```

where  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  are variable identifiers,  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  are type identifiers, and  $I$  is a statement.

A “**var**” statement declares the names of variables having the same scope, and their types. The scope of a variable declaration is the body  $I$ . Scoping is lexical: any re-declaration of a variable hides the outer declaration.

Variables  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  should be different.

#### 6.4.7 Case statement

LNT allows to describe conditional processing of data by using constructs similar to those used by the usual programming languages: “**case**” and “**if**”.

The most general conditional statement offered by LNT is the “**case**” statement, whose simplest form

is:

```

case  $E_0$ 
  var  $V_1:T_1, \dots, V_n:T_n$  in
     $P_1 \rightarrow I_1$ 
  |  $\dots$ 
  |  $P_n \rightarrow I_n$ 
end case

```

where  $n \geq 1$ . The expression  $E_0$  must have the same type as the patterns  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ . The statements  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  should return a value of the same type and initialize the same set of (non-local declared) variables. This condition is important for the control of the variable flow. The scope of variables  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  are the patterns  $P_i$  and the statements  $I_i$ .

The patterns  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  must be exhaustive, *i.e.*, they must cover all the possible values of type  $T$ . There exists algorithms that check statically this condition [Sch88]. To make a list of patterns exhaustive one can add a clause “**any of**  $T \rightarrow I_{n+1}$ ” at the end of the list.

The evaluation of a “**case**” statement is made as follows. Let  $N_0$  be the value of the expression  $E_0$ ;  $N_0$  is matched sequentially over the clauses corresponding to patterns  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  until it matches one. The result of the case statement is the same as the result of the statement  $I_i$  (evaluated in the context of variables bound by  $P_i$ ) corresponding to the first clause  $i$  which matches  $N_0$ .

#### Example 6.4.1

The statement below returns the destination identifier of an expression  $E$  of type `HeaderType`:

```

case E of HeaderType
  var dest: int in
    Header1 (dest, any, any) -> return dest
  | Header2 (dest -> dest, ...) -> return dest
end case

```

Note that the patterns cover all the values of type `HeaderType`. The wildcard “**any**” are used to match all values which are not interesting for the remainder of the statement. ■

Note that constant values may be filtered by giving their values, excepting the floating point values (of `real` type). Constants may also be filtered by using the “**if**” statement.

A more sophisticated form of the “**case**” statement provides factorization of clauses which have the same target statement  $I_i$ . Consider for example, the statement which encodes the working days of a week by 1 and the week-end days by 0 using the above “**case**” statement:

```

case E in
  Monday    -> return 1
  | Tuesday -> return 1
  | Wednesday -> return 1
  | Thursday -> return 1
  | Friday   -> return 1
  | Saturday -> return 0
  | Sunday   -> return 0
end case

```

A simpler form with the same effect is:

```

case E in
  Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday -> return 1

```

```
| Saturday | Sunday -> return 0
end case
```

### 6.4.8 If statement

The “**if**” construct allows conditional computations; it is generally included in all languages. In LNT it has the form:

```
if E0 then I0
  elsif E1 then I1
  ...
  elsif En then In
  [else In+1]
end if
```

where  $n \geq 0$ , so the “**elsif**” clauses are optional. A missing “**else**” clause is equivalent to “**else null**”.

The expressions  $E_0, \dots, E_n$  are called *conditions*. They must be of type `bool`, and do not have side effects. The statements  $I_0, \dots, I_{n+1}$  should return a value of the same type and should initialize the same variables. This constraint is important for the control of the variable flow.

The evaluation of an “**if**” statement is done as follows. The conditions  $E_0, \dots, E_n$  are evaluated in this order until a condition  $E_i$  evaluates to **true**; the evaluation of the “**if**” statement is the same as the evaluation of  $I_i$ . If all conditions are false, the result of “**if**” is the result of  $I_{n+1}$ , which by default is “**null**”.

#### Example 6.4.2

The following statement computes the maximum of two integral numbers X and Y:

```
if X >= Y then return X else return Y end if
```

■

The “**if**” statement is less powerful than the “**case**” statement. Moreover, the “**if**” statement above may be translated into the following (equivalent) “**case**” statement:

```
case E0 in
  true -> I0
| false ->
  case E1 in
    true -> I1
  | false -> ...
  end case
end case
```

However, in this case it is recommended to use the “**if**” statement instead of the sophisticated “**case**” statement.

### 6.4.9 Iteration Statements

LNT allows to describe repetitive processing of data by mean of several iteration constructs. The most general and simplest one is the unnamed loop, but several specialized iteration constructs are also provided, like named, conditional (“**while**”), and iterative (“**for**”) loops.

Iterative constructs provide a way to express recursive processing of data without use of recursive functions. This avoids the stack overflow due to the infinite or great number of recursive function calls.

**Loop forever statement** The simplest iterative construct offered by LNT is the “**loop**” forever statement:

```
loop I end loop
```

where *I* is called the loop *body*.

The evaluation of a “**loop**” forever statement never terminates. The statement *I* is repeatedly evaluated. It can read and write variables of the current context. This type of iteration may introduce non-terminating processing of data. This may be signaled by the compiler. Note that if *I* raises a handled event (§ 6.4.10, p. 65), the loop is interrupted.

**Named loop statements** In practice, it is difficult to imagine examples of data processing which never terminate. Statements are generally used to compute (instantaneously) values. For this reason a form of named loop is provided:

```
loop X in  
  I  
end loop
```

where *X* is the loop identifier, *i.e.*, the loop name. The statement *I* may read and write variables of the current context with respect to static semantics constraints.

A loop is broken using the “**break**” statement which has the following syntax:

```
break X
```

where *X* is the name of the loop to be broken.

### Example 6.4.3

The following statement computes the sum of elements of a given list of integers *xs*:

```
var ys: intlist := xs, total: int := 0
in
  ys := xs;
  total := 0;
  loop Sum in
    case ys
      var z: int, zs: intlist in
        nil -> break Sum
      | cons (z, zs) -> total := total + z;
                          ys := zs
    end case
  end loop
end var
```

■

The named loops are used to break loops which are not the inner one, for example:

```
loop fred in
  loop janet in
```

```

    if V then break fred
    ...

```

As will be shown in Section 6.4.10, the named loop is a syntactic sugar for infinite loop construct and event handling.

**While statement** The conditional execution of a loop may be expressed using the “**while**” construct which exists in most languages:

```

while  $E_0$  loop
     $I$ 
end while

```

where  $E_0$  is an expression of type `bool`.  $I$  is the body of the loop, which may return a result (not used), read or write the variables of the current context.

At each iteration the expression  $E_0$  is evaluated; if it returns **true**, the statement  $I$  is evaluated; otherwise, the “**while**” statement terminates.

#### Example 6.4.4

The statement below computes the factorial of  $n$ :

```

var k: int,
    fact: int
in
    k := n;
    fact := 1;
    while (k > 0) loop
        fact := fact * k;
        k := k - 1
    end while;
    return fact
end var

```

The property `fact = n!/k!` is the invariant of the loop, and the termination is ensured by the fact that `k` decreases at each iteration. The result of the statement is `fact = n!/0! = n!`. ■

This form of loop may be translated into a named loop as follows:

```

loop  $X$  in
    if  $E_0$  then  $I$ 
    else break  $X$ 
    end if
end loop

```

A named “**while**” loop of the form “**while**  $E_0$  **loop**  $X$  **in**  $I$  **end loop**” is also available. Its semantics is the same as the unnamed “**while**” loop, except that the loop is interrupted if  $I$  executes a “**break**  $X$ ” statement, similarly to a named “**loop**”.

**For-while statement** The “**for-while**” statement, allows to describe in a compact form finite iterations. Its form is closed to the “**for**” construct of the C language:

```

for  $I_0$  while  $E_1$  by  $I_2$  loop
     $I$ 
end loop

```

where  $I_0$  is a statement doing only variable assignments;  $E_1$  is an expression of type `bool`;  $I_2$  is a statement doing only assignments;  $I$  is the body of the loop, repeatedly executed. It can assign variable but it cannot return a result.

The evaluation of a “**for-while**” statement begins with evaluating the initialization statement  $I_0$  in the current context of variables. Then, while the boolean expression  $E_1$  evaluates to **true**, the body of the loop,  $I$ , is evaluated and when  $I$  terminates then  $I_2$  is evaluated. If  $E_1$  evaluates to **false**, the “**for-while**” statement terminates.

In fact, this form of loop is syntactic sugar of named loop; it can be translated as follows:

```

I0;
loop X in
  if E1 then I; I2
  else break X
  end if
end loop

```

A named “**for-while**” loop of the form “**for**  $I_0$  **while**  $E_1$  **by**  $I_2$  **loop**  $X$  **in**  $I$  **end loop**” is also available. Its semantics is the same as the unnamed “**for**” loop, except that the loop is interrupted if  $I$  executes a “**break**  $X$ ” statement, similarly to a named “**loop**”.

**For-until statement** The “**for-until**” statement has the following form:

```

for I0 until E1 by I2 loop
  I
end loop

```

where  $I_0$  is a statement doing only variable assignments;  $E_1$  is an expression of type `bool`;  $I_2$  is a statement doing only assignments;  $I$  is the body of the loop, repeatedly executed. It can assign variable but it cannot return a result.

The evaluation of a “**for-until**” statement begins with evaluating the initialization statement  $I_0$  in the current context of variables. Then, the body of the loop,  $I$ , is evaluated. When  $I$  terminates, if the condition  $E_1$  evaluates to **true**, then the “**for-until**” statement terminates. Otherwise,  $I_2$  is evaluated and the loop continues, executing  $I$  again.

In fact, this form of loop is syntactic sugar of named loop; it can be translated as follows:

```

I0;
loop X in
  I;
  if E1 then break X else I2 end if
end loop

```

Note that, unlike “**for-while**”, the “**for-until**” loop guarantees that the body  $I$  of the loop is evaluated at least once, before evaluating the condition  $E_1$ . Therefore, variables read in the condition  $E_1$  may be initialized in  $I$ .

A named “**for-until**” loop of the form “**for**  $I_0$  **until**  $E_1$  **by**  $I_2$  **loop**  $X$  **in**  $I$  **end loop**” is also available. Its semantics is the same as the unnamed “**for-until**” loop, except that the loop is interrupted if  $I$  executes a “**break**  $X$ ” statement, similarly to a named “**loop**”.

### 6.4.10 Events and their handling

An important feature of the language is the possibility to signal and treat the errors or unexpected cases by raising and trapping events (formerly also called *exceptions*).

**Raise statement** Events are used to interrupt the evaluation of expressions or statements. An event may be raised using the “**raise**” statement, whose simplest form is:

```
raise X
```

$X$  is an event identifier which should be already declared. The declaration of an event is made using the “**trap**” statement.

The evaluation of a “**raise**” signals the event  $X$  and blocks the evaluation.

#### Example 6.4.5

The following specification raises the event Hd if one tries to take the head of an empty list:

```
case xs
  var x: int in
  nil -> raise Hd
| cons (x, any) -> return x
end case
```

■

**Assert statement** Events may be raised by the violation of an assertion, using the “**assert**” statement, whose general form is:

```
assert E raise X
```

$X$  is an event identifier which should be already declared and  $E$  is a boolean expression. If  $E$  is false then the “**assert**” statement behaves as “**raise X**”. Otherwise, it behaves as “**null**”.

The simpler form “**assert E**” is equivalent to “**assert E raise UNEXPECTED**”.

**Trap statement** Events either propagate to top level, or are *trapped* by a “**trap**” construct containing the event handler. The simplest syntax of the “**trap**” construct is:

```
trap
  X1:H1 -> I1
  | ... |
  Xn:Hn -> In
in
  I0
end trap
```

where  $n \geq 1$ . The clauses contained between keywords “**trap**” and “**in**” are called *event handler* (formerly also called *exception handler*). An event handler declares the name of the event  $X_i$  and its treatment  $I_i$ . The channels  $H_1, \dots, H_n$  must be **raise** channels (see Section 7.1).

The scope of event identifiers  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is only the statement  $I_0$ . So these events are handled only if raised by  $I_0$ . If one of  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  raises an event  $X_i$ , it is not handled by the current “**trap**” statement. The event identifiers must be different from UNEXPECTED and i.

The statements  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  may either return a value of the same type and initialize the same variables as the statement  $I_0$ , or block. These constraints are checked statically. They ensure that the “**trap**” statement is well typed, and the flow of initialized variables is the same whatever the evolution of evaluating  $I_0$  is.

The evaluation of the “**trap**” statement begins with the evaluation of  $I_0$ . If  $I_0$  raises one of the events  $X_i$ , its evaluation is interrupted, and the result of the “**trap**” statement is the result of  $I_i$ . If  $I_0$  terminates normally, *i.e.*, without raising any of the events  $X_i$ , the “**trap**” statement also terminates.

#### Example 6.4.6

The following statement returns 0 when  $c$  equals 0, or assigns the value of  $b/c$  to  $a$  otherwise.

```
trap
  ZD : exit -> return 0
in
  a := b / [ZD] c
end trap
```

■

The “**trap**” statement both declares and traps the event—this means it is impossible for an event to escape outside its scope, except the `UNEXPECTED` predefined event.

NOTE: This can be contrasted with a language such as SML where exception declaration and exception handling are separated, so it is possible for exceptions to escape their scope.

```
local
  exception Foo
in
  raise Foo
end
```

Note that the only way in which an event distinct from `UNEXPECTED` can be observed by its environment is by trapping it. The `UNEXPECTED` event stops the execution abruptly and prints a message giving the file and line where the event was raised. If the C code generated by `TRAIAN` has been compiled with the `-DDEBUG` flag, then the names and locations of processes and functions that were on the call stack when the event was raised are also displayed, for debugging purpose.

**Implementation issues** If the code generated by `TRAIAN` is used in external implementations, the C function `TRAIAN_INIT ()` must be called before any action. This function initializes the structures used by `TRAIAN` for the implementation of the event mechanism.

### 6.4.11 Variable use

The “**use**” construct has the simple form:

```
use  $V_0, \dots, V_n$ 
```

where  $V_0, \dots, V_n$  are variable identifiers. It marks the variables  $V_0, \dots, V_n$  as used, as if they occurred in some expression. This statement is useful to eliminate warnings signaling that some variables are unused.

The variables  $V_0, \dots, V_n$  must be distinct and declared in the environment.

### 6.4.12 Event access

The “**access**” construct has the simple form:

```
access  $X_0, \dots, X_n$ 
```

where  $X_0, \dots, X_n$  are event identifiers. It marks the events  $X_0, \dots, X_n$  as accessed, as if they occurred in some expression or instruction. This statement is useful to eliminate warnings signaling that some events are unaccessed.

The events  $X_0, \dots, X_n$  must be distinct and declared in the environment.

## 6.5 Functions

Functions are a mean for code structuring and re-usability.

This section describes how LNT users may define and use functions. The LNT predefined functions are described in Section (§ 5.3, p. 31).

### 6.5.1 Function definition

A function definition has the following syntax:

```
function  $F$  [ [ $\bar{X}_1$ : exit, ...,  $\bar{X}_m$ : exit] ] ([ $A_1$ ]  $\bar{V}_1:T_1, \dots, [A_n]$   $\bar{V}_n:T_n$ ) [ $:T$ ] is  

  operation_pragmas  

  [precondition1; ... precondition $p$ ];  

  [postcondition1; ... postcondition $q$ ];  

  [ $I$ ]  

end function
```

where  $A_i$  may be “**in**”, “**in var**”, “**out**”, “**out var**”, or “**in out**”. The default value for  $A_i$  is “**in**”.

NOTE: This form for function declaration was chosen for syntactic compatibility with IDL (and Ada) and for an easier interface with C.

$F$  is the name of the function. Two function names may be the same if their profiles (*i.e.*, the types of parameters or the result type) differ.

( $[A_i]$   $\bar{V}_1:T_1, \dots$ ) is the list of *formal value parameters*. Value parameters may be constant values (“**in**” or “**in var**” parameter), result values (“**out**” or “**out var**” parameter), or modifiable values (“**in out**” parameters); the default type is “**in**”. An “**in**” parameter may be read but its value is not changed by the function call. If its value is changed by  $I$ , then a warning is issued, unless it is declared as “**in var**”, which allows it to be used as a local variable. An “**out**” parameter should be assigned by  $I$  and its value is visible after the function call. If its value is read by  $I$  (after being assigned), then a warning is issued, unless it is declared as “**out var**”, which allows it to be used as a local variable. Note that its value may be read in a postcondition or in the “**where**” clause of a nondeterministic assignment defining it (as in, e.g., “ $x := \mathbf{any\ nat\ where\ } x > 0$ ”) without having to declared it as “**out var**”. An “**in out**” parameter has an initial value, and  $I$  may modify them; the value of the parameter assigned by  $I$  is visible after the function call. The scope of variables in the lists  $\bar{V}_1, \dots, \bar{V}_n$  is the body of the function,  $I$ .

$T$  is the result type of the function. [ $\bar{X}_1$ : **exit**, ...] is the list of *formal event parameters* (formerly also called *formal exception parameters*). The scope of the events in the lists  $\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_m$  is the body of the function,  $I$ . The events in the lists  $\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_m$  must be different from UNEXPECTED and i.

The syntax of *operation\_pragmas* was introduced in Chapter 5 for constructor definitions and automatically generated functions specified in the “**with**” clauses of types. They have the same meaning in function definitions. The following additional constraints apply:

- An “**!implementedby** “*name*” or “**!implementedby** “**C:***name*” pragma must be present if the “**!external**” pragma is present.
- The body *I* of the function definition must be either absent or equal to “**null**” if either the “**!external**” or the “**!virtual**” pragma is present. Conversely, *I* must be present if both the “**!external**” and “**!virtual**” pragmas are absent.
- If a non-constructor function *F* has both a virtual and an actual definition, then both definitions should have identical profiles, i.e., same event parameter names and channels, and same value parameter modes, names, and types. As regards parameter modes, the following exception holds: to an “**in var**” (resp. “**out var**”) parameter in the actual definition corresponds an “**in**” (resp. “**out**”) parameter in the virtual definition, i.e., the virtual definition should not contain “**in var**” and “**out var**” parameters.

Each *precondition* has the form “**require** *E* [**raise** *X* [(*O*)]]”, where *E* is a boolean expression whose variables must be declared as “**in**”, “**in var**”, or “**in out**” parameters and *X* (if present) is either an event parameter or the UNEXPECTED event. The expression *E* must evaluate to true when entering the function. Otherwise, the exception *X* (if present) or UNEXPECTED (otherwise) is raised.

Each *postcondition* has the form “**ensure** *E* [**raise** *X* [(*O*)]]”, where *E* is a boolean expression whose variables must be declared as parameters and *X* (if present) is either an event parameter or the UNEXPECTED event. The expression *E* must evaluate to true when exiting the function. Otherwise, the exception *X* (if present) or UNEXPECTED (otherwise) is raised.

The expressions “*V.in*” and “*V.out*” can be used only in postconditions of routines (functions or processes) that contain an “**in var**” or “**in out**” parameter *V*. “*V.in*” denotes the value of parameter *V* when entering the routine. “*V.out*” denotes the value of parameter *V* when exiting the routine. In a postcondition, every occurrence of an “**in var**” or “**in out**” parameter *V* must have either form “*V.in*” or “*V.out*”. For this reason, the notation “...” cannot be used in a postcondition if its expansion contains a parameter *V* declared with mode “**in var**” or “**in out**”.

Note that postconditions have access to the formal parameters of the routine, but not to its local variables. The reason is that, in principle, in the perspective of proving programs, postconditions are intended to provide logical information about the result and/or output values of “**out**”, “**out var**”, and “**in out**” parameters of the routine call to the caller, which cannot see the local variables of the routine. For the same reason, using “*V.out*” for an “**in var**” parameter *V* is not standard practice and not recommended, because the output value of the “**in var**” parameter is not visible by the caller, like a local variable. However, since a postcondition containing “*V.out*” for an “**in var**” parameter *V* can be checked at runtime anyway, TRAIAN will only issue a warning instead of an error in this case.

The keyword **result** can be used only in postconditions of functions that return a result. Its value is the result returned by the function.

The statement *I* computes the result value of the function and the output parameters. Its environment is the list of formal parameters (value and event). In LNT it is not possible to assign “global” variables or to raise “global” events. All variables and events used by the body of the function must be declared as function parameters, with the exception of the special events **i** and UNEXPECTED. If *T* is given, the result type of *I* must be *T*. The values assigned to output parameters must be correctly typed.

**Example 6.5.1**

Consider the declaration of the function `hd`:

```
function hd [Hd: exit] (xs: intlist) : int
is
  case xs var x: int in
    nil -> raise Hd
  |   cons (x, any) -> return x
  end case
endfun
```

where `xs` is an input parameter of type `intlist`. Note that the body of the function does not assign global variables, the variable `x` being local to the second clause of the “**case**” statement.

The declaration below uses the output parameters to return several results:

```
function partition (in xs: intlist, out less: intlist, in x: int, out gtr: intlist)
is
  var ys: intlist,
      ls: intlist,
      gs: intlist
  in
    ys := xs;
    ls := nil;
    gs := nil;
    loop P in
      case ys var z: int, zs: intlist in
        nil -> break P
      |   cons (z, zs) ->
          if (z < x) then
            ls := cons (z, ls)
          else
            gs := cons (z, gs)
          end if;
          ys := zs
        end case
      end loop;
      less := ls;
      gtr  := gs
    end var
end function
```

■

Functions whose name is a special identifier are aimed at being used in value expressions only. Thus, they must have a result type and must not have parameters of mode “**out**”, “**out var**”, or “**in out**”.

**6.5.2 Function call**

Function calls can be used in both expressions and statements. In expressions, functions that have two parameters can be used either in the prefix form or in the infix form.

The call of a function  $F$  in LNT has two forms. The “positional” function call give the ordered list

of the parameters:

$$[\mathbf{eval}] [V := ] F [X'_1, \dots, X'_m] ([E_1|?V'_1|V'_1?], \dots, [E_n|?V'_n|V'_n?])$$

where  $n$ , *resp.*  $m$ , must be equal to the number of formal value parameters, *resp.* to the number of formal event parameters.  $([?V'_1|E_1], \dots)$  is the list of *actual value parameters*. Expressions  $E_i$  should appear in the same position as the “**in**” and “**in var**” parameters and must have the same type. Variables  $V_i$  should appear as actual parameters of the “**in out**” (when suffixed by “?”) and “**out**” or “**out var**” (when prefixed by “?”) formal parameters, and must be already declared with the same type as the formal parameters.  $X'_1, \dots, X'_n$  are *actual event parameters*. The result of the function, if any, may be assigned to the variable  $V$ .

The “named” call of the functions use the name of formal parameters to specify the correspondence between formal and actual parameters; the order of the actual parameters is not important. The three alternatives below are equivalent:

$$[\mathbf{eval}] [V := ] F [X'_1, \dots, X'_m] (V_1 \rightarrow [E_1|?V'_1|V'_1?], \dots, V_n \rightarrow [E_n|?V'_n|V'_n?])$$

where the list of actual value parameters is named,

$$[\mathbf{eval}] [V := ] F [X_1 \rightarrow X'_1, \dots, X_m \rightarrow X'_m] ([E_1|?V'_1|V'_1?], \dots, [E_n|?V'_n|V'_n?])$$

where the list of actual event parameters is named, and

$$[\mathbf{eval}] [V := ] F [X_1 \rightarrow X'_1, \dots, X_m \rightarrow X'_m] \\ (V_1 \rightarrow [E_1|?V'_1|V'_1?], \dots, V_n \rightarrow [E_n|?V'_n|V'_n?])$$

where both lists are named. The constraints above are also applied here.

Note that the **eval** keyword is always optional in functions.

Note that the “positional” style cannot be merged with the “named” style in the same list of actual parameters. This style of function call is similar to the Ada style.

The “...” shorthand for the record of actual parameters  $VS$  is expanded to the list of unspecified parameters as follows: if the parameter is an “**in**” or “**in var**” one, the expression is the variable which has the same name as the formal parameter; if the parameter is an output (“**in out**”, “**out**”, or “**out var**”), the actual parameter is the query symbol followed by the name of the formal parameter. Similarly for the list of actual event parameters.

The evaluation of a function call begins with the left-to-right evaluation of expressions corresponding to the input parameters. For the “**in out**” parameters, the input value is the value of the variable given as parameter. Then, the body of the function is evaluated in the context of actual values for input parameters and of actual event parameters. The body should assign all the “**out**” and “**out var**” parameters and should return a value if the function returns a value.

Note that LNT supports call by value (“**in**” and “**in var**” parameters) and (a restricted form of) call by reference (“**in out**”, “**out**”, and “**out var**” parameters). Functions as arguments of functions (second order functions) are not allowed.

### Example 6.5.2

The function `partition` may be used by a quick sort function as follows:

```
function quicksort (xs: intlist) : intlist
is
  case xs var y: int, ys: intlist in
    nil -> nil
  | cons (y, ys) ->
```

```
    var l: intlist, g: intlist
    in
      partition (xs, ?l, y, ?g);
      return append (quicksort (l), cons (y, quicksort (g)))
    end var
  end case
end function
```

Note that the variables `l` and `g` are locally declared to the second clause of the case because the first clause does not initialize them. ■



## Chapter 7

# Channels, Behaviours, and Processes

### 7.1 Channels

A channel denotes a type constraint over the usage of events as exceptions or in rendezvous, and over the communication offers that can be used by events in rendezvous. A channel name is denoted by the letter  $H$ .

The syntax for channel definition is the following:

```
channel  $H$  is
  [raise]
  ( [  $\bar{V}:T$  { ,  $\bar{V}:T$  } ] )
  { , ( [  $\bar{V}:T$  { ,  $\bar{V}:T$  } ] ) }
end channel
```

If a channel  $H$  declared without the keyword **raise** contains a declaration of the form “( $V_1:T_1, \dots, V_n:T_n$ )”, then every event typed with  $H$  can be used in a rendezvous with  $n$  offers typed respectively by  $T_1, \dots, T_n$ .

If a channel  $H$  is declared with the keyword **raise**, then every event typed with  $H$  can only be used in a **raise** behaviour or statement. Note that for the time being, the channel should have the form “**raise** ()” as exceptions with parameters are not yet supported.

Beyond such user-defined channels, there are three special channels:

- The keyword **any** denotes a built-in channel that defines no constraint on events. Thus, any event declared with channel **any** can be used in a rendezvous involving any number and type of offers.
- The channel **none** is defined by “**channel none is () end channel**” in the predefined library. Thus, any event declared with channel **none** can be used only in rendezvous involving no offer at all.
- The channel **exit** is defined by “**channel exit is raise () end channel**” in the predefined library. Any event declared with channel **exit** can be used only in a **raise** behaviour or statement.

Note that user-defined channels are recognized by the parser, but are not yet fully implemented.

## 7.2 Behaviours

The following grammar gives the syntax of behaviours. Behaviours followed by a star (\*) are not yet fully implemented.

$B ::=$ <b>null</b>	<i>termination</i>	(B 1)
<b>stop</b>	<i>deadlock</i>	(B 2)
$V := E$	<i>assignment*</i>	(B 3)
$V +=[X S] E$	<i>increment*</i>	(B 4)
$V -=[X S] E$	<i>decrement*</i>	(B 5)
$V [E] := E$	<i>array assignment*</i>	(B 6)
$V [E] +=[X S] E$	<i>array increment*</i>	(B 7)
$V [E] -=[X S] E$	<i>array decrement*</i>	(B 8)
$V := \mathbf{any} [ T ] [ \mathbf{where} E ]$	<i>nondeterministic assignment*</i>	(B 9)
$B ; B$	<i>sequential composition</i>	(B 10)
<b>var</b> $\bar{V} : T \{ , \bar{V} : T \}$ <b>in</b>	<i>variable declaration*</i>	(B 11)
$B$		(B 12)
<b>end var</b>		(B 13)
<b>case</b> $E \{ , E \}$	<i>case behaviour*</i>	(B 14)
[ <b>var</b> $\bar{V} : T \{ , \bar{V} : T \}$ ] <b>in</b>		(B 15)
$BM$		(B 16)
<b>end case</b>		(B 17)
<b>if</b> $E$ <b>then</b> $B$	<i>conditional behaviour*</i>	(B 18)
{ <b>elsif</b> $E$ <b>then</b> $B$ }		(B 19)
[ <b>else</b> $B$ ]		(B 20)
<b>end if</b>		(B 21)
<b>only if</b> $E$ <b>then</b> $B$	<i>only if behaviour*</i>	(B 22)
{ <b>elsif</b> $E$ <b>then</b> $B$ }		(B 23)
<b>end if</b>		(B 24)
<b>alt</b> $B \{ [ ] B \}$ <b>end alt</b>	<i>alt behaviour*</i>	(B 25)
[eval] $V := F [X S] [(V S)]$	<i>procedure call with result*</i>	(B 26)
<b>eval</b> $F [X S] [(V S)]$	<i>procedure call without result*</i>	(B 27)
$P [X S] [(V S)]$	<i>process call*</i>	(B 28)
<b>loop</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>forever loop*</i>	(B 29)
<b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>named loop*</i>	(B 30)
<b>while</b> $E$ <b>loop</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>while loop*</i>	(B 31)
<b>while</b> $E$ <b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>named while loop*</i>	(B 32)
<b>for</b> $B$ <b>while</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $B$ <b>loop</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>for-while loop*</i>	(B 33)

<b>for</b> $B$ <b>while</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $B$ <b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>named for-while loop*</i>	(B 34)
<b>for</b> $B$ <b>until</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $B$ <b>loop</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>for-until loop*</i>	(B 35)
<b>for</b> $B$ <b>until</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $B$ <b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>named for-until loop*</i>	(B 36)
<b>break</b> $X$	<i>loop break*</i>	(B 37)
<b>raise</b> $X$ [ $()$ ]	<i>raise event</i>	(B 38)
<b>assert</b> $E$ [ <b>raise</b> $X$ [ $()$ ]]	<i>assertion</i>	(B 39)
<b>trap</b> $BH$ <b>in</b>	<i>trapping events*</i>	(B 40)
$B$		(B 41)
<b>end trap</b>		(B 42)
<b>par</b> [ $\bar{X}$ <b>in</b> ]	<i>parallel composition</i>	(B 43)
[ $\bar{X}$ $\rightarrow$ ] $B$		(B 44)
{    [ $\bar{X}$ $\rightarrow$ ] $B$ }		(B 45)
<b>end par</b>		(B 46)
<b>hide</b> $\bar{X}:H\{, \bar{X}:H\}$ <b>in</b> $B$ <b>end hide</b>	<i>event hiding*</i>	(B 47)
<b>disrupt</b> $B$ <b>by</b> $B$ <b>end disrupt</b>	<i>disrupt*</i>	(B 48)
$X$ [ $(OS)$ ] [ <b>where</b> $E$ ]	<i>rendezvous*</i>	(B 49)
<b>use</b> $V$ { , $V$ }	<i>variable use*</i>	(B 50)
<b>access</b> $X$ { , $X$ }	<i>event access*</i>	(B 51)
$BM ::= P \{, P\} \{   P \{, P\} \rightarrow B$	<i>match-behaviour</i>	(BM1)
$BM \mid BM$	<i>list</i>	(BM2)
$BH ::= X:H \rightarrow B$	<i>event handler</i>	(BH1)
$BH \mid BH$	<i>list</i>	(BH2)
$OS ::= O_1, \dots, O_n$	<i>positional style</i>	(OS 1)
$V_0 \rightarrow O_0, \dots, V_n \rightarrow O_n$ [ , ... ]	<i>named style</i>	(OS 2)
...	<i>ellipsis</i>	(OS 3)
$O ::= E$	<i>send offer</i>	(O 1)
$?P$	<i>receive offer</i>	(O 2)

In the following we present the LNT behaviours when they are implemented.

### 7.2.1 Stop Behaviour

The behaviour “**stop**” blocks the execution: no further rendezvous is possible. This behaviour never terminates: it represents a deadlock.

### 7.2.2 Rendezvous

So far, rendezvous is restricted to the form “ $X(E)$ ”. Its execution performs a rendezvous on event  $X$  with value expression  $E$ . Concretely, this currently amounts to print to the standard output a line “ $X' !E'$ ”, where  $X'$  is the event  $X$  with all lower case letters converted to upper case, and where  $E'$  is the result of evaluating expression  $E$ . This syntax follows the conventions of transition labels in LNT.

### 7.2.3 Sequential Composition

The execution of the sequential composition of behaviours “ $B_1 ; B_2$ ” starts by executing  $B_1$ . Only if  $B_1$  terminates successfully,  $B_2$  is executed.

### 7.2.4 Process Call

Most process calls have the form “ $P [XS]$ ” or “ $P [XS] (VS)$ ”. However, calls to processes without event parameters have the simpler form “ $P$ ” or “ $P (VS)$ ”, where brackets do not appear. If  $P$  is also the name of an event and arguments  $VS$  have neither form “ $V?$ ” nor “ $V' \rightarrow V?$ ”, then this behaviour may either denote a call to process  $P$  or a rendezvous. In that case, TRAIAN considers the behaviour as a rendezvous and issues a warning. To avoid the warning, either the process or the event has to be renamed.

### 7.2.5 Function call

In behaviours, to avoid confusion between function call, process call, and rendezvous, the **eval** keyword (which is always optional in statements) is mandatory when calling a function that does not return any result. The **eval** keyword remains optional when calling a function that returns a result, which is necessarily assigned to a variable.

#### Example 7.2.1

The **eval** keyword is mandatory in the following behaviour (where  $F$  is a function):

```
hide G : any in var Y : nat in
  eval F (1, ?Y);
  G (Y)
end var end hide
```

■

#### Example 7.2.2

The **eval** keyword is mandatory in the following behaviour, i.e., the following behaviours are equivalent:

```

hide G : any in var Y, Z : nat in
  eval Y := F (1, ?Z);
  G (Y, Z)
end var end hide
and
hide G : any in var Y, Z : nat in
  Y := F (1, ?Z);
  G (Y, Z)
end var end hide

```

■

## 7.3 Process Definition

A process definition has the following syntax:

```

process P [ $\overline{X_1}:H_1, \dots, \overline{X_m}:H_m$ ] [( $[A_1] \overline{V_1}:T_1, \dots, [A_n] \overline{V_n}:T_n$ )] is
  process_pragmas
  [precondition1; ... preconditionp;]
  [postcondition1; ... postconditionq;]
  [B]
end process

```

A *process\_pragmas* is a (possibly empty) list of *process\_pragma* having the following forms:

- “!**virtual**” expresses that the process is not fully defined in the current module but in another module that is not included (transitively) in the current module.
- “!**implementedby** “*name*”” (or equivalently “!**implementedby** “**C:***name*””) if the external C name of the process is *name*.
- “!**implementedby** “**LOTOS:***name*”” if the external LOTOS name of the process is *name*. This pragma is meaningful only when TRAIAN is called with option `-lotos`.

The following constraints apply:

- If *B* is absent then *P* must have the **!virtual** pragma.
- If *P* has the **!virtual** pragma then *B* must be either absent or equal to **null**.
- If *P* has the **!virtual** pragma then *P* must not have any other pragma.
- A process *P* may have at most one virtual definition (i.e., a definition with pragma “!**virtual**”) and one actual definition (i.e., a definition without pragma “!**virtual**”).
- If a process *P* has both a virtual and an actual definition, then those definitions should be located in distinct modules, and the module containing the actual definition should not be included (transitively) in the module containing the virtual definition.
- If a process *P* has both a virtual and an actual definition, then both definitions should have identical profiles, i.e., same event parameter names and channels, and same value parameter modes, names, and types. As regards parameter modes, the following exception holds: to an “**in var**”

(resp. “**out var**”) parameter in the actual definition corresponds an “**in**” (resp. “**out**”) parameter in the virtual definition, i.e., the virtual definition should not contain “**in var**” and “**out var**” parameters.

The current version of LNT supports only a single process (called principal process) without value parameters. The behaviour of the principal process is restricted to a sequence of rendezvous with a single send offer. This process is executed and allows to display the results of a sequence of expression evaluations.

### Example 7.3.1

The following process

```

process MAIN [PRINT: any] is
  print ("text");
  Print (1.0);
  PRINT (FACTORIAL (2))
end process

```

prints to the standard output the following three lines

```

"PRINT !text"
"PRINT !1"
"PRINT !2"

```

■

## 7.4 Main Process

One process  $P$  among the processes defined in the main module and transitively imported modules may be identified as the main process. When called with option `-c`, TRAIAN generates a C function `main()`, whose execution mimics the behaviour of a process call of the form “ $P [XS] [VS]$ ” and defined as follows:

- It may be specified on the command-line using option “`-main P [XS] (VS)`”. The list of actual event parameters “ $[XS]$ ” is optional. In that case, the main process call is “ $P [X_1, \dots, X_n] (VS)$ ”, where  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are the formal event parameters of  $P$ . The list of actual value parameters “ $(VS)$ ” may be omitted only if  $P$  has no formal value parameters. The actual value parameters  $VS$  should not contain any variable.
- Otherwise,  $P$  stands for `MAIN` if such a non-virtual process exists. The main process call is “`MAIN [X1, ..., Xn]`”, where  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are the formal event parameters of `MAIN`. Note that `MAIN` should not have formal value parameters in this case.

The main process should only have “**in**” and “**in var**” parameters.

If the modules do not define any process, or if TRAIAN is called with option “`-main null`”, then no `main()` function is generated in the C code. If the modules define several processes but no main process can be identified, then TRAIAN issues an error.

# Appendix A

## Syntax Summary

This chapter presents the full concrete grammar (syntax) of the language. The notations used are those presented in Chapter 2. The lexical structure of the language is defined in Chapter 3. The entry point of the grammar is the nonterminal symbol *descr*.

### A.1 Syntax of the module part

#### Identifiers:

<i>C</i>	<i>constructor identifier</i>	(id1)
<i>F</i>	<i>function (non-constructor) identifier</i>	(id2)
<i>H</i>	<i>channel identifier</i>	(id3)
<i>K</i>	<i>constant identifier</i>	(id4)
<i>mod-id</i>	<i>module identifier</i>	(id5)
<i>P</i>	<i>process identifier</i>	(id6)
<i>T</i>	<i>type identifier</i>	(id7)
<i>V</i>	<i>variable identifier</i>	(id8)
<i>X</i>	<i>event identifier</i>	(id9)

#### Module body:

$MB ::= D_1 \dots D_n$  *declarations* (MB1)

#### Unit declaration:

$UD ::= \mathbf{module} \textit{mod-id}_0 [( \textit{mod-id}_1, \dots, \textit{mod-id}_n )]$  *simple module* (UD1)  
     $\mathbf{[with } F_0, \dots, F_n \mathbf{] is}$   
     $\textit{module\_pragma}_1 \dots \textit{module\_pragma}_n$   
     $MB$

end module

### Module pragma:

```

module_pragma ::= !int_bits NATURAL (module_pragma1)
                  | !int_check (0 | 1)
                  | !int_inf [+ | -] NATURAL
                  | !int_sup [+ | -] NATURAL
                  | !nat_bits NATURAL
                  | !nat_check (0 | 1)
                  | !nat_inf NATURAL
                  | !nat_sup NATURAL
                  | !num_bits NATURAL
                  | !num_card NATURAL
                  | !string_card NATURAL
                  | !update STRING
                  | !version STRING

```

### Description:

```

descr ::= UD LNT description (descr1)

```

### Declarations:

```

D ::= type T is type (D1)
      [!external]
      [!implementedby STRING]
      [!comparedby STRING]
      [!printedby STRING]
      [!iteratedby STRING, STRING]
      [!pointer]
      [!nopointer]
      [!bits [NATURAL]]
      [!card [NATURAL]]
      [!list ]
      [TD]
      [with F operation_pragmas { ,F operation_pragmas}]
      end type
      | function F [[XL]] [(VFL)] [:T] is function (D2)

```

```

    operation_pragmas
    [precondition1; ... preconditionp;]
    [postcondition1; ... postconditionq;]
    [I]
end function
| channel H is channel (D3)
  ( [  $\bar{V}:T$  { ,  $\bar{V}:T$  } ] )
  { , ( [  $\bar{V}:T$  { ,  $\bar{V}:T$  } ] ) }
end channel
| process P [[XL]] [(VFL)] is main process (D4)
  process_pragmas
  [precondition1; ... preconditionp;]
  [postcondition1; ... postconditionq;]
  [B]
end process

```

**Operation pragmas:**

*operation\_pragmas* ::= *operation\_pragma*<sub>1</sub> ... *operation\_pragma*<sub>*n*</sub> (operation\_pragmas1)

*operation\_pragma* ::= **!virtual** (operation\_pragma1)  
 | **!implementedby** STRING  
 | **!external**

**Process pragmas:**

*process\_pragmas* ::= *process\_pragma*<sub>1</sub> ... *process\_pragma*<sub>*n*</sub> (process\_pragmas1)

*process\_pragma* ::= **!virtual** (process\_pragma1)  
 | **!implementedby** STRING

## A.2 Syntax of the data part

**Attributes of parameters:**

*A* ::= [**in** [*var*]] *input formal parameter* (A1)

| **out** [*var*] *output formal parameter* (A2)

| **in out** *input/output formal parameter* (A3)

**List of variables:**

$\bar{V} ::= V \{, V\}$  *list of variable identifiers* (VL1)

$VL ::= \bar{V}:T \{, \bar{V}:T\}$  *list of variables* (VL2)

$VFL ::= A \bar{V}:T \{, A \bar{V}:T\}$  *formal parameter list* (VFL1)

**List of events:**

$\bar{X} ::= X \{, X\}$  *list of event identifiers* (XL1)

$XL ::= \bar{X}: H \{, \bar{X}: H\}$  *list of events* (XL2)

**Precondition:**

*precondition* ::= **require**  $E$  [**raise**  $X$   $(\circ)$ ] (req1)

**Postcondition:**

*postcondition* ::= **ensure**  $E$  [**raise**  $X$   $(\circ)$ ] (ens1)

**Type definition:**

*array\_bound* ::= NATURAL *unsigned integer* (array\_bound1)

*range\_bound* ::= NATURAL *unsigned integer* (range\_bound1)

| (+ | -) NATURAL *signed integer*

| CHAR *character*

$TD ::= C [(VL)] \textit{operation\_pragmas} \{, C [(VL)] \textit{operation\_pragmas} \}$  *constructed type* (TD1)

| **list of**  $T$  *list* (TD2)

| **sorted list of**  $T$  *sorted list\** (TD3)

| **set of**  $T$  *set\** (TD4)

| **array** [*array\_bound* . . . *array\_bound*] **of**  $T$  *array\** (TD5)

<b>range</b> <i>range_bound</i> . . . <i>range_bound</i> <b>of</b> <i>T</i>	<i>range</i> *	(TD6)
<i>V</i> : <i>T</i> <b>where</b> <i>E</i>	<i>predicate type</i> *	(TD7)

**Sequence of expressions:**

<i>ES</i> ::= ...	<i>ellipsis</i>	(ES1)
<i>V</i> <sub>0</sub> -> <i>E</i> <sub>0</sub> , ..., <i>V</i> <sub><i>n</i></sub> -> <i>E</i> <sub><i>n</i></sub> [, ...]	<i>named style</i>	(ES2)
<i>E</i> <sub>1</sub> , ..., <i>E</i> <sub><i>n</i></sub>	<i>positional style</i>	(ES3)

<i>UES</i> ::= <i>V</i> <sub>0</sub> -> <i>E</i> <sub>0</sub> , ..., <i>V</i> <sub><i>n</i></sub> -> <i>E</i> <sub><i>n</i></sub>	<i>field assignment</i>	(UES1)
---	-------------------------	--------

**Sequence of events:**

<i>XS</i> ::= ...	<i>ellipsis</i>	(XS1)
<i>X</i> ' <sub>0</sub> -> <i>X</i> <sub>0</sub> , ..., <i>X</i> ' <sub><i>n</i></sub> -> <i>X</i> <sub><i>n</i></sub> [, ...]	<i>named style</i>	(XS2)
<i>X</i> <sub>0</sub> , ..., <i>X</i> <sub><i>n</i></sub>	<i>positional style</i>	(XS3)

**Expressions:**

<i>E</i> ::= <i>K</i>	<i>primitive constant</i>	(E1)
<i>V</i>	<i>variable</i>	(E2)
<i>V</i> . <b>in</b>	<i>input argument (in postcondition)</i>	(E3)
<i>V</i> . <b>out</b>	<i>output argument (in postcondition)</i>	(E4)
<b>result</b>	<i>function result (in postcondition)</i>	(E5)
<i>C</i> [( <i>ES</i> )]	<i>constructor application</i>	(E6)
<i>E</i> <i>C</i> <i>E</i>	<i>infix constructor application</i>	(E7)
'{ <i>E</i> <sub>1</sub> , ..., <i>E</i> <sub><i>n</i></sub> }'	<i>list or set construction</i>	(E8)
<i>F</i> [( <i>ES</i> )]	<i>function call</i>	(E9)
<i>F</i> [ <i>XS</i> ] ( <i>ES</i> )	<i>function call with exceptions</i>	(E10)
<i>E</i> <i>F</i> [[ <i>XS</i> ]] <i>E</i>	<i>infix function call</i>	(E11)
<i>E</i> [ <i>E</i> ]	<i>array access*</i>	(E12)
<i>E</i> . [[ <i>X</i> ]] <i>V</i>	<i>field selection</i>	(E13)
<i>E</i> . [[ <i>X</i> ]] '{ <i>UES</i> }'	<i>field update</i>	(E14)
<i>E</i> <b>of</b> <i>T</i>	<i>type coercion</i>	(E15)
( <i>E</i> )	<i>parenthesized expression</i>	(E16)

The precedence of operators appearing in expressions is given on table A.2.

Priority	Operations
0.	<b>of</b> , <b>.</b> field selection and update
1.	infix operators not listed below
2.	<b>**</b>
3.	<b>*</b> , <b>/</b> , <b>div</b> , <b>mod</b> , <b>rem</b>
4.	<b>+</b> , <b>-</b>
5.	<b>==</b> , <b>=</b> , <b>!=</b> , <b>&lt;&gt;</b> , <b>&lt;</b> , <b>&lt;=</b> , <b>&gt;=</b> , <b>&gt;</b>
6.	<b>and</b> , <b>and then</b> , <b>or</b> , <b>or else</b> , <b>xor</b> , <b>=&gt;</b> , <b>&lt;=&gt;</b>

**Sequences of patterns:**

$PS ::= \dots$	<i>ellipsis</i>	(PS1)
$V_0 \rightarrow P_0, \dots, V_n \rightarrow P_n [, \dots]$	<i>named style</i>	(PS2)
$P_1, \dots, P_n$	<i>positional style</i>	(PS3)

**Patterns:**

$P ::= V$	<i>variable</i>	(P1)
$K$	<i>constant</i>	(P2)
<b>any</b> $[T]$	<i>wildcard</i>	(P3)
$V$ <b>as</b> $P$	<i>aliasing</i>	(P4)
$C [(PS)]$	<i>constructed pattern</i>	(P5)
$P C P$	<i>constructed pattern infix</i>	(P6)
$\{ P_1, \dots, P_n \}$	<i>list pattern</i>	(P7)
$P$ <b>of</b> $T$	<i>explicit typing</i>	(P8)
$P$ <b>where</b> $E$	<i>guarded pattern</i>	(P9)
$(P)$	<i>parenthesized pattern</i>	(P10)

Infix constructors in patterns obey the same precedence rules as in expressions (see table A.2), except “and then” and “or else”, which are not permitted.

**Match statements:**

$IM ::= P \{, P\} \{   P \{, P\} \} \rightarrow I$	<i>match-statement</i>	(IM1)
$IM   IM$	<i>list</i>	(IM2)

**Event handlers:**

$IH ::= X:H \rightarrow I$	<i>event handler</i>	(IH1)
$IH   IH$	<i>list</i>	(IH2)

**Actual value parameters:**

$VE ::= E$	<i>actual parameter “in” or “in var”</i>	(VE1)
$?V$	<i>actual parameter “out” or “out var”</i>	(VE2)
$V?$	<i>actual parameter “in out”</i>	(VE3)
$VS ::= \dots$	<i>ellipsis</i>	(VS1)
$V_0 \rightarrow VE_0, \dots, V_n \rightarrow VE_n [, \dots]$	<i>named style</i>	(VS2)
$VE_1, \dots, VE_n$	<i>positional style</i>	(VS3)

**Statements:**

$I ::= \text{return } E$	<i>value return</i>	(I 1)
<b>null</b>	<i>termination</i>	(I 2)
$V := E$	<i>assignment</i>	(I 3)
$V+=[XS]E$	<i>increment</i>	(I 4)
$V-=[XS]E$	<i>decrement</i>	(I 5)
$V [E] := E$	<i>array assignment*</i>	(I 6)
$V [E]+=[XS]E$	<i>array increment*</i>	(I 7)
$V [E]-=[XS]E$	<i>array decrement*</i>	(I 8)
$I ; I$	<i>sequential</i>	(I 9)
<b>var</b> $\bar{V}:T \{, \bar{V}:T\}$ <b>in</b> $I$ <b>end var</b>	<i>variable declaration</i>	(I 10)
<b>case</b> $E \{, E\}$ [ <b>var</b> $VL$ ] <b>in</b> $IM$ <b>end case</b>	<i>case statement</i>	(I 11)
<b>if</b> $E$ <b>then</b> $I$ { <b>elsif</b> $E$ <b>then</b> $I$ } [ <b>else</b> $I$ ] <b>end if</b>	<i>conditional statement</i>	(I 12)
[ <b>eval</b> ] $[V := ] F [XS] [(VS)]$	<i>procedure call</i>	(I 13)
<b>loop</b> $I$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>forever loop</i>	(I 14)
<b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b> $I$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>named loop</i>	(I 15)
<b>while</b> $E$ <b>loop</b> $I$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>while loop</i>	(I 16)

<b>while</b> $E$ <b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b> $I$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>named while loop</i>	(I 17)
<b>for</b> $I$ <b>while</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $I$ <b>loop</b> $I$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>for-while loop</i>	(I 18)
<b>for</b> $I$ <b>while</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $I$ <b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b> $I$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>named for-while loop</i>	(I 19)
<b>for</b> $I$ <b>until</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $I$ <b>loop</b> $I$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>for-until loop</i>	(I 20)
<b>for</b> $I$ <b>until</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $I$ <b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b> $I$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>named for-until loop</i>	(I 21)
<b>break</b> $X$	<i>break loop</i>	(I 22)
<b>raise</b> $X$ [ $()$ ]	<i>raise event</i>	(I 23)
<b>assert</b> $E$ [ <b>raise</b> $X$ [ $()$ ]]	<i>assertion</i>	(I 24)
<b>trap</b> $IH$ <b>in</b> $I$ <b>end trap</b>	<i>trapping events</i>	(I 25)
<b>use</b> $V$ { $,V$ }	<i>variable use</i>	(I 26)
<b>access</b> $X$ { $,X$ }	<i>event access</i>	(I 27)

### A.3 Syntax of the behaviour part

#### Offers:

$O ::= E$	<i>send offer</i>	(O 1)
$?P$	<i>receive offer</i>	(O 2)

#### Sequence of offers:

$OS ::= O_1, \dots, O_n$	<i>positional style</i>	(OS 1)
$V_0 \rightarrow O_0, \dots, V_n \rightarrow O_n [ , \dots ]$	<i>named style</i>	(OS 2)
$\dots$	<i>ellipsis</i>	(OS 3)

**Match behaviours:**

$BM ::= P \{,P\} \{   P \{,P\} \} \rightarrow B$	<i>match-behaviour</i>	(BM 1)
$  BM   BM$	<i>list</i>	(BM 2)

**Event handlers:**

$BH ::= X:H \rightarrow B$	<i>event handler</i>	(BH1)
$  BH   BH$	<i>list</i>	(BH2)

**Behaviours:**

$B ::= \mathbf{null}$	<i>termination</i>	(B 1)
$  \mathbf{stop}$	<i>deadlock</i>	(B 2)
$  V := E$	<i>assignment*</i>	(B 3)
$  V+=[XS]E$	<i>increment</i>	(B 4)
$  V-=[XS]E$	<i>decrement</i>	(B 5)
$  V [E] := E$	<i>array assignment*</i>	(B 6)
$  V [E]+=[XS]E$	<i>array increment*</i>	(B 7)
$  V [E]-=[XS]E$	<i>array decrement*</i>	(B 8)
$  V := \mathbf{any} [ T ] [ \mathbf{where} E ]$	<i>nondeterministic assignment*</i>	(B 9)
$  B ; B$	<i>sequential composition</i>	(B 10)
$  \mathbf{var} \bar{V}:T \{, \bar{V}:T\} \mathbf{in}$	<i>variable declaration*</i>	(B 11)
$B$		(B 12)
$\mathbf{end\ var}$		(B 13)
$  \mathbf{case} E \{T,E\}$	<i>case behaviour*</i>	(B 14)
$[ \mathbf{var} \bar{V}:T \{, \bar{V}:T\} \mathbf{in}$		(B 15)
$BM$		(B 16)
$\mathbf{end\ case}$		(B 17)
$  \mathbf{if} E \mathbf{then} B$	<i>conditional behaviour*</i>	(B 18)
$\{ \mathbf{elsif} E \mathbf{then} B \}$		(B 19)
$[ \mathbf{else} B ]$		(B 20)
$\mathbf{end\ if}$		(B 21)
$  \mathbf{only\ if} E \mathbf{then} B$	<i>only if behaviour*</i>	(B 22)
$\{ \mathbf{elsif} E \mathbf{then} B \}$		(B 23)
$\mathbf{end\ if}$		(B 24)
$  \mathbf{alt} B \{ [ ] B \} \mathbf{end\ alt}$	<i>alt behaviour*</i>	(B 25)
$  [\mathbf{eval}] V := F [XS] [(VS)]$	<i>procedure call with result*</i>	(B 26)
$  \mathbf{eval} F [XS] [(VS)]$	<i>procedure call without result*</i>	(B 27)
$  P [XS] [(VS)]$	<i>process call*</i>	(B 28)

---

<b>loop</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>forever loop*</i>	(B 29)
<b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>named loop*</i>	(B 30)
<b>while</b> $E$ <b>loop</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>while loop*</i>	(B 31)
<b>while</b> $E$ <b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>named while loop*</i>	(B 32)
<b>for</b> $B$ <b>while</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $B$ <b>loop</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>for-while loop*</i>	(B 33)
<b>for</b> $B$ <b>while</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $B$ <b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>named for-while loop*</i>	(B 34)
<b>for</b> $B$ <b>until</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $B$ <b>loop</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>for-until loop*</i>	(B 35)
<b>for</b> $B$ <b>until</b> $E$ <b>by</b> $B$ <b>loop</b> $X$ <b>in</b> $B$ <b>end loop</b>	<i>named for-until loop*</i>	(B 36)
<b>break</b> $X$	<i>loop break*</i>	(B 37)
<b>raise</b> $X$ [ $()$ ]	<i>raise event</i>	(B 38)
<b>assert</b> $E$ [ <b>raise</b> $X$ [ $()$ ]]	<i>assertion</i>	(B 39)
<b>trap</b> $BH$ <b>in</b>	<i>trapping events*</i>	(B 40)
$B$		(B 41)
<b>end trap</b>		(B 42)
<b>par</b> [ $\bar{X}$ <b>in</b> ]	<i>parallel composition</i>	(B 43)
$[\bar{X} \rightarrow ] B$		(B 44)
$\{    [\bar{X} \rightarrow ] B \}$		(B 45)
<b>end par</b>		(B 46)
<b>hide</b> $\bar{X}:H\{, \bar{X}:H\}$ <b>in</b> $B$ <b>end hide</b>	<i>event hiding*</i>	(B 47)
<b>disrupt</b> $B$ <b>by</b> $B$ <b>end disrupt</b>	<i>disrupt*</i>	(B 48)
$X$ [ $(OS)$ ] [ <b>where</b> $E$ ]	<i>rendezvous*</i>	(B 49)
<b>use</b> $V$ { , $V$ }	<i>variable use*</i>	(B 50)
<b>access</b> $X$ { , $X$ }	<i>event access*</i>	(B 51)

# Bibliography

- [BM79] R.S. Boyer and J.S. Moore. *A Computational Logic*. Academic Press, New York, NY, 1979.
- [Bol90] T. Bolognesi. A Graphical Composition Theorem for Networks of Lotos Processes. In IEEE Computer Society, editor, *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems, Washington, USA*, pages 88–95. IEEE, May 1990.
- [Cd95] J.P. Courtiat and R.C. de Oliveira. A Reachability Analysis of RT-LOTOS Specifications. Technical Report 95159, LAAS, May 1995.
- [CGM<sup>+</sup>96] Ghassan Chehaibar, Hubert Garavel, Laurent Mounier, Nadia Tawbi, and Ferruccio Zulian. Specification and Verification of the PowerScale Bus Arbitration Protocol: An Industrial Experiment with LOTOS. In Reinhard Gotzhein and Jan Brederke, editors, *Proceedings of the IFIP Joint International Conference on Formal Description Techniques for Distributed Systems and Communication Protocols, and Protocol Specification, Testing, and Verification (FORTE/PSTV'96), Kaiserslautern, Germany*, pages 435–450. Chapman & Hall, October 1996. Full version available as INRIA Research Report RR-2958.
- [dMRV92] Jan de Meer, Rudolf Roth, and Son Vuong. Introduction to Algebraic Specifications Based on the Language ACT ONE. *Computer Networks and ISDN Systems*, 23(5):363–392, 1992.
- [GH93] Hubert Garavel and René-Pierre Hautbois. An Experiment with the Formal Description in LOTOS of the Airbus A340 Flight Warning Computer. In Maurice Nivat, Charles Ratray, Teodor Rus, and Giuseppe Scollo, editors, *First AMAST International Workshop on Real-Time Systems, Iowa City, Iowa, USA*, November 1993.
- [GLS17] Hubert Garavel, Frédéric Lang, and Wendelin Serwe. From LOTOS to LNT. In Joost-Pieter Katoen, Rom Langerak, and Arend Rensink, editors, *ModelEd, TestEd, TrustEd – Essays Dedicated to Ed Brinksma on the Occasion of His 60th Birthday*, volume 10500 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 3–26. Springer, October 2017.
- [Gut77] J. Guttag. Abstract Data Types and the Development of Data Structures. *Communications of the ACM*, 20(6):396–404, June 1977.
- [Hoa78] C. A. R. Hoare. Communicating Sequential Processes. *Communications of the ACM*, 21(8):666–677, August 1978.
- [Hoa85] C. A. R. Hoare. *Communicating Sequential Processes*. Prentice-Hall, 1985.
- [Hoa91] C. A. R. Hoare. The Transputer and Occam: A Personal Story. *Concurrency – Practice and Experience*, 3(4):249–264, August 1991.

- [ISO89a] ISO/IEC. LOTOS Description of the Session Protocol. Technical Report 9572, International Organization for Standardization – Open Systems Interconnection, Geneva, 1989.
- [ISO89b] ISO/IEC. LOTOS Description of the Session Service. Technical Report 9571, International Organization for Standardization – Open Systems Interconnection, Geneva, 1989.
- [ISO92a] ISO/IEC. Distributed Transaction Processing – Part 3: Protocol Specification. International Standard 10026-3, International Organization for Standardization – Information Technology – Open Systems Interconnection, Geneva, 1992.
- [ISO92b] ISO/IEC. Formal Description of ISO 8072 in LOTOS. Technical Report 10023, International Organization for Standardization – Telecommunications and Information Exchange between Systems, Geneva, 1992.
- [ISO92c] ISO/IEC. Formal Description of ISO 8073 (Classes 0, 1, 2, 3) in LOTOS. Technical Report 10024, International Organization for Standardization – Telecommunications and Information Exchange between Systems, Geneva, 1992.
- [ISO95a] ISO/IEC. LOTOS Description of the CCR Protocol. Technical Report 11590, International Organization for Standardization – Open Systems Interconnection, Geneva, 1995.
- [ISO95b] ISO/IEC. LOTOS Description of the CCR Service. Technical Report 11589, International Organization for Standardization – Open Systems Interconnection, Geneva, 1995.
- [LL95] R. Lai and A. Lo. An Analysis of the ISO FTAM Basic File Protocol Specified in LOTOS. *Australian Computer Journal*, 27(1):1–7, February 1995.
- [LL97] Luc Léonard and Guy Leduc. An Introduction to ET-LOTOS for the Description of Time-Sensitive Systems. *Computer Networks and ISDN Systems*, 29(3):271–292, 1997.
- [Mil89] Robin Milner. *Communication and Concurrency*. Prentice-Hall, 1989.
- [Mun91] Harold B. Munster. LOTOS Specification of the MAA Standard, with an Evaluation of LOTOS. NPL Report DITC 191/91, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, UK, September 1991.
- [Pec94] Charles Pecheur. A proposal for data types for E-LOTOS. Technical Report, University of Liège, October 1994. Annex H of ISO/IEC JTC1/SC21/WG1 N1349 Working Draft on Enhancements to LOTOS.
- [QA92] J. Quemada and A. Azcorra. Structuring Protocols with Exception in a LOTOS Extension. In *Proceedings of the 12th IFIP International Workshop on Protocol Specification, Testing and Verification (Orlando, FL, USA)*. North-Holland, June 1992.
- [Sch88] Philippe Schnoebelen. Refined Compilation of Pattern-Matching for Functional Languages. *Science of Computer Programming*, 11:133–159, 1988.
- [Sig99] Mihaela Sighireanu. *Contribution à la définition et à l'implémentation du langage "Extended LOTOS"*. PhD thesis, Université Joseph Fourier (Grenoble), January 1999.
- [WWF87] D. Watt, B. Wichmann, and W. Findlay. *ADA Language and Methodology*. Prentice-Hall, 1987.

# Index

- array**, 42
- break**
  - statement, 62
- case**
  - statement, 59, 60
- else**, 61
- elsif**, 61
- exception**, 65
- false**, 30, 32
- for-until**
  - statement, 64
- for-while**
  - statement, 64
- function**, 67
- if**
  - statement, 61
- in out**, 67
- in var**, 67
- in**, 59, 60, 65, 67
- is**, 67, 77
- list**, 39
- loop**
  - forever, 62
  - named, 62–64
  - statement, 62
- null**, 58
- of**, 53
- out var**, 67
- out**, 67
- process**, 77
- raise**
  - statement, 65
- range**, 43
- set**, 41
- sorted list**, 40
- stop**, 76
- then**, 61
- trap**
  - statement, 65
- true**, 30, 32
- var**
  - statement, 59
- where**, 44
- while**
  - statement, 63
- TRAIAN\_INIT, 66
- assignment
  - statement, 58
- BNF (Backus-Naum Form), 16
- call
  - by name, 50
  - by position, 50
- conditional
  - statement, 59
- constructor
  - application, 50
- data
  - carrier, 17
  - domain, 17
- decrement
  - statement, 59
- event
  - handler, 65
  - raising, 65
- exception
  - handler, 65
  - raising, 65
- expression, 48
  - parenthesized, 53
- function, 67
  - call, 69
    - named, 70
    - positional, 69
  - definition, 67
- handler, 65
- increment

- statement, 59
- iterative
  - statement, 61
- parameter
  - in out**, 67, 70
  - in var**, 67, 70
  - in**, 67, 70
  - out var**, 67, 70
  - out**, 67, 70
  - actual, 70
  - event, 67
  - formal, 67
  - value, 67
- pattern, 54
  - in case, 60
- pragma, 45
- pragmas
  - operation, 46
  - process, 77
  - type, 45
- process
  - definition, 77
  - main, 78
- rendezvous, 76
- return
  - statement, 58
- selection, 52
- sequential
  - behaviour, 76
  - statement, 59
- statement, 56
- syntax
  - concrete, 17
- token
  - BINARY\_NUMBER, 23
  - CHAR, 25
  - DECIMAL\_NUMBER, 23
  - HEX\_NUMBER, 23
  - IDENTIFIER, 21
  - OCTAL\_NUMBER, 23
  - REAL, 24
  - SPECIAL\_IDENTIFIER1, 22
  - SPECIAL\_IDENTIFIER2, 22
  - STRING, 25
- type, 29
  - array, 42
  - bool, 32
  - cascade, 38
  - char, 35
  - constructor, 29
  - declaration, 29
  - enumerable, 39
  - enumerated, 36
  - finite, 39
  - finite enumerable (see scalar), 39
  - int, 33
  - list, 39
  - nat, 32
  - numeral, 38
  - predicate type, 43
  - range, 42
  - real, 35
  - record, 39
  - scalar, 39
  - set, 41
  - singleton, 36
  - sorted list, 40
  - string, 35
- typing
  - explicit, 53
- update, 52
- value, 50
  - primitive constants, 47
- variable, 50
  - declaration, 59